



BASIN PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

Risk assessment for the Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan Area (SW15)

Schedule D

Published by NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water

Risk assessment for the Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan Area (SW15)

INT20/372268

More information

NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water

dcceew.nsw.gov.au

Acknowledgments

Murray-Darling Basin Authority

© Commonwealth of Australia (Murray–Darling Basin Authority) 2012. (Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License)

NSW Spatial Services

© Spatial Services - NSW Department of Finance, Services and Innovation [2016], Panorama Avenue, Bathurst 2795
spatialservices.finance.nsw.gov.au

NSW Office of Environment and Heritage: Atlas of NSW Wildlife data

© State of New South Wales through Department of Environment and Heritage (2016) 59-61 Goulburn Street Sydney 2000
www.bionet.nsw.gov.au

NSW Department of Primary Industries—Fisheries: Fish Community Status and Threatened Species data

© State of New South Wales through Department of Industry (2016) 161 Kite Street Orange 2800 www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/fishing/species-protection/threatened-species-distributions-in-nsw

NSW Department of Primary Industries—Fisheries: Fish Barrier database

© State of New South Wales through Department of Industry (2016) 161 Kite Street Orange 2800 pers. comms. Matthew Gordos

Executive summary

The *Basin Plan 2012* (Basin Plan) requires NSW to prepare water resource plans (WRP). The *Risk assessment for the Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan Area (SW15)* has been prepared to meet the requirements of the Basin Plan, assessing current and future risks to the condition and continued availability of the water resources. This document will be used to guide the development of the Gwydir Surface WRP.

Part 9, Chapter 10 of the Basin Plan sets out the key requirements for WRP risk assessments:

10.41 Risk identification and assessment methodology

- (1) Regard to current and future risks
- (2)
 - (a) Risks to meeting environmental watering requirements
 - (b) Risks arising from matters referred to in subsection 10.20(1) (productive base of groundwater)
 - (c) Risks arising from potential interception activities
 - (d) Risks arising from elevated levels of salinity or other types of water quality degradation
- (3) (a) Risks identified in Section 4.02
- (4) List the identified risks
- (5) Assess each risk
- (6) Categories of level of risk
- (7) Description of the data and methods
- (8) Description of uncertainty

10.42 Description of risks

10.43 Strategies for addressing risks

- (1) Water resource plan risk mitigation strategies
- (2) Strategies take account of Chapter 10 requirements
- (3)
 - (a) WRPs have regard to strategies listed in section 4.03(3)

The risk assessment framework adopts a cause/threat/impact model that describes the risk pathway of impacts to a receptor. The risk level of an impact is a function of the likelihood of a cause and threat occurring, and the consequence of the impact on the receptor. The risk level is assessed with the current strategies and rules in place, as provided for under the *NSW Water Management Act 2000* and the relevant water sharing plan/s (WSP). The relevant water management actions and mechanisms in place to address particular risks are listed in each chapter.

The Basin Plan requires a water resource plan (WRP) to describe strategies to manage medium or high risks in a manner commensurate with the level of risk. A strategy is commensurate with the level of risk if it results in the level of risk being tolerable. If the risk cannot be addressed to a tolerable level, an explanation should be provided. For example, there may be instances where an identified risk cannot be mitigated due to a range of constraints including, but not limited to infrastructure, third party economic or social impacts, or sustainable diversion limits.

Risk-based management assists water managers to prioritise and plan and direct resources to monitor, mitigate or respond to the factors that pose the highest overall risks. It ensures that strategies (both existing and proposed) are targeted at the appropriate part of the water system. In the context of the NSW risk assessment process, a medium or high risk does not automatically imply that existing WSP rules are inadequate or require change, or that new strategies are required. Rather, the risk assessment can be considered a 'red flag' process to provide guidance for where more detailed investigation may be required during the life of the Plan.

Medium and high risk results that were identified in this risk assessment were reviewed to determine whether they are adequately addressed by existing strategies, or whether modifications or new strategies may be required. Risk treatment options were developed following a systematic approach outlined in Figure 1 (Risk Treatment Pathway). Defining tolerable risk results (i.e. those high or medium results NSW considers are acceptable or adequately managed by existing water resource management strategies) were also part of this approach. Explanations for risk results that the WRP cannot address in a manner commensurate with the level of risk are provided in the Consolidated Risk Table (Table 1).

As strategies are not required for risk results that are low, they have not been further considered in the risk treatment overview.




Figure 1 Risk treatment pathway

Consolidated Risk Tables

The Consolidated Risk Tables have been developed in conjunction with the MDBA and in response to stakeholder feedback on risk assessment drafts. They present a summary of risk outcomes for each risk assessed in this report and contain contextual information to meet Basin Plan accreditation requirements. They provide a line of sight for each regulated reach or unregulated water source within each WRP area between the following elements which reflect the requirements of the Basin Plan Chapter 10 Part 9 Approaches to addressing risks to water resources:

- **Risk Assessment** including risk identification and the risk calculation basis (current critical mechanisms mitigating risk at the time the risk was assessed, consequence, likelihood, risk outcome and data confidence),
- **Risk Treatment Pathway** including predefined tolerable risk level, risk treatment option, strategies to address all medium and high risk outcomes and new critical mechanisms introduced as a result of WRP development or available to manage risk but not active when risk was assessed,
- **Tolerability Assessment** provided for each medium and high risk result and associated explanations, and
- **Ongoing risk monitoring** provided by indicating where monitoring and evaluation is expected for the water resource plan and associated water sharing, water quality management and long term watering plans.

The Consolidated Risk Tables should be used in conjunction with Table 9-7 Summary of strategies to address risk and the Consolidated risk maps. This table is an overview of strategy and mechanism relationships and provides line of sight between the strategies used in the Consolidated Risk Tables, associated management plan and other legislative instrument part or section references (including the Basin Plan), and the relevant water sharing plan and water quality management plan objectives. The following table describes the content of the Consolidated Risk Tables; also refer to Appendix H for an overview of the risk assessment process and further explanation of risk assessment drivers and terms.

Consolidated risk table interpretation																																				
General information Each risk has a separate Consolidated table. The title contains the relevant report section, risk title and abbreviation used in tables within this report. The Consolidated tables are divided into two sections (Risk assessment and Risk treatment pathway) to clearly show the transition from risk assessment to risk treatment, including which critical water management mechanisms were in place when the risk was assessed, prior to WRP commencement. The configuration of columns may vary slightly depending on the risk assessed.	SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - REGULATED SYSTEM																																			
	River reach or water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristics	Current Critical Mechanisms (mechanisms active when risk was assessed)	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk Treatment Option	Strategies (refer to Table 8-7 for further information)	New Critical Mechanisms (mechanisms introduced as a result of WRP development or available but not active when risk was assessed)	Tolerable / Residual Risk Rating	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed (refer to Table 8-3)	Monitoring and evaluation																						
	Risk assessment							Risk treatment pathway																												
	Location information and flow or extraction characteristic	Information on the calculation basis of the Risk outcome				Risk rating or outcome (result) and confidence ranking		Information on the application of the Risk treatment pathway				Outcomes of Risk treatment		Link to monitoring and management plans																						
	Water sharing plan water management unit within the SDL resource unit. For each water management unit, risk has been assessed for a variety of flow metrics or other characteristics. These flow metrics or other characteristics are also displayed in the consolidated risk maps.	Current critical mechanisms are included here as the risk outcomes were calculated with these water sharing plan or <i>WM Act</i>-based water management controls in place. These key active mechanisms currently address the risk. Mechanisms have been included to provide further detail on the Strategies to address risk and are not intended to be a comprehensive list of all relevant mechanisms. Consequence and Likelihood are used to determine the risk outcome via the matrices described in the relevant section of the report. The column entry abbreviations are: <table><tr><td>VH Very High (consequence scores only)</td><td>H</td><td>High</td></tr><tr><td>H High</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>M Medium</td><td>M</td><td>Medium</td></tr><tr><td>L Low</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>VL Very Low (consequence scores only)</td><td>L</td><td>Low</td></tr></table> The likelihood score also includes whether there has been an increase (+), decrease (-) or no change (°) from base case (no development).				VH Very High (consequence scores only)	H	High	H High			M Medium	M	Medium	L Low			VL Very Low (consequence scores only)	L	Low	Risk outcome is a function of consequence and likelihood, the following coding is used. For further information on data confidence refer to Appendix A		Predefined tolerable risk level or ratings apply to select regulated river locations where NSW cannot address the risk assessed. These results are automatically assigned risk treatment option A as no new strategies are required or possible. The tolerable status is indicated by paler shading of the risk outcome as described in the Outcomes of Risk Treatment column, and further information is provided in Table 9-3 Risk treatment option refers to options A-G listed in the Risk Treatment Pathway and summarised below; more than one may apply. See Table 9-1. A No new strategies required or possible. B Fill knowledge gap and evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies. C Knowledge improvement via MER plan is proposed. D Adjustment of WSPs or WM Act-based rules. E Implementation dormant WSP or WM Act rules. F Develop and implement new BP or WSP strategies. G Review interactions with complementary WM Act processes/other legislation. Strategies to address risk are required by the Basin Plan to be identified for all Medium and High risk outcomes. These are the broad water management and knowledge improvement approaches NSW uses to identify and address risks to water resources. A summary of strategies and their related existing and additional critical mechanisms can be found in Table 9-7. New critical mechanisms are water sharing plan or WM Act-based water management controls that have been developed, modified, substantially changed in implementation status as a result of WRP development, OR are inactive but available if required. Each mechanism has an associated risk treatment option on the Risk Treatment Pathway. Mechanisms have been included to provide further detail on the Strategies to address risk and are not intended to be a comprehensive list of all relevant mechanisms.				Tolerable / Residual risk rating refers to: 1 Any change to the Risk rating after the application of new critical mechanisms and recalculation of (residual) risk. 2 The tolerable status of the Risk rating. NSW has considered whether risk ratings are acceptable on the basis the risk is adequately managed by the existing and new critical mechanisms. This is in line with the <i>Basin Plan Water Resource Plan Requirements Position Statement 9B Strategies for addressing risks</i>. The tolerable status is indicated by paler shading of the risk outcome as below. Explanations are included in the second column of this section. Low risk outcomes have N/A as they do not require a tolerable status. Refer to Table 8-3 for a summary of explanations. <table><tr><td>H</td><td>High – Tolerable</td></tr><tr><td>H</td><td>High – not Tolerable</td></tr><tr><td>M</td><td>Medium – Tolerable</td></tr><tr><td>M</td><td>Medium – not Tolerable</td></tr></table>		H	High – Tolerable	H	High – not Tolerable	M	Medium – Tolerable	M	Medium – not Tolerable
VH Very High (consequence scores only)	H	High																																		
H High																																				
M Medium	M	Medium																																		
L Low																																				
VL Very Low (consequence scores only)	L	Low																																		
H	High – Tolerable																																			
H	High – not Tolerable																																			
M	Medium – Tolerable																																			
M	Medium – not Tolerable																																			

SECTION 3.3 RISKS TO ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND FUNCTIONS WITHIN THE GWYDIR SURFACE WSPA DUE TO CONNECTIVITY WITH THE BARWON DARLING WATERCOURSE WSPA										
Water Source Type	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Regulated	M	L	L	H/H	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	No MER planned
Unregulated	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	No MER planned
Current Critical Mechanisms Environmental flow rules described in WRP Section 4.2										

SECTION 3.3 RISKS OF INSUFFICIENT SURFACE WATER IMPACTING ON PRIORITY GROUNDWATER ASSETS AND FUNCTIONS										
Water Source Type	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Regulated	M	L	L	H/H	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	No MER planned
Unregulated	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	No MER planned
Current Critical Mechanisms Environmental flow rules described in WRP Section 4.2										

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - REGULATED SYSTEM

River reach within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk Treatment Option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Residual Risk Rating	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring & Evaluation
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	Zero Flow Periods	E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events.	VH	H-	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source.	None required – risks are tolerable.	N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Zero flow periods cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Zero flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	No MER planned
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	Base-flow or Low Flows		VH	H+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Base flows cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Base flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	No MER planned
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	Fresh Flows		VH	H+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	Water ordering patterns have altered the duration and timing of freshes leading to unnaturally long events in summer and less events in winter. This impact is most pronounced in the Gwydir River where there are no significant tributary inflows, and in Gil Gil Ck.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		VH	H-	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	There are no tributaries in the river reach immediately below Copeton Dam to supplement PEW or HEW releases to order to achieve bank full or over bank flows. The ability to mitigate the likelihood is low, hence the risk is tolerable	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		VH	H-	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	There are no tributaries in the river reach immediately below Copeton Dam to supplement PEW or HEW releases to order to achieve bank full or over bank flows. The ability to mitigate the likelihood is low, hence the risk is tolerable	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		VH	H-	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	There are no tributaries in the river reach immediately below Copeton Dam to supplement PEW or HEW releases to order to achieve bank full or over bank flows. The ability to mitigate the likelihood is low, hence the risk is tolerable	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	Zero Flow Periods	E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands ("3T" low flow rule). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP E6 - Supplementary access to	VH	LO	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and	None required – risks are tolerable.	N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Zero flow periods cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Zero flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source. Additionally this risk result is driven by a very high consequence and a low likelihood. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.	No MER planned

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - REGULATED SYSTEM													
River reach within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk Treatment Option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Residual Risk Rating	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring & Evaluation
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	Base-flow or Low Flows	natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events.	VH	H+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable	downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source.		N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Base flows cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Base flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	No MER planned
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	Fresh Flows		VH	M+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	Water ordering patterns have altered the duration and timing of freshes leading to unnaturally long events in summer and less events in winter. This impact is most pronounced in the Gwydir River where there are no significant tributary inflows, and in Gil Gil Ck.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		VH	L-	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		VH	L-	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		VH	L-	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	Zero Flow Periods	E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events.	VH	L0	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source. <i>relevant unregulated strategy</i> 8 Protect the connectivity between the regulated water source and downstream connected unregulated water sources of the WRP area.	None required – risks are tolerable.	N/A	This risk result is driven by a very high consequence and a low likelihood. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.	No MER planned
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	Base-flow or Low Flows		VH	H+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Base flows cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Base flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	No MER planned
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	Fresh Flows		VH	M-	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	Water ordering patterns have altered the duration and timing of freshes leading to unnaturally long events in summer and less events in winter. This impact is most pronounced in the Gwydir River where there are no significant tributary inflows, and in Gil Gil Ck.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		VH	L+	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.	MER planned for WSP objectives

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - REGULATED SYSTEM													
River reach within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk Treatment Option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Residual Risk Rating	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring & Evaluation
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		VH	L+	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		VH	L+	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	Zero Flow Periods	E1 - Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events.	VH	H+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source.	None required – risks are tolerable.	N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Zero flow periods cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Zero flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	Base-flow or Low Flows		VH	H+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Base flows cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Base flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	Fresh Flows		VH	H-	H	H/H	N/A	D; F(ii)	N2 - Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA, as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. (D) N3 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. (F.ii)	No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives	
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		VH	H-	H	H/H	N/A			No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives	

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - REGULATED SYSTEM														
River reach within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk Treatment Option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Residual Risk Rating	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring & Evaluation	
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		VH	M-	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable		None required – risks are tolerable.	N/A	River regulation, water ordering patterns, and third party inundation risks prevent an increase in the frequency of 2.5 year ARI flows	MER planned for WSP objectives	
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		VH	L-	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.	No MER planned	
Mehi at Bronte	Zero Flow Periods	E1 - Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events.	H	M*	M*	H/L	M*	Predefined Tolerable	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source.	None required – risk is tolerable.	N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Zero flow periods cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Zero flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	MER planned for WSP objectives	
Mehi at Bronte	Base-flow or Low Flows		H	M-	M	H/H	N/A	D; F(ii)		N2 - Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRP, as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. (D) N3 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. (F.ii)	No change can be predicted	The 3T rule (E9) passes tributary flow through the regulated water source to the Gwydir Wetlands constraining the ability to redirect flow into this river reach to improve base flows. The new critical mechanisms may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. Refer to the LTWP for further information.	MER planned for WSP objectives	
Mehi at Bronte	Fresh Flows		H	M-	M	H/H	N/A				No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives	
Mehi at Bronte	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	H-	H	H/H	N/A				No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives	
Mehi at Bronte	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	H-	H	H/H	N/A				No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives	

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - REGULATED SYSTEM													
River reach within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk Treatment Option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Residual Risk Rating	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring & Evaluation
Mehi at Bronte	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	M-	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable		None required – risk is tolerable.	N/A	River regulation, water ordering patterns, and third party inundation risks prevent an increase in the frequency of 5 year ARI flows	MER planned for WSP objectives
Moomin at Iffley	Zero Flow Periods	E1 - Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E8 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events	H	H+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source.	None required – risk is tolerable.	N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Zero flow periods cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Zero flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Moomin at Iffley	Base-flow or Low Flows		H	H-	H	H/H	N/A	D; F(ii)		N2 - Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA, as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. (D) N3 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. (F.ii)	No change can be predicted	The 3T rule (E9) passes tributary flow through the regulated water source to the Gwydir Wetlands constraining the ability to redirect flow into this river reach to improve base flows. The new critical mechanisms may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. Refer to the LTWP for further information.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Moomin at Iffley	Fresh Flows		H	M-	M	H/H	N/A			No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives	
Moomin at Iffley	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	M-	M	H/H	N/A			No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives	
Moomin at Iffley	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	M-	M	H/H	N/A			No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives	
Moomin at Iffley	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	M-	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable	None required – risk is tolerable.	N/A	River regulation, water ordering patterns, and third party inundation risks prevent an increase in the frequency of 5 year ARI flows	MER planned for WSP objectives	

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - REGULATED SYSTEM													
River reach within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk Treatment Option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Residual Risk Rating	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring & Evaluation
Mehi at Collarenebri	Zero Flow Periods	E1 - Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events.	M	M*	M*	H/L	M*	Predefined Tolerable	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying.	None required – risks are tolerable.	M*	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Zero flow periods cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Zero flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Mehi at Collarenebri	Base-flow or Low Flows		M	M-	M	H/H	N/A	D; F(ii)		N2 - Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA, as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. (D) N3 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. (F.ii)	No change can be predicted	The 3T rule (E9) passes tributary flow through the regulated water source to the Gwydir Wetlands constraining the ability to redirect flow into this river reach to improve base flows. The new critical mechanisms may be used to improve base/low flows, freshes and infrequent flows. Refer to the LTWP for further information.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Mehi at Collarenebri	Fresh Flows		M	M-	M	H/H	N/A				No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Mehi at Collarenebri	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	H-	H	H/H	N/A				No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Mehi at Collarenebri	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	H-	H	H/H	N/A				No change can be predicted	There is a limited capacity to influence high flow events in WSP without significant 3rd party impacts from reducing access. It is suggested that the water purchased by the Commonwealth and the LTWP would be used to improve environmental outcomes and address risk for these high flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Mehi at Collarenebri	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	H-	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable		None required – risk is tolerable.	N/A	River regulation, water ordering patterns, and third party inundation risks prevent an increase in the frequency of 5 year ARI flows	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	Zero Flow Periods	E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E6 - Supplementary access to	M	M+	M	H/H	M	Predefined Tolerable	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in	None required – risks are tolerable.	N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Zero flow periods cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Zero flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.	MER planned for WSP objectives

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - REGULATED SYSTEM													
River reach within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk Treatment Option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Residual Risk Rating	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring & Evaluation
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	Base-flow or Low Flows	natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events.	M	H+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable	the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying.		N/A	Risk inherent to regulated river reaches. Base flows cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	Fresh Flows		M	H+	H	H/H	H	Predefined Tolerable			N/A	Water ordering patterns have altered the duration and timing of freshes leading to unnaturally long events in summer and less events in winter. This impact is most pronounced in the Gwydir River where there are no significant tributary inflows, and in Gil Gil Ck.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L-	L	H/H	N/A	N/A	None required – risks are low.	N/A		No MER planned	
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L-	L	H/H	N/A	N/A		N/A		No MER planned	
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L-	L	H/H	N/A	N/A		N/A		No MER planned	
Current Critical Mechanisms: E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs).													

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Bakers Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	L	H+	M	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Bakers Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows	E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	L	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Bakers Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	L	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Bakers Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Bakers Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Bakers Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Barwon	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	M*	M*	H/L	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Barwon	Base-flow or Low Flows	E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	M	M*	M*	H/L	N/A	C		N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Barwon	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Barwon	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Barwon	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Barwon	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Booroolong Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	None required – risk is low	N/A		
Booroolong Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		M	M-	M	H/M	N/A	C		N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Booroolong Creek	Fresh Flows		M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Booroolong Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Booroolong Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Booroolong Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Carole Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	L*	L*	L*	L/L	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods.	None required – risks are low	N/A		
Carole Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		L*	L*	L*	L/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Carole Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	L*	L*	L*	L/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Carole Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		L*	L*	L*	L/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Carole Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		L*	L*	L*	L/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Carole Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		L*	L*	L*	L/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Cobbadah Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams.	L	H+	M	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values. Note: when the initial WSP plan term ended in 2014, CtP management for this water source was rolled into the current plan with some changes.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Cobbadah Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows	E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.	L	H-	M	H/M	N/A				M		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Cobbadah Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.	L	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Cobbadah Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI	E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.	L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Cobbadah Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Cobbadah Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Copeton Dam	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams.	M	H+	H	H/M	N/A	B C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area. 19 Improve knowledge of effectiveness of existing strategies.	N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	Not tolerable	Decision tool indicates risk is not tolerable as there is high potential for extraction to impact ecological values and a river gauge is available. Frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended. These risk results cannot be addressed during WRP development as NSW planning principles minimise change for WSPs within their initial ten year period to provide certainty for water users.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
Copeton Dam	Base-flow or Low Flows	E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	M	H-	H	H/M	N/A	B C			Not tolerable		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
Copeton Dam	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	M-	M	H/M	N/A	B C			Not tolerable		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
Copeton Dam	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Copeton Dam	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Copeton Dam	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Georges Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	M	H+	H	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as although there is high potential for extraction to impact ecological values based on location within the water source, entitlement is small and pools are protected. Frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Georges Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows	E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.	M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Georges Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Georges Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Georges Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Georges Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Georges Creek			M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Gil Gil Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	M+	M	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Gil Gil Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		H	H-	H	H/M	N/A	C		<i>Also see Gil Gil Creek at Galloway in the regulated rivers table</i>	H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Gil Gil Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Gil Gil Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI	<i>Also see Gil Gil Creek at</i>	H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Gil Gil Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI	Galloway in the regulated rivers table	H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Gil Gil Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Gingham Watercourse	Zero Flow Periods	Unregulated E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E14 - Cease / commence to pump rules based on flow classes set at 250 ML/day for the unregulated Upper Gingham Watercourse Management Zone and Gwydir Water Sources • Very Low Flow class - CtP when the flow at Tillaloo (418076) is ≤ 250ML/day. • A Class - access when flow at Tillaloo is ≥ 250ML/Day. E15 - Cease / commence to pump rules based on flow classes for the Lower Gingham Watercourse Management Zone established to protect connectivity in dry years • Very Low Flows –CTP when the flow at Gingham Bridge (418079) has not reached ≥175ML/d in the preceding 12 months or < 4000ML has flowed past the gauge in the preceding 12 months and there is no visible flow at the Gingham Watercourse at Morialta Road. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012.	VH	M*	H*	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area. 8 Protect the connectivity between the regulated water source and downstream connected unregulated water sources of the WRP area. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	Unregulated * An amendment has been made to Very low Flow Class in the Lower Gingham Watercourse Management Zone to address issues arising from a change in the gauge rating curve. This management zone is downstream of the Ramsar wetlands that drive the VH consequence rating. The amendment establishes a flow based trigger as opposed to a gauge height based trigger. The flow based trigger is equivalent to that at the start of the 2012 WSP. The access conditions have also been amended to represent those negotiated during the preparation of the 2012 WSP which were not correctly translated during plan drafting. This amendment has increased the opportunity to access to water in this zone but has not increased the annual shares. The likelihood rating has been qualitatively assessed (due to lack of modelling) as going from Low to Medium as a result. This results in a high overall risk rating. N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies Regulated N2 - Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve freshes and infrequent flows.	H	This risk result is driven by a very high consequence. The risk is tolerable because the medium likelihood rating is in the management zone downstream of the Ramsar wetlands that drive the very high consequence rating.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gingham Watercourse	Base-flow or Low Flows		VH	M*	H*	H/M	N/A	C			H	Trade restrictions prevent both growth of entitlement in the water source and trade between management zones within the water source.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gingham Watercourse	Fresh Flows		VH	H-	H	H/M	N/A	D F C			Not tolerable	This water source is downstream of the regulated river and receives considerable environmental flows from it. The new regulated river mechanisms listed will provide risk mitigation in this water source. As the unregulated river tolerable risk decision tool did not show these risks to be tolerable, frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Gingham Watercourse	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		VH	H-	H	H/M	N/A	D F C			Not tolerable		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Gingham Watercourse	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		VH	H-	H	H/M	N/A	D F C			Not tolerable		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Gingham Watercourse	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI	Regulated E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands ("3T" low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events.	VH	H-	H	H/M	N/A	D F C			Not tolerable		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Gurley Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods.	None required – risks are low	N/A		
Gurley Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Gurley Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Gurley Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Gurley Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Gurley Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Gwydir	Zero Flow Periods	Unregulated E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and	VH	L0	M	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river	Unregulated N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies Regulated N2 - Strategic use of the environmental	M	The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood and existing trade restrictions. Ongoing likelihood monitoring is not required. This risk result is driven by a very high consequence and a low likelihood at full entitlement. Trade restrictions prevent both growth of	MER planned for WSP objectives

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Gwydir	Base-flow or Low Flows	off-river pools. E14 - Cease / commence to pump rules based on flow classes set at 250 ML/day for the unregulated Upper Gingham Watercourse Management Zone and Gwydir Water Sources. • Very Low Flow Class - Users must cease to pump when the flow at the reference point is less than or equal to 250 ML/day.	VH	L0	M	H/M	N/A	C	sections of the WRP area. 4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area. 8 Protect the connectivity between the regulated water source and downstream connected unregulated water sources of the WRP area. 9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRP. N3 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP. In this river reach the mechanism may be used to improve freshes and infrequent flows.	M	entitlement in the water source and trade between management zones within the water source.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir	Fresh Flows	• A Class - Users can commence to pump when the flow at the reference point is more than 250 ML/day.	VH	H-	H	H/M	N/A	D F C			Not tolerable	This water source is downstream of the regulated river and receives considerable environmental flows from it. The new regulated river mechanisms listed will provide risk mitigation in this water source. As the unregulated river tolerable risk decision tool did not show these risks to be tolerable, frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Gwydir	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI	E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.	VH	H-	H	H/M	N/A	D F C			Not tolerable		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Gwydir	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI	E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	H-	H	H/M	N/A	D F C			Not tolerable		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Gwydir	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI	Regulated E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E11 - Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events	VH	H-	H	H/M	N/A	D F C			Not tolerable		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Halls Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. Halls Creek Tributaries	H	H+	H	H/M	N/A	B C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and	N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies N6 - Reviews resulting from application	Not tolerable	Decision tool indicates risk is not tolerable as there is high potential for extraction to impact ecological values and a river gauge is available. Frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended. These risk results cannot be	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6

Halls Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows	Management Zone Pumping is not permitted from natural pools when the water level in the pool is lower than its full capacity.	H	M-	M	H/M	N/A	B C	floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	Not tolerable	addressed during WRP development as NSW planning principles minimise change for WSPs within their initial ten year period to provide certainty for water	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
Current Critical Mechanisms: E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs).													

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
		Halls Creek Management Zone • Users must cease to pump when there is no visible flow at Bingara gauge (418025) in the South-East corner of TSR 29608, Parish of Bingara. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.							19 Improve knowledge of effectiveness of existing strategies.			users.	
Halls Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Halls Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Halls Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Halls Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Keera Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	M	H+	H	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Keera Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows	E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	M	H-	H	H/M	N/A	C			H		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Keera Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Keera Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Keera Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Keera Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Laura Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area.	None required – risks are low	N/A		
Laura Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Laura Creek	Fresh Flows		H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Laura Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Laura Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Laura Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Lower Horton**	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. • Cease to pump of 4ML/day at Horton Gauge at Rider (418015) E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.	H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area. 19 Improve knowledge of effectiveness of existing strategies.	None required – risk is low	N/A		
Lower Horton**	Base-flow or Low Flows		H	H-	H	H/M	N/A	B C			Not tolerable	This risk result cannot be addressed as NSW planning principles minimise change for WSPs within their ten year terms to provide certainty for water users. When the initial WSP plan term ended in 2014, CtP management for this water source was rolled	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
												unchanged into the current plan.	
Lower Horton**	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Lower Horton**	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Lower Horton**	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Lower Horton**	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mackenzies Flat	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods.	None required – risks are low	N/A		
Mackenzies Flat	Base-flow or Low Flows	E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mackenzies Flat	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mackenzies Flat	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mackenzies Flat	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mackenzies Flat	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mehi River	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area.	None required – risks are low	N/A		
Mehi River	Base-flow or Low Flows	E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons,	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
		wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .											
Mehi River	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mehi River	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mehi River	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mehi River	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Millie Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	M	H+	H	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable. Although there is high potential for extraction to impact ecological values, remote sensing data from summer 2013/2014 indicates there is a low level of entitlement activation. As a river gauge is not available, available management options for this water source are limited. Frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Millie Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		M	H-	H	H/M	N/A	C			H		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Millie Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Millie Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Millie Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Millie Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Moredun Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to	H	H+	H	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable. Although there is high potential for extraction to impact ecological values, remote sensing data from summer 2013/2014 indicates there is a low level of	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Moredun Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows	pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	H	H-	H	H/M	N/A	C	6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.		H	entitlement activation. As a river gauge is not available, available management options for this water source are limited. Frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Moredun Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Moredun Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Moredun Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Moredun Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Moree	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams.	H	H+	H	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable. Although there is high potential for extraction to impact ecological values, remote sensing data from summer 2013/2014 indicates there is a low level of entitlement activation. As a river gauge is not available, available management options for this water source are limited. Frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Moree	Base-flow or Low Flows	E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	H-	H	H/M	N/A	C			H		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Moree	Fresh Flows	Extraction and trade restrictions for Yarraman Lagoon and 1 unnamed Lagoon (Schedule 4 Significant identified lagoons and wetlands)	H	M-	M	H/M	N/A	C			M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable. Although there is high potential for extraction to impact ecological values, remote sensing data from summer 2013/2014 indicates there is a low level of entitlement activation. As a river gauge is not available, available management options for this water source are limited. Frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Moree	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Moree	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Moree	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mosquito Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods.	None required – risks are low	N/A		
Mosquito Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		L	M-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mosquito Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	L	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mosquito Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mosquito Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Mosquito Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Myall Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	H	H+	H	H/M	N/A	B C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area. 19 Improve knowledge of effectiveness of existing strategies.	N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	Not tolerable	Decision tool indicates risk is not tolerable as there is high potential for extraction to impact ecological values and a river gauge is available. Frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
Myall Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		H	H-	H	H/M	N/A	B C			Not tolerable	These risk results cannot be addressed during WRP development as NSW planning principles minimise change for WSPs within their initial ten year period to provide certainty for water users.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
Myall Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Myall Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Myall Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Myall Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Rocky Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.	L	H+	M	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values. Note:when the initial WSP plan term ended in 2014, CtP management for this water source was rolled into the current plan with some changes.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Rocky Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		L	H-	M	H/M	N/A	N/A			M		MER planned for WSP objectives
Rocky Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	L	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Rocky Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Rocky Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Rocky Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Rocky River	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	L	M+	L	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area.	None required – risks are low	N/A		
Rocky River	Base-flow or Low Flows		L	M-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Rocky River	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	L	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Rocky River	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Rocky River	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Rocky River	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		L	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Roumalla Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	M	H+	H	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Roumalla Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		M	M-	M	H/M	N/A	C			M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Roumalla Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Roumalla Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Roumalla Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Roumalla Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Slaughterhouse Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area.	None required – risks are low	N/A		
Slaughterhouse Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Slaughterhouse Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Slaughterhouse Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Slaughterhouse Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Slaughterhouse Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Thalaba Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams.	H	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area.	None required – risks are low	N/A		
Thalaba Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows	E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Thalaba Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	H	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Thalaba Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Thalaba Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Thalaba Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Tycannah Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams.	H	H+	H	H/M	N/A	B C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams,	N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness	Not tolerable	Decision tool indicates risk is not tolerable as there is high potential for extraction to impact ecological values and a river gauge is available. Frequent likelihood monitoring is recommended.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Tycannah Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows	E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	H	H-	H	H/M	N/A	B C	wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	of existing strategies	Not tolerable	These risk results cannot be addressed during WRP development as NSW planning principles minimise change for WSPs within their initial ten year period to provide certainty for water users.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
Tycannah Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Tycannah Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Tycannah Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Tycannah Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Upper Gwydir River	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	H	H+	H	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Upper Gwydir River	Base-flow or Low Flows		H	H-	H	H/M	N/A	C			H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Upper Gwydir River	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	H	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Upper Gwydir River	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Upper Gwydir River	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Upper Gwydir River	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		H	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Upper Horton	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	H+	H	H/M	N/A	B C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area	N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	Not tolerable	This risk result cannot be addressed as NSW planning principles minimise change for WSPs within their ten year terms to provide certainty for water users.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
Upper Horton	Base-flow or Low Flows	E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and	M	H-	H	H/M	N/A	B C			Not tolerable		MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6

SECTION 4.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT & CAPACITY TO MEET EWRS [E(W)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Predefined Tolerable Risk Level	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
		off-river pools. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.							during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area. 19 Improve knowledge of effectiveness of existing strategies.			the current plan with some changes.	
Upper Horton	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Upper Horton	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Upper Horton	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Upper Horton	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Warialda Creek	Zero Flow Periods	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	H+	H	H/M	N/A	C	1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. 5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying. 6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. 18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 – Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies.	H	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Warialda Creek	Base-flow or Low Flows	E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	M	M-	M	H/M	N/A	C			M	Decision tool indicates risk is tolerable as there is low potential for extraction to impact ecological values	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5
Warialda Creek	Fresh Flows	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A		None required – risks are low	N/A		
Warialda Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Bank Full 1.5 years ARI		M	L-	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Warialda Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 2.5 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Warialda Creek	High and Infrequent Flows - Over Bank 5.0 years ARI		M	L0	L	H/M	N/A	N/A			N/A		
Current Critical Mechanisms: E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs).													

SECTION 4.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM EXTRACTION UNDER BLR [E(BLR)] - REGULATED WATER SOURCES											
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of Tolerable Risk Application OR Explanation (required if risk is above tolerable level)	Monitoring and Evaluation
Regulated river	BLR (D&S) extraction	M-VH	Nil	Nil	H/H	N/A	None required. Risk is nil.		N/A	N/A	
Current Critical Mechanisms: E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E2 Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability.											

SECTION 4.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM EXTRACTION UNDER BLR [E(BLR)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES											
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of Tolerable Risk Application OR Explanation (required if risk is above tolerable level)	Monitoring and Evaluation
Bakers Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Barwon	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Booroolong Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Carole Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	L*	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Cobbadah Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	L	H	M	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	M	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Copeton Dam	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Georges Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gil Gil Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	L*	L*	H/L	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Gingham Watercourse	BLR (D&S) extraction	VH	M*	H*	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gurley Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Gwydir	BLR (D&S) extraction	VH	L*	M*	H/L	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	M	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives

SECTION 4.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM EXTRACTION UNDER BLR [E(BLR)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES											
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of Tolerable Risk Application OR Explanation (required if risk is above tolerable level)	Monitoring and Evaluation
Halls Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Keera Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Laura Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Lower Horton**	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Mackenzies Flat	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Mehi River	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	L*	L*	H/N/A	D	No data available. Note: The operational practice of supplying opportunistic replenishment flows to Mongyer Lagoon (for BLR) is expected to be formalised by incorporating rules into the RRWSP		N/A	See below.	
Millie Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Moredun Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Moree	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Mosquito Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Myall Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Rocky Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	L	H	M	H/M	N/A	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	M	See below	MER planned for WSP objectives
Rocky River	BLR (D&S) extraction	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Roumalla Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	M	M	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	M	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Slaughterhouse Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Thalaba Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Tycannah Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Upper Gwydir River	BLR (D&S) extraction	H	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Upper Horton	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	H	H	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	H	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives

SECTION 4.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM EXTRACTION UNDER BLR [E(BLR)] - UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES											
Water source within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of Tolerable Risk Application OR Explanation (required if risk is above tolerable level)	Monitoring and Evaluation
Warialda Creek	BLR (D&S) extraction	M	M	M	H/M	F	16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.	N1 Sustainable diversion limits	M	See below.	MER planned for WSP objectives
Current Critical Mechanisms: E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E2 Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability.											
Explanation of Tolerable Risk Application: Domestic and stock rights are established and controlled under the WM Act. Take from surface waters for this purpose does not require either a water access licence or a work approval. Generally domestic and stock rights estimations are a small component of the consumptive demand on a water source. WSPs recognise and prioritise these rights in the management of long term extraction limits by including estimations in calculations and reducing licensed water use if corrective action is required. Risk to the environment from growth in domestic and stock rights is generally tolerable because it is managed within long term extraction limits and the SDL. In some circumstances, where demand is stronger or when water shortages occur, domestic and stock rights can be restricted by the Minister either temporarily, or through the establishment of a mandatory guideline. Domestic and stock rights may also be limited by the Minister where high hydrologic stress on a water source may result from increased demand due to property subdivision. Risk to other users and the environment from growth in domestic and stock rights in these circumstances is tolerable because there are mechanisms available to manage demand if required. * no data available – rating based on expert opinion											

SECTION 4.5.1 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM INTERCEPTION ACTIVITIES [E(I-FD)]													
Risk	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Regulated	Gwydir Wetlands	-	Negligible	Possible	L	L/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Regulated	Regulated water source	High flows	M-VH	VL	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Copes Creek at Kimberley	Variation Annual Index CV	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Copes Creek at Kimberley	Low Flow Index Q90	L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Copes Creek at Kimberley	High Flow Index Q10	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Variation Annual Index CV	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Low Flow Index Q90	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	High Flow Index Q10	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Rider (Killara)	Variation Annual Index CV	H	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Rider (Killara)	Low Flow Index Q90	H	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Rider (Killara)	High Flow Index Q10	H	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Myall Creek at Molroy	Variation Annual Index CV	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Myall Creek at Molroy	Low Flow Index Q90	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Myall Creek at Molroy	High Flow Index Q10	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Laura Creek at Laura	Variation Annual Index CV	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Laura Creek at Laura	Low Flow Index Q90	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Laura Creek at Laura	High Flow Index Q10	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Halls Creek at Bingara	Variation Annual Index CV	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Halls Creek at Bingara	Low Flow Index Q90	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	

SECTION 4.5.1 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM INTERCEPTION ACTIVITIES [E(I-FD)]													
Risk	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Halls Creek at Bingara	High Flow Index Q10	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Horton Dam Site	Variation Annual Index CV	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Horton Dam Site	Low Flow Index Q90	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Horton Dam Site	High Flow Index Q10	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Booroolong Creek (North Arm) at Black Mountain	Variation Annual Index CV	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Booroolong Creek (North Arm) at Black Mountain	Low Flow Index Q90	L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception E(I-FD)	Unregulated	Booroolong Creek (North Arm) at Black Mountain	High Flow Index Q10	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Current Critical Mechanisms - E(I-FD) E21 Require farm dams with a capacity above the maximum harvestable right dam capacity (MHRDC) to be licensed and comply with extraction limits as described in Strategy 1 (E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs) & E2 Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability)													
New Strategy: None required. Risks are low. Refer to MER. N/A no data available or not applicable													

SECTION 4.5.2 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM INTERCEPTION ACTIVITIES [E(I-PF)]											
Risk	Water Source Type	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for the environment from plantation forestry E(I-PF)	Regulated	M-VH	Nil	Nil	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is nil		N/A	N/A	
	Unregulated	L_VH	Nil	Nil	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is nil		N/A	N/A	
Current Critical Mechanisms - E(I-PF) E22 Plantation forestry interception is considered low risk therefore no mechanisms have been implemented under the WM Act. E23 Ongoing risk monitoring to determine impact of future expansion of forest plantations at local and regional scales											

SECTION 4.5.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM INTERCEPTION ACTIVITIES [E(I-M)]									
Risk	Water Source Type	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Reduced water quantity available for the environment caused by mining E(I-M)	Regulated	Nil	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is nil		N/A	N/A	
	Unregulated	Nil	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is nil		N/A	N/A	
Poor water quality for the environment caused by mining E(I-M)	Regulated	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
	Unregulated	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Damage to structural integrity caused by mining E(I-M)	Regulated	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
	Unregulated	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Current Critical Mechanisms - E(I-M) Impacts of mining and coal seam gas activities are assessed under the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> . Water access licences must be obtained under the <i>NSW Water Management Act 2000</i> . Licences must be obtained via the market. As such, mining activities cannot increase water use and reduce water available for the environment. <i>Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997</i> and the <i>Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997</i> (POEO Act) the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) uses environment protection licences to regulate the activities to avoid and minimise harm caused by water pollution.									

SECTION 4.5.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT FROM INTERCEPTION ACTIVITIES [E(I-FH)]											
Risk	Water Source Type	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for the environment from floodplain harvesting E(I-FH)	Regulated	VH	M	H	H/M	A B	20 Protect the environment and other users from changes in flow attributable to growth in Floodplain harvesting	N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies E29 Implementation of the Floodplain harvesting policy and Healthy Floodplains project	H	Risk is tolerable. See section 5.7.4 of the water resource plan for current management actions and mechanisms. Floodplain Harvesting Policy and Healthy Floodplains Projects mitigate risk	MER for N6
Current Critical Mechanisms - E(I-FH) The Floodplain Harvesting Policy and Healthy Floodplains Projects are converting this form of take into a licensable right. This form of take will be managed under the Floodplain licencing framework.											

SECTION 4.6 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [E(CC)]

Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for the environment due to climate change E(CC)	Gwydir Wetlands	Wet climate change scenario	E1 - Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E2 - Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability.	Negligible	Possible	L	L/M		None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
	Gwydir Wetlands	Median climate change scenario		Moderate	Possible	M	L/M	B C F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
	Gwydir Wetlands	Dry climate change scenario		Severe	Likely	H	L/M	B C F		N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	H		
Risk to water available for the environment due to climate change E(CC)	Regulated												
	Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	Dry climate change scenario	E1 - Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E2 - Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability.	VH	M	H	H/M	B C F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	H	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
	Gwydir at Pallamallawa	Dry climate change scenario		VH	M	H	H/M	B C F			H		
	Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	Dry climate change scenario		VH	M	H	H/M	B C F			H		
	Mallowa Creek at Regulator	Dry climate change scenario		VH	M	H	H/M	B C F			H		
	Mehi at Bronte	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Moomin at Iffley	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		

SECTION 4.6 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [E(CC)]

Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
	Mehi at Collarenebri	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
Risk to water available for the environment due to climate change E(CC)	Unregulated												
	Bakers Creek	Dry climate change scenario	E1 - Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E2 - Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability.	L	M	L	H/M		None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
	Barwon	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
	Booroolong Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F		N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	M		
	Carole Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L*	M	L*	H/L		None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
	Cobbadah Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M		None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
	Copeton Dam	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
	Georges Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		

SECTION 4.6 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [E(CC)]

Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
	Gil Gil Creek	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F		N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	M	aquifer characteristics.	
	Gingham Watercourse	Dry climate change scenario		VH	M	H	H/M	B C F			H		
	Gurley Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Gwydir	Dry climate change scenario		VH	M	H	H/M	B C F			H		
	Halls Creek	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Keera Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Laura Creek	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Lower Horton**	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Mackenzies Flat	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Mehi River	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Millie Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Moredun Creek	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		

SECTION 4.6 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [E(CC)]

Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
	Moree	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Mosquito Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M		None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
	Myall Creek	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
	Rocky Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M		None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
	Rocky River	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M		None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
	Roumalla Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits N5 - Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	MER planned for WSP objectives MER for N5 and N6
	Slaughterhouse Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Thalaba Creek	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Tycannah Creek	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		

SECTION 4.6 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [E(CC)]

Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
	Upper Gwydir River	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Upper Horton	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		
	Warialda Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	B C F			M		

SECTION 5 RISKS TO ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND FUNCTIONS FROM PEST PLANTS AND ANIMALS

Water Source Type	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Gwydir WRP area water sources	M	M	M	M/M	A	No new strategies required		M	Risk is tolerable. Other legislative processes mitigate risk	No MER planned
Current Critical Mechanisms Biosecurity Act 2015 Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 Environmental flow rules described in WRP Section 4.2										

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]

Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.			WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418014 Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Turbidity	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418014 Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Total Phosphorus	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	H	H	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418014 Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Total Nitrogen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	H	H	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418014 Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	pH	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	H	H	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418014 Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Dissolved Oxygen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810006 Laura Creek upstream Bundarra	Turbidity	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810006 Laura Creek upstream Bundarra	Total Phosphorus	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810006 Laura Creek upstream Bundarra	Total Nitrogen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	M	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810006 Laura Creek upstream Bundarra	pH	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810006 Laura Creek upstream Bundarra	Dissolved Oxygen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418008 Gwydir River at Bundarra	Turbidity	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418008 Gwydir River at Bundarra	Total Phosphorus	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418008 Gwydir River at Bundarra	Total Nitrogen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	M	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418008 Gwydir River at Bundarra	pH	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	418008 Gwydir River at Bundarra	Dissolved Oxygen	E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	M	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810033 Myall Creek at Sheep Station Creek Road	Turbidity	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810033 Myall Creek at Sheep Station Creek Road	Total Phosphorus	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810033 Myall Creek at Sheep Station Creek Road	Total Nitrogen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810033 Myall Creek at Sheep Station Creek Road	pH	E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810033 Myall Creek at Sheep Station Creek Road	Dissolved Oxygen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	M	H	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810058 Horton River at Elcombe Road Bridge	Turbidity	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810058 Horton River at Elcombe Road Bridge	Total Phosphorus	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810058 Horton River at Elcombe Road Bridge	Total Nitrogen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810058 Horton River at Elcombe Road Bridge	pH	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41810058 Horton River at Elcombe Road Bridge	Dissolved Oxygen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Regulated	418013 Gwydir River at Gravesend	Turbidity	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E10 - Rates of change to storage release protocol. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418013 Gwydir River at Gravesend	Total Phosphorus	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
E(WQ)	Regulated	418013 Gwydir River at Gravesend	Total Nitrogen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418013 Gwydir River at Gravesend	pH	E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA.	Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Regulated	418013 Gwydir River at Gravesend	Dissolved Oxygen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
E(WQ)	Regulated	418004 Gwydir River at Yarraman Bridge	Turbidity	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E10 - Rates of change to storage release protocol. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418004 Gwydir River at Yarraman Bridge	Total Phosphorus	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418004 Gwydir River at Yarraman Bridge	Total Nitrogen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
E(WQ)	Regulated	418004 Gwydir River at Yarraman Bridge	pH	E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418004 Gwydir River at Yarraman Bridge	Dissolved Oxygen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418002 Mehi River at Moree	Turbidity	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E10 - Rates of change to storage release protocol. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	H	H	M/H	F	2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418002 Mehi River at Moree	Total Phosphorus	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
				of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.						regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.			
E(WQ)	Regulated	418002 Mehi River at Moree	Total Nitrogen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418002 Mehi River at Moree	pH	E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	L	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418002 Mehi River at Moree	Dissolved Oxygen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	VH	M	H	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				
E(WQ)	Regulated	418058 Mehi River at Bronte	Turbidity	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E10 - Rates of change to storage release protocol. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	M	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418058 Mehi River at Bronte	Total Phosphorus	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418058 Mehi River at Bronte	Total Nitrogen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418058 Mehi River at Bronte	pH	E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		WQ 1, 2, 4, 5

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
E(WQ)	Regulated	418058 Mehi River at Bronte	Dissolved Oxygen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	M	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418054 Moomin Creek at Iffley	Turbidity	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E10 - Rates of change to storage release protocol. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	M	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418054 Moomin Creek at Iffley	Total Phosphorus	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418054 Moomin Creek at Iffley	Total Nitrogen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman.	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		WQ 1, 2, 4, 5

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
				E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.										
E(WQ)	Regulated	418054 Moomin Creek at Iffley	pH	E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Regulated	418054 Moomin Creek at Iffley	Dissolved Oxygen	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E7 - Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). E8 - Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	M	M	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41610152 Gil Gil Creek at Collarenebri Road Bridge	Turbidity	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	H	H	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41610152 Gil Gil Creek at Collarenebri Road Bridge	Total Phosphorus	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
				E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .										
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41610152 Gil Gil Creek at Collarenebri Road Bridge	Total Nitrogen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41610152 Gil Gil Creek at Collarenebri Road Bridge	pH	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ)	Unregulated	41610152 Gil Gil Creek at Collarenebri Road Bridge	Dissolved Oxygen	E4 - Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E12 - Cease / commence to pump rules for streams. E13 - Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools. E16 - Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval. E17 - Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams. E18 - Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated Water Sources 2012</i> .	H	L	L	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan		Refer to WQM Plan.		
E(WQ-CWP)	Regulated	Copeton Dam (Gwydir River 200km downstream of dam - includes Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam & Gwydir at Yarraman)	Cold Water Pollution	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands ("3T" low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman.	VH	H	H	M/M	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5

SECTION 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 RISKS TO THE HEALTH OF WATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS FROM POOR WATER QUALITY [E(WQ), [E(WQ-CWP)], [E(WQ-S)], [E(WQ-PT)]]														
Risk Code	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
										The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.				
E(WQ-WWP)	Regulated	Copeton Dam (Gwydir River downstream Copeton)	Warm Water Pollution	E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman.	VH	L	M	M/M	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ-S)	Regulated	418058 Mehi River at Bronte	Salinity	E8 - Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. E9 - Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands (“3T” low flow rule). E6 - Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman. E20 - Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	H	H	H	M/H	F	Refer to WQM Plan 2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. 3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area.	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir WRPA. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP.	Refer to WQM Plan.		MER planned for WSP objectives and WQ 1, 2, 4, 5
E(WQ-PT)	Regulated & Unregulated	-	Pathogens and toxicants	Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 Local Government Act 1993 Local Government (General) Regulation 2005	VH	L	M	H/M	A	No new strategies required	NA	M	Risk is tolerable. Other legislative processes mitigate risk	NA

SECTION 7.4 RISKS TO OTHER WATER USERS DUE TO UNSUITABLE WATER QUALITY [O(WQ-BGA)]															
Risk Code	Risk	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Water Quality Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation

											The primary strategy for addressing risks associated with water quality is the implementation of the Water Quality Management Plan for Gwydir WRPA. Additional actions and mechanisms relevant to the WRP are listed below.			WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
O(WQ-BGA)	Risks to recreational water quality	Reservoir	Copeton Reservoir	Recreational Water Quality (BGA)	Refer to WQM Plan	L	H	M	H/M	F	Refer to WQM Plan	Refer to WQM Plan.		
O(WQ-BGA)	Risks to recreational water quality	Regulated	Gwydir River downstream of Copeton Dam	Recreational Water Quality (BGA)	Refer to WQM Plan	L	L	L	H/M	F	Refer to WQM Plan	Refer to WQM Plan.		
O(WQ-BGA)	Risks to recreational water quality	Regulated	Mehi River at Bronte	Recreational Water Quality (BGA)	Refer to WQM Plan	L	L	L	H/M	F	Refer to WQM Plan	Refer to WQM Plan.		

SECTION 8.2.1 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO INTERCEPTION ACTIVITY [O(I-FD)] – REGULATED WATER SOURCE												
Risk	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for other uses due to farm dam interception (regulated rivers) O(I-FD)	Regulated	High Security	Negligible	Possible	L	M/H	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to farm dam interception (regulated rivers) O(I-FD)	Regulated	General Security Water	Minor	Possible	L	M/H	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to farm dam interception (regulated rivers) O(I-FD)	Regulated	Supplementary Water	Negligible	Possible	L	M/H	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Current Critical Mechanisms - O(I-FD) E21 Require farm dams with a capacity above the maximum harvestable right dam capacity (MHRDC) to be licensed and comply with extraction limits as described in Strategy 1 (E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs) & E2 Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability) N/A no data available or not applicable												

SECTION 8.2.1 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES FROM INTERCEPTION ACTIVITIES [O(I-FD)] – UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Risk	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data Confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Copes Creek at Kimberley	Variation Annual Index CV	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Copes Creek at Kimberley	Low Flow Index Q90	L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Copes Creek at Kimberley	High Flow Index Q10	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Variation Annual Index CV	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Low Flow Index Q90	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	High Flow Index Q10	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	

Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Rider (Killara)	Variation Annual Index CV	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low	N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Rider (Killara)	Low Flow Index Q90	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low	N/A	N/A	

SECTION 8.2.1 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES FROM INTERCEPTION ACTIVITIES [O(I-FD)] – UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Risk	Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence /Likelihood	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Rider (Killara)	High Flow Index Q10	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Myall Creek at Molroy	Variation Annual Index CV	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Myall Creek at Molroy	Low Flow Index Q90	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Myall Creek at Molroy	High Flow Index Q10	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Laura Creek at Laura	Variation Annual Index CV	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Laura Creek at Laura	Low Flow Index Q90	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Laura Creek at Laura	High Flow Index Q10	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Halls Creek at Bingara	Variation Annual Index CV	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Halls Creek at Bingara	Low Flow Index Q90	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Halls Creek at Bingara	High Flow Index Q10	M	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Horton Dam Site	Variation Annual Index CV	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Horton Dam Site	Low Flow Index Q90	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Horton River at Horton Dam Site	High Flow Index Q10	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Booroolong Creek (North Arm) at Black Mountain	Variation Annual Index CV	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Booroolong Creek (North Arm) at Black Mountain	Low Flow Index Q90	L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for the environment from farm dam interception O(I-FD)	Unregulated	Booroolong Creek (North Arm) at Black Mountain	High Flow Index Q10	L	L	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Current Critical Mechanisms - O(I-FD) E21 Require farm dams with a capacity above the maximum harvestable right dam capacity (MHRDC) to be licensed and comply with extraction limits as described in Strategy 1 (E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs) & E2 Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability)													
New Strategy: None required. Risks are low. Refer to MER.													
N/A no data available or not applicable													

Risk	Water Source Type	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for other uses from plantation forestry O(I-PF)	Regulated	H	Nil	Nil	L/M	N/A	None required. Risk is nil		N/A	N/A	
	Unregulated	L-M	Nil	Nil	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is nil		N/A	N/A	
Current Critical Mechanisms - E(I-PF) E22 Plantation forestry interception is considered low risk therefore no mechanisms have been implemented under the WM Act. E23 Ongoing risk monitoring to determine impact of future expansion of forest plantations at local and regional scales											

SECTION 8.2.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES FROM INTERCEPTION ACTIVITIES [E(I-M)]									
Risk	Water Source Type	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Reduced water quantity available for other uses caused by mining E(I-M)	Regulated	Nil	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is nil		N/A	N/A	
	Unregulated	Nil	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is nil		N/A	N/A	
Poor water quality for the other uses caused by mining O(I-M)	Regulated	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
	Unregulated	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Damage to structural integrity caused by mining O(I-M)	Regulated	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
	Unregulated	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low		N/A	N/A	
Current Critical Mechanisms - E(I-M) Impacts of mining and coal seam gas activities are assessed under the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> . <i>Water access licences</i> must be obtained under the <i>NSW Water Management Act 2000</i> . Licences must be obtained via the market. As such, mining activities cannot increase water use and reduce water available for the environment. <i>Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997</i> and the <i>Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997</i> (POEO Act) the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) uses environment protection licences to regulate the activities to avoid and minimise harm caused by water pollution.									

SECTION 8.2.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES FROM INTERCEPTION ACTIVITIES [O(I-FH)]											
Risk	Water Source Type	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategies	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable risk level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for other uses from floodplain harvesting O(I-FH)	Regulated	H	L	M	H/M	A B	20 Protect the environment and other users from changes in flow attributable to growth in Floodplain harvesting	N6 - Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies E29 Implementation of the Floodplain harvesting policy and Healthy Floodplains project	M	This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood. See section 5.7.4 of the water resource plan for current management actions and mechanisms. Floodplain Harvesting Policy and Healthy Floodplains Projects mitigate risk	-
Risk to water available for other uses from floodplain harvesting O(I-FH)	Unregulated	L-M	L	L	H/M	A B			NA		-
Current Critical Mechanisms - E(I-FH) The Floodplain Harvesting Policy and Healthy Floodplains Projects are converting this form of take into a licensable right. This form of take will be managed under the Floodplain licencing framework.											

SECTION 8.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [O(CC)] – REGULATED WATER SOURCE													
Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	High Security	Wet climate change scenario	E2 - Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability.	Negligible	Possible	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	General Security Water	Wet climate change scenario		Negligible	Possible	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Supplementary Water	Wet climate change scenario		Negligible	Possible	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	High Security	Median climate change scenario		Negligible	Possible	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	General Security Water	Median climate change scenario		Minor	Possible	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Supplementary Water	Median climate change scenario		Minor	Possible	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	High Security	Dry climate change scenario		Negligible	Likely	M	M/M	F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits	M	An individual licence holder’s annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	General Security Water	Dry climate change scenario		Major	Likely	H	M/M	F			H		
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Supplementary Water	Dry climate change scenario		Major	Likely	H	M/M	F			H		

SECTION 8.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [O(CC)] – UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES

Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Bakers Creek	Dry climate change scenario	E1 - Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E2 - Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability.	L	M	L	H/M		None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Barwon	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Booroolong Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Carole Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Cobbadah Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Copeton Dam	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Georges Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Gil Gil Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Gingham Watercourse	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Gurley Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	

SECTION 8.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [O(CC)] – UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES

Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Gwydir	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Halls Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Keera Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Laura Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Lower Horton**	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Mackenzies Flat	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Mehi River	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Millie Creek	Dry climate change scenario		H	M	M	H/M	F			M		
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Moredun Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	F			M		

SECTION 8.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [O(CC)] – UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES

Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Moree	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	F			M		
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Mosquito Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Myall Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Rocky Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Rocky River	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Roumalla Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Slaughterhouse Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Thalaba Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Tycannah Creek	Dry climate change scenario		M	M	M	H/M	F	15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change.	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits	M	An individual licence holder's annual access to water is governed by their entitlement and climatic availability. The volume of water available to meet all competing environmental and extractive needs varies on a yearly and daily basis, depending on the weather, river flows and aquifer characteristics.	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Upper Gwydir River	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	

SECTION 8.3 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO CLIMATE CHANGE [O(CC)] – UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES													
Risk	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Climate Change Scenario (MDBSY)	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Upper Horton	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	
Risk to water available for other uses due to climate change O(CC)	Warialda Creek	Dry climate change scenario		L	M	L	H/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A	N/A	

SECTION 8.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO GROWTH IN BLR [O(BLR)] - – REGULATED WATER SOURCE												
Water Source Type	Flow or Extraction Characteristic	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Regulated river	BLR (D&S) extraction	E24 - Minister may restrict BLR access through the establishment of mandatory guidelines. E25 - Minister may temporarily restrict groundwater access where it is in the public interest to do so.	H	Nil	Nil							

SECTION 8.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO GROWTH IN BLR [O(BLR)] – UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES												
Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Unregulated	Bakers Creek	E24 - Minister may restrict BLR access through the establishment of mandatory guidelines. E25 - Minister may temporarily	L	H	M	M/M	D	17 Protect the other water users from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.		M	Risk to other users from growth in domestic and stock rights in these circumstances is tolerable because there are mechanisms available to manage demand if required.	

SECTION 8.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO GROWTH IN BLR [O(BLR)] – UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES

Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Unregulated	Barwon	restrict groundwater access where it is in the public interest to do so.	L	H	M	M/M	D	17 Protect the other water users from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.		M	Risk to other users from growth in domestic and stock rights in these circumstances is tolerable because there are mechanisms available to manage demand if required.	
Unregulated	Booroolong Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Carole Creek		L*	L*	L*	L/L	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Cobbadah Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Copeton Dam		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Georges Creek		L	H	M	M/M	D	17 Protect the other water users from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.		M	Risk to other users from growth in domestic and stock rights in these circumstances is tolerable because there are mechanisms available to manage demand if required.	
Unregulated	Gingham Watercourse		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Gil Gil Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Gurley Creek		L*	L*	L*	L/L	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Gwydir River		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Halls Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Keera Creek		L	M	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Laura Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Lower Horton		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Mackenzies Flat		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Mehi		H	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Millie Creek		M	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Moredun Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		

SECTION 8.4 RISKS TO WATER AVAILABLE FOR OTHER USES DUE TO GROWTH IN BLR [O(BLR)] – UNREGULATED WATER SOURCES

Water Source Type	Location, Reach, or Extraction Type within WRP Area	Current Critical Mechanisms	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk Rating	Data confidence / (Consequence / Likelihood)	Risk treatment option	Strategy	New Critical Mechanisms	Tolerable Risk Level	Explanation of tolerable risk application OR Explanation of why risk cannot be addressed	Monitoring and Evaluation
Unregulated	Moree		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Mosquito Creek		L	H	M	M/M	D	17 Protect the other water users from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use.		M	Risk to other users from growth in domestic and stock rights in these circumstances is tolerable because there are mechanisms available to manage demand if required.	
Unregulated	Myall Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Rocky Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Rocky River		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Roumalla Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Slaughterhouse Creek		L*	L*	L*	L/L	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Thalaba Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Tycannah Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Upper Gwydir River		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Upper Horton		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		
Unregulated	Warialda Creek		L	L	L	M/M	N/A	None required. Risk is low.		N/A		

Current Critical Mechanisms - O(BLR):
E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs).
E2 Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability.
E24 Minister may restrict BLR access through the establishment of mandatory guideline.
E25 Minister may temporarily restrict groundwater access where it is in the public interest to do so.
N/A no data available or not applicable
*No data available – rating based on expert opinion

Consolidated Risk Maps




Figure 2 Consolidated risk map – Insufficient water for the environment




Figure 3 Consolidated risk map – Water quality, temperature and interception

Contents

Executive summary.....	ii
Consolidated Risk Tables	iv
Consolidated Risk Maps	lxxi
Contents	lxxiii
List of Figures	lxxvii
List of Tables	lxxix
Abbreviations	lxxxiv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Document map	1
2 NSW Basin Plan risk assessment framework overview.....	6
2.1 Introduction.....	6
2.2 The risk assessment framework.....	6
2.3 Risk assessment scope	8
2.4 Limitations and uncertainties.....	9
3 Overview of the Gwydir surface water resource plan area (SW15).....	10
3.1 Identification of the Gwydir surface water resource plan area	10
3.2 Characterisation of the Gwydir surface water resource plan area	10
3.3 Connectivity	13
3.3.1 Connectivity between unregulated and regulated water sources within the Gwydir Surface WRP	13
3.3.2 Connectivity with other WRP areas.....	14
4 Risk of insufficient water available for the environment	18
4.1 Background.....	18
4.2 Assigning a consequence rating	19
4.2.1 HEVAE	21
4.2.2 Consequence decision tree.....	23
4.2.3 Consequence Score	23
4.3 Risks to water available for the environment and capacity to meet environmental water requirements [E(W)]	27
4.3.1 Determining the likelihood rating.....	27
4.3.2 Existing water management actions & mechanisms.....	36
4.3.3 Risk outcomes	37
4.4 Risks to water available for the environment from extraction under basic landholder rights [E(BLR)]	41
4.4.1 Determining the likelihood rating.....	42
4.4.2 Existing water management actions & mechanisms.....	43
4.4.3 Risk outcomes	44

4.5	Risk to water available for the environment from interception activities [E(I-FD), E(I-PF), E(I-M), E(I-FH)]	46
4.5.1	Farm dams (runoff dams) [E(I-FD)]	46
4.5.2	Commercial plantations [E(I-PF)]	55
4.5.3	Mining [E(I-M)]	57
4.5.4	Floodplain harvesting [E(I-FH)]	59
4.6	Risk to water available for the environment due to climate change [E(CC)]	60
4.6.1	Assigning a consequence rating	61
4.6.2	Determining the likelihood rating	61
4.6.3	Existing water management actions & mechanisms	63
4.6.4	Risk outcomes	63
5	Risk to environmental assets and functions from pest plants and animals	67
6	Risks to health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality [E(WQ)]	68
6.1	Background	68
6.2	Existing water management actions & mechanisms	68
6.3	Risks due to water temperature outside natural ranges	69
6.3.1	Assigning a consequence rating	70
6.3.2	Determining the likelihood rating	70
6.3.3	Risk outcomes	71
6.4	Risks due to turbidity, TP, TN, pH & DO	72
6.4.1	Assigning a consequence rating	73
6.4.2	Determining the likelihood rating	74
6.4.3	Risk outcomes	75
6.5	Risks due to elevated levels of instream salinity [E(WQ-S)]	79
6.5.1	Assigning a consequence rating	79
6.5.2	Determining the likelihood rating	79
6.5.3	Risk outcomes	80
6.6	Risks due to pathogens and toxicants [E(WQ-PT)]	80
6.6.1	Assigning a consequence rating	81
6.6.2	Determining the likelihood rating	81
6.6.3	Risk outcomes	82
7	Risk to other water uses due to unsuitable water quality [O(WQ)]	83
7.1	Background	83
7.2	Existing water management actions & mechanisms	83
7.3	Risks to irrigation water from elevated instream salinity [O(WQ-S)]	83
7.4	Risks to water used for recreational purposes [O(WQ-BGA)]	83
7.4.1	Existing water management actions & mechanisms	84
7.4.2	Assigning a consequence rating	85

7.4.3	Determining the likelihood rating	86
7.4.4	Risk outcomes	87
7.5	Risks to water used for human consumption	87
7.6	Other values	87
8	Risks to water available for other uses	89
8.1	Background	89
8.2	Risks to other water users from interception activities [O(I-FD),O(I-PF),O(I-M),O(I-FH)]	89
8.2.1	Farm dams (runoff dams) [O(I-FD)]	89
8.2.2	Commercial plantations [O(I-PF)]	94
8.2.3	Mining (including CSG) [O(I-M)]	95
8.2.4	Floodplain harvesting [O(I-FH)]	96
8.3	Risk to other water users due to climate change [O(CC)]	97
8.3.1	Assigning a consequence rating	97
8.3.2	Determine the likelihood rating	99
8.3.3	Existing water management actions & mechanisms	100
8.3.4	Risk outcomes	100
8.4	Risk to other water users due to growth in BLR [O(BLR)]	103
8.4.1	Assigning a consequence rating	103
8.4.2	Determine the likelihood rating	105
8.4.3	Existing water management actions & mechanisms	106
8.4.4	Risk outcomes	107
8.5	Other values	109
9	Risk treatment overview	110
9.1	Existing water resource management strategies, actions and mechanisms	113
9.2	Tolerable risk results	113
9.2.1	Predefined tolerable risk criteria	113
9.2.2	Risks assessed as tolerable following application of a risk treatment option	115
9.2.3	Trade-off assessments	115
9.3	New or modified water resource management strategies, actions and mechanisms	115
9.4	Knowledge strategies	116
9.5	Summary of strategies to address risk	118
	Definitions	130
	References	133
	Appendix A—Data summary tables	138
	Appendix B—HEVAE alignment with Schedules 8 & 9 of the Basin Plan	148
	Appendix C—HEVAE consequence scoring	151
	Appendix D—Threatened fish species & distribution	154
	Appendix E—Flow variation indices in unregulated water sources	156

Appendix F—Unregulated rivers tolerable risk decision tool.....	159
Appendix G—Strategy development – constraints & decision trees	163
Appendix H—Risk assessment definitions	176

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Components of the WRP	2
Figure 2-1 The NSW Basin Plan risk assessment framework.....	6
Figure 2-2 Example of an impact pathway for identifying risks to the environment associated with river regulation and licensed extraction	7
Figure 3-1 The Gwydir Surface WRP (SW15).....	11
Figure 3-2 Sub areas of the Gwydir Surface WRP (SW15) (upland, midland and lowland)	12
Figure 3-3 Regulated river entitlement in the Gwydir Surface WRP (SW15)	12
Figure 3-4 Unregulated water sources and entitlement in the Gwydir Surface WRP (SW15)	13
Figure 3-5 Impact pathway showing risk to groundwater ecological assets and functions from reduced recharge.....	15
Figure 4-1 Risk pathways for risks of insufficient water for the environment and capacity to meet environmental watering requirements.....	19
Figure 4-2 MDBA hydrologic indicator sites and representative regulated reaches for the Gwydir Surface WRP.....	20
Figure 4-3 Location of unregulated flow gauges and the MDBA hydrologic indicator site used for generating flow sequences in unregulated rivers in the Gwydir Surface WRP	20
Figure 4-4 The four HEVAE criteria and associated attributes used in assessing HEVAE in NSW	22
Figure 4-5 HEVAE scoring of instream values in the Gwydir Surface WRP.....	26
Figure 4-6 Impact pathway showing impact of river regulation and licensed extraction on water available for the environment	27
Figure 4-7 Impact pathway showing risk of growth in BLR reducing water available for the environment	41
Figure 4-8 Impact pathway showing risk of growth in farm dams reducing water available for the environment	47
Figure 4-9 Impact pathway showing risk of growth in plantation forests reducing water available for the environment.....	56
Figure 4-10 Impact pathway showing risk of mining activities reducing water quantity and quality for the environment.....	57
Figure 4-11 Impact pathway showing risk of growth in floodplain harvesting reducing water available for the environment.....	59
Figure 4-12 Impact pathway showing how change in climate can impact on the availability of water for the environment.....	60
Figure 6-1 Impact pathway showing impact of cold water pollution on ecological functions and assets	69
Figure 6-2 Impact pathway showing impact of warm water pollution on ecological functions and assets.....	70
Figure 6-3 Impact pathway showing impact of water quality degradation (increased turbidity, TP, TN, pH & DO) on ecological functions and assets.....	72
Figure 6-4 Water quality monitoring sites used to determine water quality target application zones for water-dependent ecosystems (Schedule 11 of the Basin Plan).....	74
Figure 6-5 Impact pathway showing impact of elevated levels of instream salinity on ecological functions and assets in the Gwydir Surface WRP.....	79
Figure 6-6. Impact pathway showing how risk of poor water quality (pathogens, pesticides and other toxic contaminants) can impact on ecological assets and functions.....	81

Figure 7-1 Impact pathway showing risk to recreation water quality and human health from blue-green algae	84
Figure 7-2 Conceptual outline of how algal alert levels are used in NSW	85
Figure 8-1 Impact pathway showing impact of farm dams on water available for other uses	90
Figure 8-2 Impact pathway showing impact of commercial plantations on water available for other uses	94
Figure 8-3 Impact pathway showing impact of mining on water available for other uses	95
Figure 8-4 Impact pathway showing impact of floodplain harvesting on water available for other uses.....	96
Figure 8-5 Impact pathway showing impact of change in climate on ability to meet other water user's water requirements.....	97
Figure 8-6 Impact pathway showing risk to licensed water users due to growth in BLR access in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA	103
Figure 9-1 Risk treatment pathway.....	111

List of Tables

Table 1-1 Basin Plan requirements and where each is addressed in this risk assessment document.....	3
Table 2-1 Summary of causes, threats and impacts considered in this risk assessment	7
Table 2-2 The risk assessment matrix.....	8
Table 2-3 Criteria used for rating confidence in data	9
Table 3-1 Risk to environmental assets and functions within the Gwydir Surface WRP A due to connectivity with Barwon- Darling Watercourse WRP A.....	14
Table 3-2 Risk of insufficient surface water impacting on groundwater priority assets and functions in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	15
Table 3-3 Adjacent SDL resource units.....	17
Table 4-1 Examples of flow sensitivity weightings used in the HEVAE process.....	22
Table 4-2 Consequence results for the Gwydir regulated water source in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	24
Table 4-3 Consequence results for the Gwydir unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A	25
Table 4-4 Key features of flow regimes which impact on ecosystem functions and environmental assets	28
Table 4-5 Alignment of EWRs of the LTWP and flow components assessed in the Gwydir Surface WRP A risk assessment.....	30
Table 4-6 Likelihood metrics for risk of insufficient water available for the environment and risk to EWRs in the regulated Gwydir River.....	33
Table 4-7 Likelihood results for the Gwydir regulated river	34
Table 4-8 Likelihood metrics for risk of insufficient water available for the environment and risk to EWRs in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	34
Table 4-9 Likelihood results for unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	35
Table 4-10 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water for the environment and capacity to meet EWRs.....	37
Table 4-11 Risk of insufficient water for the environment and capacity to meet EWRs in the regulated Gwydir River [E(W)]	38
Table 4-12 Risk of insufficient water for the environment and capacity to meet EWRs in the unregulated water sources of the Gwydir Surface WRP A [E(W)].....	39
Table 4-13 Likelihood metrics for risk of growth in BLR in unregulated water sources.....	42
Table 4-14 Likelihood results for unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	42
Table 4-15 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of increased BLR extraction impacting on water available for the environment	44
Table 4-16 Risk of increased BLR extraction impacting on water available for the environment in the regulated water source of the Gwydir Surface WRP A [E(BLR)].....	44
Table 4-17 Risk of increased BLR extraction impacting on water available for the environment in the unregulated water sources of the Gwydir Surface WRP A [E(BLR)]	44
Table 4-18 Environmental flow indicators developed in the MDBSY Project for the Gwydir Wetlands (CSIRO 2007)	48
Table 4-19 Consequence results for environmental assets (Gwydir Wetlands) in the Gwydir regulated water source in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	48
Table 4-20 Consequence results for the unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A	49

Table 4-21 MDBSY Project Scenarios.....	49
Table 4-22 Likelihood metrics selected for modelled scenarios in the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source in the Gwydir Surface WRP (SKM 2008)	50
Table 4-23 Likelihood results for modelled scenarios in the Gwydir Wetlands	50
Table 4-24 Likelihood metrics for Regulated river water sources.....	51
Table 4-25 Likelihood results for Gwydir regulated river	51
Table 4-26 Likelihood metrics for risk to water available for the environment in unregulated water sources due to interception	52
Table 4-27 Likelihood results for risk to water for the environment in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP due to interception activities	52
Table 4-28 Matrix used to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water available for the Gwydir Wetlands from potential interception activities in Gwydir Regulated River Water Source, adapted from CSIRO (2008)	53
Table 4-29 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in the Gwydir Wetlands as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [E(I-FD)]	53
Table 4-30 Matrix used to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water available for the environment from potential interception activities in Gwydir Regulated River Water Source	53
Table 4-31 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in the regulated Gwydir River as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [E(I-FD)]	54
Table 4-32 Matrix used to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water available for the environment from potential interception activities in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP	54
Table 4-33 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [E(I-FD)]	55
Table 4-34 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of increased commercial plantations impacting on water available for the environment	56
Table 4-35 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP as a result of plantation forests [E(I-PF)].....	57
Table 4-36 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP as a result of mining activities [E(I-M)]	58
Table 4-37 Likelihood metrics for floodplain harvesting	59
Table 4-38 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP as a result of floodplain harvesting activities [E(I-FH)].....	60
Table 4-39 Consequence results for environmental assets (Gwydir Wetlands) receiving flows from the Gwydir regulated river in the Gwydir Surface WRP.....	61
Table 4-40 Data used to assess risk likelihood to the Gwydir Wetlands from change in climate (CSIRO 2007)	62
Table 4-41 Likelihood results for environmental assets (Gwydir Wetlands) receiving insufficient flows from the Gwydir regulated river in the Gwydir Surface WRP.....	62
Table 4-42 Impacts of climate change scenarios on mean annual runoff and rainfall	62
Table 4-43 Likelihood metrics for climate change impacts on surface water.....	63
Table 4-44 Matrix used to determine risk of insufficient water available for the environment from potential change in climate adapted from CSIRO (2008)	64
Table 4-45 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in the Gwydir Wetlands as a result of change in climate [E(CC)]	64

Table 4-46 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water for the environment from potential change in climate in regulated and unregulated rivers.....	64
Table 4-47 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in regulated river sections in the Gwydir Surface WSPA as a result of climate change [E(CC)]	65
Table 4-48 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in unregulated river sections in the Gwydir Surface WSPA as a result of climate change [E(CC)]	65
Table 5-1 Risk to environmental assets and functions within the Gwydir Surface WSPA due to pest plants and animals	67
Table 6-1 Likelihood metrics for risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from CWP.....	70
Table 6-2 Likelihood metrics for risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from WWP.....	71
Table 6-3 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of water temperature outside natural ranges impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems.....	71
Table 6-4 Risks of water temperature outside natural ranges impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems [E(WQ-CWP)] and [E(WQ-WWP)]	72
Table 6-5 Consequence results for reaches of the Gwydir regulated river in the Gwydir Surface WSPA.....	73
Table 6-6 Consequence ratings in unregulated reaches in the Gwydir Surface WSPA	73
Table 6-7 Basin Plan (Schedule 11) water quality targets for 'other water-dependent ecosystems'	74
Table 6-8 Likelihood metrics for risk of water quality impacting water-dependent ecosystems in the Gwydir Surface WSPA.....	75
Table 6-9 Likelihood results in regulated river reaches exceeding Basin Plan water quality targets.....	75
Table 6-10 Likelihood results in unregulated river reaches exceeding Basin Plan water quality targets.....	75
Table 6-11 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of exceeding water quality targets on water-dependent ecosystems.....	76
Table 6-12 Risks of exceeding water quality targets impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems in the regulated reaches of the Gwydir Surface WSPA [E(WQ)]	77
Table 6-13 Risks of exceeding water quality targets impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems in the unregulated water sources of the Gwydir Surface WSPA [E(WQ)]	78
Table 6-14 Likelihood metrics for risk to health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality in the regulated river in the Gwydir Surface WSPA.....	80
Table 6-15 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of elevated levels of instream salinity on water-dependent ecosystems.....	80
Table 6-16 Risks of elevated levels of instream salinity impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems in the regulated system of the Gwydir Surface WSPA [E(WQ-S)]	80
Table 6-17 Likelihood metrics and categories for risk to health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (pathogens and toxicants) in the Gwydir Surface WSPA	82
Table 6-18 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of impact of pathogens and toxicants on water-dependent ecosystems 82	
Table 7-1 Consequence metrics for risk to water used for recreational uses due to blue-green algae in the Gwydir Surface WSPA.....	86
Table 7-2 Consequence results for risk to recreational water users in the Gwydir Surface WSPA.....	86
Table 7-3 Likelihood metrics for risks from blue-green algae in the Gwydir Surface WSPA.....	86
Table 7-4 Likelihood results for risk due to blue-green algae.....	86
Table 7-5 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of blue-green algae to recreational water uses	87

Table 7-6 Risks from blue-green algae to recreational water uses in the Gwydir Surface WRP A [O(WQ-BGA)]	87
Table 8-1 Consequence thresholds for different types of water access licences in regulated rivers.....	91
Table 8-2 Data used to assess consequences to different types of water access licences in the regulated river due to interception by farm dams	91
Table 8-3 Consequence results for different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river due to interception by farm dams	91
Table 8-4 Likelihood results for different types of water access licences in the regulated Gwydir River. Likelihood metric estimates the likelihood of insufficient water access	92
Table 8-5 Matrix used to determine risk from farm dams reducing water availability to other users in the Gwydir regulated river	93
Table 8-6 Risks of insufficient water available for different categories of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [O(I-FD)]	93
Table 8-7 Matrix used to determine risk from potential interception activities to other water users in Gwydir unregulated water sources	93
Table 8-8 Risks of insufficient water available for other users in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [O(I-FD)]	94
Table 8-9 Risks of insufficient water available for other water users in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A as a result of plantation forests [O(I-PF)]	95
Table 8-10 Risks of insufficient water available for other water users in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A as a result of mining [O(I-M)]	95
Table 8-11 Likelihood metrics for floodplain harvesting	96
Table 8-12 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of increased floodplain harvesting to other water users....	97
Table 8-13 Risks of insufficient water available for other water users in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A as a result of floodplain harvesting [O(I-FH)]	97
Table 8-14 Consequence results for different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river	98
Table 8-15 Data used to assess consequences to different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river due to climate change.....	98
Table 8-16 Likelihood results for different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river under different climate change scenarios. Likelihood metric estimates the likelihood of insufficient water access	99
Table 8-17 Matrix used to determine risk from potential change in climate	100
Table 8-18 Risks to different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river under different climate change scenarios [O(CC)].....	100
Table 8-19 Risks of insufficient water available for other water users in unregulated river sections in the Gwydir Surface WRP A as a result of climate change [O(CC)]	101
Table 8-20 Consequence metrics for increased extraction by BLR in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	104
Table 8-21 Consequence ratings for increased extraction by BLR in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	104
Table 8-22 Likelihood metrics for increased extraction by BLR in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	105
Table 8-23 Likelihood ratings for increased extraction by BLR in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A.....	105

Table 8-24 Risk of increased BLR extraction impacting on water available for other water users in the regulated water source of the Gwydir Surface WSPA [O(BLR)]	107
Table 8-25 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of increased BLR extraction to other water users in unregulated water sources	107
Table 8-26 Risks of increased BLR extraction to other water users in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA [O(BLR)]	108
Table 8-27 BLR and entitlement volumes for medium and high risk water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA	109
Table 9-1 Risk treatment options explained	112
Table 9-2 Further information regarding existing WM Act-based strategies, actions and mechanisms	113
Table 9-3 Tolerable risk result rationale	114
Table 9-4 Principles guiding development of strategies in NSW	116
Table 9-5 New or modified water management actions and mechanisms	116
Table 9-6 Knowledge strategies	117
Table 9-7 Summary of strategies to address risk	118
Table 9-8 Abbreviations used in Table 9-7	127

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
ARI	Average recurrence interval
AWD	Available water determination
BLR	basic landholder rights
COAG	Council of Australian Governments
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
CWP	Cold water pollution
CSG	Coal seam gas
DPE	Department of Primary and Environment (now NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water)
DPI Water	Department of Primary Industries Water (now part of NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water)
EWA	Environmental water allowance (replacing environmental contingency allowance ECA)
EWI	Environmental watering requirements
HEVAE	High ecological value aquatic ecosystems
LLS	Local Land Services
LTWP	Long-term water plan
MDB	Murray–Darling Basin
MDBSY Project	Murray–Darling Basin Sustainable Yield Project
MDBA	Murray–Darling Basin Authority
NoW	NSW Office of Water (now part of NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water)
OEI	NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (now part of NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water)
NRC	Natural Resources Commission
NRM	Natural resource management
NWI	National Water Initiative
SDL	Sustainable diversion limit
WM Act	<i>Water Management Act 2000</i>
WRP	Water resource plan
WRPA	Water resource plan area
WSP	Water sharing plan
WQM Plan	Water quality management plan
E(W)	Risks to water available for the environment due to river regulation and licensed extraction (regulated and unregulated)
E(BLR)	Risks to water available for the environment from extraction by basic landholder rights (unregulated)
E(I-FD)	Risk to water available for the environment from interception activities (farm dams) (regulated and unregulated)
E(I-PF)	Risk to water available for the environment from interception activities (plantation forestry) (regulated)
E(CC)	Risk to water available for the environment due to climate change (regulated)
E(WQ-CWP)	Risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (cold water pollution)
E(WQ-WWP)	Risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (warm water pollution)
E(WQ)	Risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (TP, TN, pH, Turbidity, DO)
E(WQ-S)	Risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (instream salinity)
O(WQ-BGA)	Risks to recreational water quality and human health from blue-green algae
O(I-FD)	Risk to water available for other uses from interception activities (farm dams) (regulated rivers)
O(I-PF)	Risk to water available for other uses from interception activities (plantation forestry) (regulated rivers)
O(CC)	Risk to water available for other uses from climate change (regulated rivers)
O(BLR)	Risk to water available for other uses from growth in BLR (unregulated rivers)

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The Basin Plan is an adaptive management framework that has been developed by the Murray-Darling Basin Authority (MDBA) to provide a coordinated approach to managing water resources across the four member states and territory in the Murray-Darling Basin (MDB).

A risk assessment is a key step in the development of a water resource plan (WRP) for each valley and groundwater source in the MDB. Chapter 4, Part 2 of the Basin Plan (Risks and strategies to address risks) sets out matters that must be considered in terms of risk and management strategies in WRPs. Chapter 10, Part 9 (Approaches to addressing risk to water resources) outlines how Basin States must undertake risk assessments as well as the MDBA's associated accreditation requirements.

The Basin Plan requires that a WRP must be prepared having regard to current or future risks to the condition and continued availability of water resources of a water resource plan area. This risk assessment will form Schedule D of the WRP.

Figure 1-1 illustrates the relationship of the risk assessment document with the other elements of the WRP.

1.2 Document map

This risk assessment identifies and addresses risks to water resources to meet the requirements of Chapter 10, Part 9. Table 1-1 summarises where the Basin Plan requirements are addressed in this risk assessment.

The document is organised according to receptors, such that the risks to the environment (Sections 4 and 5) and risks to other water-dependent values and users (Sections 6 and 7) are assessed together.

These sections address risk to the condition or continued availability of Basin water resources and the consequences of the materialisation of these risks as identified in Chapter 4.02 of the Basin Plan; namely, that water quality or quantity is insufficient to meet consumptive, economic, environmental, and public benefit (social, cultural, Indigenous) uses and values.

Risks are analysed in Sections 4 to 7 of this report. Five basic steps are described for each risk (10.41(5)); these are:

- The risk pathway, with a summary of how the cause and threat may arise (10.41(2), (3); 10.42(b))
- Identification of likelihood and consequence metrics, and description of how low, medium and high categories were defined for each metric (10.41(5); 10.42(a))
- Summary of the data and method used to fulfil each likelihood and consequence metric (10.41(7))
- Identification and discussion of strategies that are in place to address risks (10.43)
- Combination of likelihood and consequence ratings to derive an overall risk rating (10.41(5),(6)).

Note that where a risk result is highlighted as medium or high, it does not necessarily imply that existing management actions and mechanisms require change or are inadequate. In many circumstances these risks will already have a level of management in place that is commensurate with the risk result.

Strategies for addressing risks as having a medium or higher level of risk (10.43(1)) are discussed in Section 9.




Figure 1-1 Components of the WRP

Table 1-1 Basin Plan requirements and where each is addressed in this risk assessment document

Basin Plan requirement		Location in Risk Assessment		Location in WRP
Chapter 10, Part 9		Section 1	Introduction	
10.41(1)	Having regard to current and future risks	Section 2	NSW risk assessment framework overview	3
		2.1	Introduction	
10.41(7)	Describe the data and methods used	2.2	Risk assessment framework	3.1
		2.3	Risk assessment scope	
10.41(8)	Describe the uncertainties	2.4	Limitations and uncertainties	3.2
		Section 3	Overview of the WRP area	
10.02	Identification of the water resource plan area and water resources	3.1	Identification of the water resource plan area	2
10.03	Identification of SDL resource units and water resources			2.1 2.2
		3.2	Characterisation of the WRPA	
10.05 10.19 10.41(1), 10.41(2)(b), 10.20(1)(b) 10.23(1)(b)	Regard to other water resources Groundwater and surface water connections Risks arising from structural damage to an aquifer and the hydraulic relationships between groundwater and surface water systems	3.3	Connectivity within and between WRPA's	2.2 3 5.7
10.41(3)(a), 4.02(1)(a)	Insufficient water available for the environment	Section 4	Risk of insufficient water for the environment	3.2
10.41(1)	Regard to current and future risks	4.1	Background	3.1
Schedule 8, Schedule 9	Criteria for identifying an environmental asset and an ecosystem function	4.2	Assigning a consequence rating (HEVAE)	3.1
10.41(1), 10.41(2)(a), 10.41(5),(6)	Risks to water available for the environment and capacity to meet environmental watering requirements	4.3	Risks to water available for the environment due to river regulation and licensed extraction	3 3.1 3.2
10.41(1), 10.41(2)(a), 10.41(5),(6)	Risks to water available for the environment	4.4	Risks to water available for the environment from extraction by basic landholder rights	3 3.1 3.2
10.41(1), 10.41(2)(c), 10.23, 10.41(5),(6)		4.5	Risk to water available for the environment from interception activities (farm dams, plantation forestry, mining, floodplain harvesting)	
10.41(1) , 10.41(5),(6)		4.6	Risk to water available for the environment due to climate change	
10.41(3)	Risk to environmental assets and functions from pest plants and animal	Section 5	Risk to environmental assets and functions from pest plants and animal	3 3.1 3.2
10.41(3)(a), 4.02(1)(c)	Poor health of water-dependent ecosystems	Section 6	Risks to health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality	3 3.1 3.2 6

Basin Plan requirement		Location in Risk Assessment		Location in WRP
10.41(1)	Regard to current and future risks	6.1	Background	3 3.1 3.2
Schedule 8, Schedule 9	Criteria for identifying an environmental asset and an ecosystem function	6.3.1, 6.4.1, 6.5.1, 6.6.1	Assigning a consequence rating (HEVAE)	3.1
10.41(1), 10.41(1)(d), 10.41(5),(6)	Risks arising from elevated levels of salinity or other types of water quality degradation	6.3	Risks due to water temperature outside natural ranges	3 3.1 3.2 6
		6.4	Risks due to turbidity, TP, TN, pH, turbidity and DO	
		6.5	Risks due to elevated levels of instream salinity	
		6.6	Risks due to pathogens and toxicants	
10.41(3)(a), 4.02(1)(b), 4.02(2)(a)(b)	Risks identified in section 4.02 Risk arising from water being of a quality unsuitable for use Water is not suitable for consumptive and other economic uses of Basin water resources Water is not suitable to maintain social, cultural, Indigenous and other public benefit values	Section 7	Risk to other water uses due to unsuitable water quality	3 3.1 3.2 6
10.41(1), 10.41(2)(d), 10.41(5),(6)	Risks arising from elevated levels of salinity or other types of water quality degradation	7.3	Risks to irrigation water from elevated instream salinity	3 3.1 3.2 6
		7.4	Risks to water used for recreational purposes	
		7.5	Risks to water used for human consumption	
		7.6	Other values	
10.41(3)(a), 4.02(1)(b), 4.02(2)(a)(b)	Risks identified in section 4.02 Insufficient water available for consumptive and other economic uses of Basin water resources Insufficient water available to maintain social, cultural, Indigenous and other public benefit values	Section 8	Risk to water available for other uses	3 3.1 3.2 3.3
10.41(1), 10.41(2)(c), 10.23, 10.41(5),(6)	Risks arising from potential interception activities	8.1	Risks to other water users from interception activities	3 3.1 3.2 3.3
10.41(1), 10.41(5),(6)	Future risks	8.2	Risk to other water users due to climate change	3 3.1 3.2 3.3
		8.3	Risk to other water users due to growth in BLR	
		8.4	Other values	
10.41(1), 10.42, 10.43	Lists the risks identified Strategies for addressing risks as having a medium or higher level of risk	Section 9	Summary of risks, tolerable discussion, revised risk tables identifying tolerable	3 3.1
		Executive Summary	Consolidated Risk Tables	3.2 3.3

Basin Plan requirement		Location in Risk Assessment	Location in WRP
10.41(7)(8)	Description of the data and methods Description of uncertainty	Appendix A	3.1

2 NSW Basin Plan risk assessment framework overview

2.1 Introduction


Risk-based management is not a new concept in water resource planning in NSW. Considerable work has been undertaken by State governments and under Commonwealth-level intergovernmental initiatives to design and implement risk-based water planning. The *National Water Initiative (NWI) Policy Guidelines for Water Planning and Management* (NWI 2010) endorsed by the Council of Australian Governments (COAG), adopts a risk-management approach.

Risk-based management assists water managers in prioritising and directing time and effort to monitor, mitigate or respond to the factors that pose the highest overall risks. It ensures that management is targeted at the appropriate part of the water system.

NSW has been implementing risk-based water planning processes since implementing water reform in the late 1990s. These approaches have included the initial Stressed Rivers and Aquifer Risk Assessments in 1998 (DLWC 1998a and b). The macro-water planning process adopted in 2004 to complete water sharing plans across the State also used a risk-based approach (NSW Office of Water 2011; Raine et al. 2012).

2.2 The risk assessment framework

Risk assessments for each NSW WRP follow the process illustrated in Figure 2-1. This process is consistent with the NWI *Policy Guidelines for Water Planning and Management* and NSW's Basin Plan obligations.



Source: AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 (Standards Australia 2009)

Figure 2-1 The NSW Basin Plan risk assessment framework

The risk assessment framework adopts a cause/threat/impact model that describes the pathway for impacts to a receptor. Adopting the cause/threat/impact pathway approach provides a systematic way to identify the full range of factors that may lead to an impact, while also being consistent with the internationally recognised risk standard which considers both likelihood and consequence.

Causes have the potential to induce a threat to various extents, depending upon the characteristics of the water resource. Receptors are considered in an intergenerational context, that is, current and future uses and users, as required under subsection 10.41(1).

The causes, threats and impacts considered in this assessment are summarised in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1 Summary of causes, threats and impacts considered in this risk assessment

Cause	Threat	Impact
River regulation Licensed surface water extraction (regulated and unregulated) Growth in extraction under basic landholder rights Interception activities (including farm dams and plantation forestry) Change in runoff and rainfall from climate change Land management practices and natural landscape system processes	Alteration to ecologically significant flow regime components (zero flow periods, base flows, fresh flows, high flows) Reduced surface water availability Reduced runoff into surface water sources Poor instream water quality (temperature depression, suspended matter, nutrients, dissolved oxygen, pH)	Change to instream environmental assets and ecosystem functions Human health and recreational use Reduced water availability for other uses

The risk level of an impact is a function of the *likelihood* of a cause and threat occurring, and the *consequence* of the impact on the receptor. For this risk assessment, the following definitions have been adopted:

- **Likelihood:** the probability that a cause will result in a threat. It is not an indication of the size of the threat, but rather conveys the probability that the threat will be significant.
- **Consequence:** the loss of value for an impacted receptor.

An example of how the cause/threat/impact model and likelihood/consequence standard have been combined is illustrated in Figure 2-2, for risks arising from river regulation and surface water extraction.




Figure 2-2 Example of an impact pathway for identifying risks to the environment associated with river regulation and licensed extraction

Risk levels are calculated using the standard risk assessment matrix used under the macro-planning approach (Table 2-2). Specific risk matrices for each risk are provided within this document.

Table 2-2 The risk assessment matrix

Risk Level		Likelihood		
		1	2	3
Consequence	1	Low	Low	Medium
	2	Low	Medium	High
	3	Medium	High	High

A consolidated risk table has been developed for each water resource plan area (WRPA) to capture the risk assessment process. Key elements of the register include identification of the risk causes, threats and impacts, consequence and likelihood metrics, existing water management actions and mechanisms, and risk outcomes. The consolidated table will also be used to assist in ongoing monitoring and evaluation.

An outline of the process and definitions used in this risk assessment is provided in Appendix H.

2.3 Risk assessment scope

The Basin Plan sets out the risks to be included in a risk assessment. Based on these requirements, the criteria adopted for including cause/threat/impact combinations in this assessment are that:

- The risk directly relates to a change in the water resource, which may change the quantity or quality of the resource.
- Risks for which the cause or threat would be mitigated through the use of NSW water management tools, such as rules within a water sharing plan.

Risks identified in the Basin Plan that do not have an apparent cause/threat/impact pathway in a water resource context have not been qualitatively assessed; rather, appropriate commentary is provided to document a clear rationale. Alternatively, reference is made to other supporting documents.

Establishing the timeframe for the risk assessment determines the point from which the potential for impact will be assessed. As required by the Basin Plan, this risk assessment identifies and assesses current and future risks. The following definitions have been adopted:

- **Current risk:** the risk that exists prior to the commencement of the WRP and prior to the application of any new or altered water management actions and mechanisms and strategies. Current risk has been assessed with the existing water sharing plan (WSP) rules based on the *Water Management Act 2000* (WM Act) set in place.
- **Future risk:** these risks may affect the condition or continued availability of water resources during or subsequent to the 10 year term of relevant WSPs. Future risk is also assessed with the existing WSP or WM Act-based strategy set in place. Future risks that have been assessed include risk to the environment and to licensed water users from growth in water use by basic landholder rights (both domestic and stock and farm dam interception), interception activities (including plantation forestry and farm dams) and climate change.

As noted above, many water management actions and mechanisms are already in place, which may adequately address risk. The purpose of this risk assessment is therefore to review the risks and associated management measures for current and future effectiveness, and to verify whether the level of risk is matched by the level of water resource management. This purpose is underpinned in the WRPs through the inclusion of monitoring, review and adaptive management processes to confirm that the risk levels derived are appropriate, and the management of the risks is effective and commensurate with the level of risk.

Scale is important to the interpretation of risk results, as even within a water source or along a particular reach, risks for specific receptors will vary depending upon factors such as the level of development and use.

Generally, this risk framework has been applied at the finest scale for which data was available. In the unregulated system, a water source scale was adopted to match current WSP boundaries. A reach scale for regulated rivers within the Gwydir surface water sustainable diversion limit (SDL) resource unit was used to match MDBA gauge sites for hydrological modelling.

The qualitative risk values assigned to cause/threat/impacts should therefore be considered in this context. As much as possible, strategies identified to manage medium and high risks take into consideration any local-scale characteristics which may have some bearing on the assessed risks.

2.4 Limitations and uncertainties

A 'best available information' approach was used to undertake this risk assessment. As much as practically possible, the most current data available were used to assess risks. Where relevant data has been made available after the completion of the analyses and time constraints preclude its inclusion in this risk assessment, it will be considered in any future risk assessments. Similarly, any new or additional data will be integrated into future risk assessments after the WRP commences, where appropriate.

A description of the data sources used to quantify likelihood and consequence metrics is provided in Appendix A to meet subsection 10.41(7). Appendix A also discusses the uncertainties in risk and the confidence level of the metrics used, to meet subsection 10.41(8).

Confidence in the data used is rated according to the criteria in Table 2-3.

Table 2-3 Criteria used for rating confidence in data

Low	Moderate	High
Insufficient data/information available for assessment	Limited available data/information but applicable to the scale of the assessment	Sufficient data/ information available for assessment
Data not applicable to the scale of the assessment	Limited data based on reliable measurements	Reliable data available for the scale of assessment
Data/information based on estimates using methods/analytical models with a high degree of uncertainty	Data/information based on estimates using methods/numerical models with moderate levels of certainty	Data based on reliable measurements
Estimated data not based on any reliable measurements	Limited documented evidence	Data/information based on estimates using methods/numerical models with a high degree of certainty
Anecdotal evidence only		Documented evidence available

Constraints around data availability and the scale of the risk assessment mean that uncertainty can be introduced within each step of the risk assessment. The reliability of the risk outcomes is influenced by:

- Risk metrics that do not accurately capture the impact pathway
- The way metrics categories are defined (i.e. low, medium, high)
- Lack of applicable data to analyse metrics
- Use of data that is 'best available' but is not strictly suitable.

The reliability of the risk outcomes therefore needs to recognise limitations in the framework, as well as data unavailability or mismatches. Consideration is given to confidence in data when developing strategies for medium or high level risks; for example, strategies might be based on addressing knowledge gaps or evaluating the effectiveness of water management actions and mechanisms where there is insufficient or limited data available and the confidence in the data used is low.

3 Overview of the Gwydir surface water resource plan area (SW15)

3.1 Identification of the Gwydir surface water resource plan area

For the purpose of section 10.02 of the Basin Plan, this Risk Assessment applies to the water resource plan area (WRPA) and the water resources identified in section 3.05 of the Basin Plan as the Gwydir Surface WRPA.

For the purpose of section 10.03 of the Basin Plan, the following are identified:

- The SDL resource unit in the Gwydir Surface WRPA is that described in section 6.02 and Schedule 2 to the Basin Plan within the Gwydir Surface WRPA, (being the Gwydir surface water SDL resource unit SS22) and
- The water resources within that SDL resource unit are those described in section 6.02 and Schedule 2 to the Basin Plan within the Gwydir Surface WRPA.

3.2 Characterisation of the Gwydir surface water resource plan area

The Gwydir Surface WRPA (Murray-Darling Basin reference number SW15), is bounded by the Namoi catchment to the south, the Barwon River to the west (a tributary of the Darling), the slopes of the Great Dividing Range to the east and the Border Rivers region to the north (Figure 3-1).

The Gwydir River originates on the New England Tablelands near the township of Uralla, approximately 1,050 metres above sea level. The river and its tributaries flow north-west through undulating and steep sided valleys before descending onto wide, flat floodplains near Gravesend (DECCW 2011). The floodplain has elevations of generally less than 200 m and continues west to the Barwon River at Collarenebri (Green et al. 2011). Watercourses draining from the tablelands include Rocky Creek, Laura Creek, Georges Creek, Moredun Creek, Copes Creek, Gwydir River and the Horton River, the last being a major contributor of unregulated flows (MDBA 2012a). Downstream of Moree, the Gwydir River splits into two major streams; the Gingham Watercourse (northern arm) and the Lower Gwydir (or Big Leather) Watercourse (southern arm). A significant feature of the Lower Gwydir River is a large log-jam known as 'The Raft', classified as a natural barrier and extending for 15 km (Pietsch 2006) (Figure 3-1). The Gwydir Wetlands, which comprise of the Gingham Wetlands (located on the Gingham Watercourse) and the Lower Gwydir Wetlands (located on the Lower Gwydir Watercourse), are one of the most extensive and significant semi-permanent terminal wetlands in north-west NSW.

The catchment has a temperate to sub-tropical climate, with a considerable gradient from east (cooler and wetter) to west (hotter and drier). Average rainfall ranges from 980 mm per year in the north east, to about 480 mm in the west (DECCW 2011). The average annual rainfall in Moree is around 550 mm (Green et al. 2011).

The Gwydir Surface WRPA is comprised of regulated and unregulated rivers. Under the NSW *Water Management Act 2000*, a regulated river is a gazetted river where downstream flows are regulated by a major State-owned storage or dam to supply water. The Gwydir Regulated River Water Source comprises water between the banks of all rivers, from the wall of Copeton Dam water storage downstream to the junction of the Gwydir River and its effluent rivers with the Barwon River. Figure 3-3 shows the spatial distribution of water access licence entitlements in the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source. For the purpose of this risk assessment in the Gwydir Surface WRPA, the Gwydir regulated river was divided into reaches based upon hydrologic modelling at specific hydrologic indicator sites (see Figure 4-2).








Figure 3-4 Unregulated water sources and entitlement in the Gwydir Surface WRP (SW15)

3.3 Connectivity

The Gwydir Surface WRP contains a single SDL resource unit, Gwydir SS22. Within this resource unit, the two WSPs within the Gwydir Surface WRP recognise and manage the hydrological connection between regulated and unregulated surface water sources. Water management actions and mechanisms that are included in the *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River 2016* and the *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012* to manage hydrological connections within the WRP area are discussed below.

Connectivity of the Gwydir Surface WRP with adjacent WRPs, including downstream surface waters and groundwater aquifers, is discussed in Section 3.3.2 below.

3.3.1 Connectivity between unregulated and regulated water sources within the Gwydir Surface WRP

The connectivity between the Gwydir unregulated water sources and the regulated water source is managed by a suite of rules within the two WSPs. Unregulated water sources are both upstream and downstream of the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source and rules are applied to manage both connectivity situations.

In order to protect a portion of very low flows for the benefit of the environment, the *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012* provides cease to pump rules at the lowest downstream point for some water sources. Cease to pump rules specify a flow requirement to benefit important environmental attributes in that water source and provides for connectivity between water sources, including into the regulated river. Cease to pump rules ensure that very low flows are protected by requiring water users to stop taking water when flow declines below a set level.

The *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012* also has commenced to pump rules that are used to protect water for the environment and connectivity. The commenced to pump rules protect a portion of water delivered from the regulated Gwydir River once it reaches the unregulated river water sources. This is achieved by only allowing users on the specified unregulated water source to recommence taking water once flow has increased above a specified level.

The *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016* establishes provisions for environmental water. These provisions include rules that protect a portion of natural tributary inflows to the Gwydir Wetlands with the objective of achieving a range of environmental purposes and connectivity between the regulated and unregulated water sources. There are also restrictions on extractions under supplementary water access licences where supplementary water is protected for the environment.

An environmental water allowance (EWA) also specifies a volume of water to be set aside in Copeton Dam to deliver water for environmental purposes, including connectivity between the regulated river to the unregulated water sources in the lower sections of the Gwydir valley.

Lastly, the Plan reserves water above the Plan limit which provides water for environmental benefits and for connectivity between the regulated rivers and unregulated rivers in the Gwydir valley.

3.3.2 Connectivity with other WRP areas

3.3.2.1.1 Surface water to surface water

For the purposes of section 10.05 of the Basin Plan, the Gwydir Surface WRP (SW15) is considered to have significant hydrological connection to surface water resource units within the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse WRP (SW12) (Barwon Darling Watercourse SDL resource unit SS19). The Gwydir Surface WRP is not considered significantly connected to the Border Rivers Surface WRP (SW16) and the Namoi Surface WRP (SW14). Adjacent resource units are summarised in Table 3-3.

Any potential risks to the Barwon-Darling Watercourse WRP (SW12) from water management related activities in the Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan area are considered in the Barwon-Darling Watercourse WRP (SW12) Risk Assessment.

The following is a qualitative assessment based on Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water ecohydrology specialist expert opinion. There are potential risks to environmental assets and functions in the Gwydir Surface WRP from the Barwon-Darling Watercourse WRP (SW12). These risks include movement of invasive species causing changes in water quality and impacts on native water-dependent biota and ecosystems. The likelihood of new invasive species becoming established in the Gwydir Surface WRP area was 'low' given the impacts of existing invasive species, and the consequence score was 'medium'. Using the risk matrix Table 4-10, the overall risk rating was 'low' (Table 3-1)

Table 3-1 Risk to environmental assets and functions within the Gwydir Surface WRP due to connectivity with Barwon- Darling Watercourse WRP

	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating
Regulated river water source	Medium	Low	Low
Unregulated river water sources	Medium	Low	Low

Connectivity between the upstream water resource units of the Gwydir Surface WRP and the Barwon-Darling Watercourse WRP is managed via a number of planned environmental water rules (PEW). The *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016* restricts supplementary access to natural flow events to a maximum of 50 percent of the volume announced above the 3 tributaries flow rule. This rule protects the remaining portion of the natural flow from extraction by supplementary water access licence holders and helps deliver flows to the Barwon-Darling.

Connectivity between WRPAs is also managed via flow targets at locations in the Barwon-Darling Watercourse. The *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016* contains clauses that allow extraction by supplementary water access licence holders of locally generated uncontrolled flows in the Mehi River, Carole Creek, or their effluent streams to be delayed until the downstream flow requirements are met. Contributions towards flow targets are also provided by the NSW Border Rivers WRP SW16 and Namoi WRP SW 14.

This rule recognises the significant connectivity between the water resources and aims to manage access when extractions have the highest likelihood of impacting the connectivity relationship. The flow targets have been set to provide opportunity for the passage of fish across the major weirs in the Barwon-Darling River, to protect flows needed to suppress blue-green algae blooms, and to meet basic landholder rights requirements along the Barwon-Darling River.

This strategy manages potential risks to the downstream connected surface water resources, including risks to the environment and other uses, and is not considered further in this risk assessment.

3.3.2.1.2 Surface water to groundwater

Adjacent resource units are summarised in Table 3-3. In NSW, connectivity between surface and groundwaters is primarily managed through rules for specific areas in groundwater WSPs and by managing surface and groundwater extraction to LTAAELs and SDLs. Any potential groundwater risks from surface water extraction are managed by PEW rules in the surface water WSPs that also protect the surface water resources.

The impact pathway for the risk of potential for changes in surface water management impacting on groundwater recharge and priority environmental assets (PEAs) and priority ecosystem functions (PEFs) is described in Figure 3-5.




Figure 3-5 Impact pathway showing risk to groundwater ecological assets and functions from reduced recharge.

The protection of the environmental water provisions under section 4.2 of the WRP ensures that the PEW in the WRP area is protected. Therefore the volume of water available as groundwater recharge throughout the Gwydir surface WRP is protected and the likelihood rating is 'low'. The consequence of reduced groundwater recharge was assessed as 'medium'. Using the risk matrix outlined in Table 4-10, the likelihood and consequence metrics result in a '**low**' risk rating for both regulated and unregulated water sources (Table 3-2).

Table 3-2 Risk of insufficient surface water impacting on groundwater priority assets and functions in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP

	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating
Regulated river water sources	Medium	Low	Low
Unregulated river water sources	Medium	Low	Low

The regulated Gwydir River is considered to be highly connected to the Upper Gwydir Alluvium SDLRU (DPI Water 2015). The connectivity changes between losing and gaining conditions along the river's length depend on geology, topography and local conditions. The Gwydir and Mehi Rivers are considered to be losing / gaining systems hydraulically connected to the Lower Gwydir Alluvium east of Moree and a disconnected system in the west (DPI Water 2015).

For the purposes of Chapter 10.20(1), to recognise and manage the hydrological connection between the regulated Gwydir River and the Upper Gwydir Alluvium (GS43), the *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Alluvial*

Groundwater Sources 2020 links the water made available to aquifer access licences in the Upper Gwydir Alluvial groundwater source to water made available to regulated river (high security) access licences in the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source. This rule recognises the level of connectivity between the alluvial groundwater system and the regulated river. Annual management (via linking the available water determination (AWDs) for the groundwater to the AWDs for the surface water) is used to manage extraction in the connected alluvial.

Linking the groundwater AWD to the surface water AWD acknowledges the potential impact of groundwater pumping on surface water during periods of reduced surface water availability, therefore the linked AWDs protects against increasing losses from the regulated Gwydir River to the groundwater during times of reduced allocations for the regulated Gwydir River. This strategy adequately manages any potential risks to surface water resources in the Gwydir Surface WRP (including the environment and other water users).

The Lower Gwydir Alluvium is not considered a highly connected system; it is recognised that groundwater extraction can, over time, potentially impact the surface water/groundwater flux. This relationship is managed via setting a long-term average extraction limit for the Lower Gwydir at a level that is considered to have an acceptable impact on surface water resources in the Gwydir Surface WRP.

In accordance with principles in the WM Act, the *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Alluvial Groundwater Sources 2020* sets rules to minimise the cumulative impacts resulting from groundwater extraction. To do this, the plan specifies rules which prohibit new or amended works from extracting water within certain distances of; a river, other water users, contaminated sites, groundwater-dependent ecosystems (GDE) and groundwater-dependent culturally significant sites. This is to prevent unacceptable or damaging levels of drawdown of water occurring in the local vicinity of these users and sites. Cumulative impacts are also managed by setting the long term average annual extraction limit at past history of extraction; that is, no growth is allowed above the current level of pumping.

Unregulated gaining streams within the vicinity of the Gunnedah-Oxley Basin MDB resource unit of the NSW Murray-Darling Basin Porous Rock WRP (GW6), the Inverell Basalt and New England Fold Belt MDB resource units of the NSW Murray-Darling Basin Fractured Rock WRP (GW11) have varying degrees of connectivity to the Gwydir WRP area (DPI Water 2015). It is recognised that these groundwater SDL resource units contribute baseflow to a number of upland unregulated streams, this connectivity and baseflow is protected by management of the groundwater resources under the NSW Murray-Darling Basin Porous Rock (GW6) and the NSW Murray-Darling Basin Fractured Rock (GW11) WRPs. There is also connectivity between the Gwydir WRP area and the NSW GAB Surat Shallow resource unit of the NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow WRP (GW13). In the context of groundwater extraction impacting surface waters, the listed resource units are considered to have a lesser degree of connectivity with surface water systems and hydraulic relationships are maintained via long-term average extraction limits.

Although the overlying portion of the Gwydir SS21 resource unit contains a limited number of surface water features, the depth to the Lower Namoi Alluvium GS29 is greater than 20 m (generally greater than 30 m) and any connectivity is considered insignificant.

Any potential risks to surface water / groundwater hydraulic relationships as a result of ground water extraction are considered in the Risk Assessment for the Gwydir Alluvial WRP (Department of Industry-Water, 2018), the Risk Assessment for the NSW Fractured Rock WRP (Department of Industry-Water, 2018b), the Risk Assessment for the NSW Porous Rock WRP (Department of Industry-Water, 2018c) and the Risk Assessment for the NSW GAB Shallow WRP (Department of Industry-Water, 2018d). Refer to sections 3.3.2 and 6.2.2.2 of those reports.

Significant groundwater drawdown can potentially cause sediment compaction of the fine grained sediments in groundwater systems. If there are a high proportion of compressible sediments this vertical compaction may result in subsidence of the land surface.

There is no reporting of sediment compaction induced by groundwater extraction in the Gwydir Alluvium. The Risk Assessment for the Gwydir Alluvium WRP (GW15) (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water) undertook a high level assessment of the potential risk of sediment compaction due to groundwater drawdown, and identified a medium risk in the Lower Gwydir groundwater source. The likelihood of sediment compaction, that is, the probability that sustained groundwater pumping will lead to compaction, was considered low. Existing water

management actions and mechanisms, including managing groundwater extraction to the SDL or long term average annual extraction limit, and managing extraction at the extraction point and a local area scale, are considered commensurate with any potential risk of ground subsidence. The Upper Gwydir groundwater source had a low risk of compaction and is considered to have a low risk on the hydraulic relationship with the connected water source.

Table 3-3 Adjacent SDL resource units

SDLRU	Adjacent SDLRU	Adjacent WRP / non Basin WSP
Gwydir SS22	Barwon-Darling Watercourse SS19	Barwon-Darling Watercourse SW12
	Upper Gwydir Alluvium GS43	Gwydir Alluvium WRP GW15
	Lower Gwydir Alluvium GS24	Gwydir Alluvium WRP GW15
	New England Fold Belt MDB GS37	NSW Murray-Darling Basin Fractured Rock WRP GW11
	Inverell Basalt GS18	NSW Murray-Darling Basin Porous Rock WRP (GW6)
	NSW GAB Surat Shallow GS34	NSW Great Artesian Basin Shallow GW13
	Lower Namoi Alluvium GS29	Namoi Alluvium WRP GW14
	Gunnedah-Oxley Basin MDB GS17	NSW Murray-Darling Basin Porous Rock WRP GW6
	Lachlan Fold Belt MDB GS20	NSW Murray-Darling Basin Fractured Rock WRP GW11
	Great Artesian Basin (non MDB)	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the NSW Great Artesian Basin Groundwater Sources 2008</i>

4 Risk of insufficient water available for the environment

4.1 Background

The Basin Plan establishes objectives in relation to environmental outcomes (Chapter 5.03). These include protecting and restoring water-dependent ecosystems and functions, and ensuring they are resilient to risks and threats.

Environmental assets of the Basin include the rivers, lakes, billabongs, wetlands, groundwater systems, floodplains and their flood-dependent forests, and include water-dependent ecosystems, ecosystem services and sites with ecological significance (MDBA 2010). Schedule 8 of the Basin Plan lists the criteria for identifying an environmental asset.

Ecosystem functions are the key physical, chemical and biological processes that support the Basin's environmental assets, and include the transport of nutrients, organic matter and sediment in rivers, wetting and drying cycles, and provision for migration and recolonisation by plants and animals along rivers and across floodplains (MDBA 2010). Schedule 9 of the Basin Plan lists the criteria for identifying an ecosystem function.

There are a number of causes and threats that will impact the availability of water for the environment which may in turn potentially impact instream ecological functions and assets. In the NSW MDB, these risks include:

- River regulation and licensed surface water extraction altering the timing, duration and frequency of flow components
- Growth in extraction of water required by basic landholder rights
- Interception activities (farm dams, commercial plantations, mining and floodplain harvesting)
- Climate change.

These threats could impact instream ecological functions and assets. The risk pathways for considering potential impacts of insufficient water for the environment are summarised in Figure 4-1. The risks are analysed and discussed in the following sections.




Figure 4-1 Risk pathways for risks of insufficient water for the environment and capacity to meet environmental watering requirements

4.2 Assigning a consequence rating

The consequence of impacts to ecological functions and assets was determined using the High Ecological Value Aquatic Ecosystems (HEVAE) score and the extraction pressure within an unregulated water source or regulated river reach. These two metrics describe the ecological value and their sensitivity to impacts.

HEVAE scores were derived from the National Aquatic Ecosystems Toolkit Module 3, guidelines developed by the Aquatic Ecosystems Task Group for the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water Population and Communities (2012). HEVAE values were assigned at a reach scale. A decision tree was then used to assign a consequence rating at a reach scale for regulated rivers and a water source scale for unregulated rivers.

A consequence score is assigned at a reach scale for regulated rivers. The regulated river was broken into reaches that were represented by MDBA hydrologic indicator sites used for modelling (Figure 4-2). These sites correspond to selected streamflow gauging stations. The reaches represented by the hydrologic indicator sites were selected by identifying where significant tributaries, effluents or regulators both upstream and downstream of the site change the hydrology significantly. For example, in Figure 4-2, the reach assigned to the indicator site *418026 Gwydir River downstream of Copeton Dam* extends upstream to the wall of Copeton Dam and downstream to the confluence with the Horton River, a significant tributary of the Gwydir regulated river.

A consequence score is assigned at a water source scale for unregulated rivers. Single reach Integrated Quantity and Quality Models (IQQM) were applied at a water source scale for unregulated rivers. Where appropriate, unregulated flow gauges were also used for single reach models in adjacent water sources if a suitable gauge was not available. The exception is the Gwydir River at Collymongle where MDBA modelling was used for the Gwydir unregulated river water source (Figure 4-3).

To ensure the HEVAE outcomes developed by NSW Department of Planning and Environment (Healey et al. 2012; Healey et al. 2018) could be useful spatially and report consistently across a WRPA and water sources, available data was attached to the River Styles® spatial layer. The River Styles® mapping has been undertaken across all catchments in NSW to third or fourth order streams and greater. It is the primary spatial layer to which the HEVAE data are applied, enabling instream value to be represented on a spatial scale.




Figure 4-2 MDBA hydrologic indicator sites and representative regulated reaches for the Gwydir Surface WRPA




Figure 4-3 Location of unregulated flow gauges and the MDBA hydrologic indicator site used for generating flow sequences in unregulated rivers in the Gwydir Surface WRPA

4.2.1 HEVAE

Ecological scoring was derived from the National Aquatic Ecosystems Toolkit Module 3 (Guidelines for Identifying HEVAE, Aquatic Ecosystems Task Group 2012). For the purposes of the toolkit, HEVAE are aquatic ecosystems that are considered to be of high ecological value as determined by a consistent and objective process such as that provided by Module 3. A standardised HEVAE method was applied to every MDB WRPA. Detailed methodology is provided in Healey et al. (2018).

The criteria used in the HEVAE framework aligns to criteria listed in Schedules 8 and 9 of the Basin Plan for identifying ecological assets and ecosystem functions. The alignment of the two sets of criteria is provided in 0. The assigning of HEVAE scoring was developed using the same data and mobility weightings used by the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) to identify environmental assets and functions for the Long Term Water Plan (LTWP).

The HEVAE framework consists of five key criteria that can be used at a range of scales; diversity, distinctiveness, naturalness, vital habitat and representativeness. It should be noted that NSW does not currently use the key criteria of “representativeness” due to insufficient data availability.

HEVAE criteria are applicable at the regional level and meet NSW jurisdictional needs (Aquatic Ecosystems Task Group 2012). Each of the four criteria had a number of associated attributes (Figure 4-4); a score between 0 and 1.0 was assigned to each attribute based on the data type and source. A weighting was applied to each metric to give an overall criteria score. The four criteria were then combined into a final score between 0 and 1.0 to give an overall instream value score (i.e. a HEVAE score).

Natural variation in attribute scores can occur and may cause or influence clumping in data sets. To reduce this clumping effect, data-rich outcomes were standardised (Macgregor et al. 2011; Bennett et al. 2002) to enable each assessed attribute to be scored against the same scale (Macgregor et al. 2011).

Weightings were applied to the attributes to reflect the purpose of the assessment and the views of stakeholders (Bennett et al. 2002). Applying a weighting process also allowed the final scoring to reflect the importance of the factors used in this assessment (Macgregor et al. 2011) and to assist with identifying attributes that have a greater contribution to the assessment (Hughey 2013).

During the development of macro WSPs in NSW, specific weightings were linked to the flow sensitivity (Table 4-1) of in-stream flow dependent threatened species, populations and communities (NSW Office of Water 2011; DIPNR 2005). The weightings for each species were agreed upon through discussions with participating NSW agencies, with consideration to the importance of flow alteration as a key threatening process and the legislative requirements of threatened factors provide an objective approach to water planning needs (NSW Office of Water 2010). These same flow sensitivity weightings were applied in the HEVAE scoring. Evidence was collated to support the weightings associated with attributes. For example, if a threatened species population or endangered ecological community had alteration to natural flow listed as a key threat, it receives a flow sensitivity weighting of 4.




Figure 4-4 The four HEVAE criteria and associated attributes used in assessing HEVAE in NSW

Table 4-1 Examples of flow sensitivity weightings used in the HEVAE process

Score/ Weighting	Definition	Typical examples
4	Highly sensitive to extraction and/or flow, with specific flow requirements - less able to move to alternative refuge	Fish; stream breeding threatened frogs; threatened listings where alteration to flow is listed as a key threat, Ramsar wetlands
3	Moderately sensitive to extraction and/or flow - some ability to adjust to flow changes or relocate	Macroinvertebrates, some threatened frogs; frogs, turtles, wet flora; threatened listings where alteration to flow is listed as a key threat, but profile details indicate moderate influence
2	Slightly sensitive to extraction and/or flow - can generally survive across a wider range of flow conditions, or can move elsewhere to seek refuge	Wading/water birds; threatened listings where alteration to flow considered as a potential threat, but profile details indicate lesser influence
1	Low sensitivity to extraction and/or flow - secondary relationship to flow and extraction	Riparian and some floodplain vegetation; birds that nest in riparian trees; threatened listings where alteration to flow may not be considered to be a threat, but profile details indicate lesser influence, geomorphology

Reference: Healey et al. 2018.

4.2.2 Consequence decision tree

To determine the impact on instream ecological functions and assets, consideration has to be given to where and how much extraction pressure (individual licence entitlement) has occurred and whether this had the potential to influence the HEVAE score.

A decision tree was used which prioritised Ramsar habitat, converting high and very high HEVAE scores into high and very high consequence ranks. Non-Ramsar high and very high value reaches were ranked according to whether they were upstream or downstream of extraction, and whether freshwater-dependent fauna and flora occurred in the assessment area.

Medium HEVAE scores either retained their rank or were downgraded to a low or very low consequence using a similar rationale as the high and very high HEVAE scores described above. That is, if freshwater-dependent fauna and flora occurred in the assessment area, the HEVAE score remained medium. If there were no freshwater-dependent fauna and flora in the assessment area the consequence score became either low or very low, depending on whether the assessment area had cumulatively more medium and low HEVAE reaches, or mostly very low HEVAE reaches.

Freshwater-dependent fauna and flora records used in the decision tree process were site-based data (recorded data), obtained from agency databases and with a high level of confidence. Predicted occurrences and “known records” (‘known records’ are predicted occurrences within the vicinity of recorded data: see Healey et al. 2018) used in the HEVAE model were not considered when progressing through the decision tree, as the confidence in those data was not as high. In the event that there were no site-based records, the consequence rank was lowered to the next category. That is, if a high HEVAE score had records only based upon predicted or known data, the consequence category became medium.

Each bifurcation in the decision tree was annotated to allow each score to be tracked through the decision tree during the assessment. The decision tree and the rationale for each bifurcation are provided in Appendix C.

4.2.3 Consequence Score

4.2.3.1.1 Regulated river water source

Key aquatic ecological assets in the Gwydir Surface WSPA include:

- 102,120 ha of significant wetlands on the floodplains (Gwydir Wetlands) including Ramsar listed migratory bird breeding sites
- River reaches with high fish diversity
- Threatened fish species including silver perch, Murray cod and purple spotted gudgeon
- Threatened species such as Booroolong frog, yellow-spotted tree frog, greater broad-nosed bat, river snail and Bell’s turtle; and threatened endangered populations including eel-tailed catfish and tusked frog
- Endangered ecological communities (EECs) including marsh club-rush sedgeland, carex sedgeland, coolibah-black box woodlands, carbeen open forest, upland wetlands of the New England Tableland Bioregion and the Lowland Darling River Aquatic EEC
- Off-river lagoons and wetlands located throughout the catchment
- In-stream pools and low flow refuges that support local and migratory species.

Consequence scoring shows that most of the Gwydir regulated river has high to very high consequences (Figure 4-5; Table 4-2) due to a number of factors including high fish diversity, presence of threatened fish species and large tracts of riparian vegetation and relatively undisturbed river reaches contributing to the provision of habitat and primary production. There is also the presence of endangered ecological communities, the most widespread of these being the Lowland Darling River Aquatic EEC and the Coolabah-Black box Woodland EEC.

Table 4-2 Consequence results for the Gwydir regulated water source in the Gwydir Surface WSPA

Regulated river reach	Consequence Rating (HEVAE consequence score)
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	Very high
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	Very high
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	Very high
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	Very high
Mehi at Bronte	High
Moomin at Iffley	High
Mehi at Collarenebri	Medium
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	Medium
Reference: Healey et al. 2018.	

Fish data from the MDB Sustainable Rivers Audit (Davies et al. 2012) and other sources were analysed by DPI Fisheries to assess the distribution of threatened fish species and to identify areas of relative high fish biodiversity within the Gwydir Surface WSPA. These data are included in the HEVAE assessment; results are provided in 0.

4.2.3.1.2 Unregulated water sources

Unregulated rivers in the Gwydir Surface WSPA have very high or high consequence scores (Table 4-3) in the following water sources:

- Gwydir water source (the unregulated sections of the lower Gwydir River) has very high values due to proximity to Ramsar listed wetland sites and EECs. This also results in very high consequence for flow alteration due to the high dependency on a range of flows
- Halls Creek water source has very high values due to presence of threatened fish species, high diversity of fish and macroinvertebrates and high naturalness values
- In the upper catchment above Copeton Dam, Georges Creek and Moredun Creek water sources have high values and high consequence scores
- In the mid reaches, Lower Horton, Upper Horton and Myall Creek water sources all have high values and consequence scores and are major tributaries of the regulated sections of the Gwydir River
- In the lower reaches Gil Gil Creek, Gingham Watercourse and Mehi River water sources have high values.

Table 4-3 Consequence results for the Gwydir unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRPA

Unregulated water source	Consequence Rating (HEVAE consequence score)
Bakers Creek	Low
Barwon	Medium
Booroolong Creek	Medium
Carole Creek	Medium*
Cobbadah Creek	Low
Copeton Dam	Medium
Georges Creek	Medium
Gil Gil Creek	High
Gingham Watercourse	Very high
Gurley Creek	Medium
Gwydir	Very high
Halls Creek	High
Keera Creek	Medium
Laura Creek	High
Lower Horton	High
Mackenzies Flat	Medium
Mehi River	Medium
Millie Creek	Medium
Moredun Creek	High
Moree	High
Mosquito Creek	Low
Myall Creek	High
Rocky Creek	Low
Rocky River	Low
Roumalla Creek	Medium
Slaughterhouse Creek	Medium
Thalaba Creek	High
Tycannah Creek	High
Upper Gwydir River	High
Upper Horton	Medium
Warialda Creek	Medium
* Consequence could not be calculated due to lack of data, rating based on expert opinion	
Reference: Healey et al. 2018.	




Figure 4-5 HEVAE scoring of instream values in the Gwydir Surface WRPA

4.3 Risks to water available for the environment and capacity to meet environmental water requirements [E(W)]

The regulation of river flows can impact on ecosystem functions and environmental assets through changes in the magnitude, frequency, duration and timing/seasonality of river flows, potentially leading to a loss or degradation of instream ecological values. In regulated systems, risks to the riverine environment can be due to insufficient water, particularly at the bottom end of a catchment, or too much water in circumstances where base flows or natural dry periods no longer occur. The channel and floodplain are functionally and ecologically inseparable with the hydrological connections between the main river channel and its floodplain controlled by the pattern of flow in the river. The regulation of flow, and extraction of water, may alter a river's hydrology to the extent that it cannot maintain its key ecosystem functions.

The extraction of unregulated flow can impact on ecosystem functions by reducing the magnitude of river flows and increasing the frequency and duration of zero flows. In some unregulated reaches, such as reaches downstream of regulated rivers or non-regulating dams, higher flows such as freshes can also be reduced.

The impact pathway is shown in Figure 4-6 and demonstrates that the threat of flow alteration is driven by licensed surface water extraction and river regulation. The likelihood is described as the extent of change to key flow components, while the consequence is assessed by considering the ecological assets and functions that would be impacted.




Figure 4-6 Impact pathway showing impact of river regulation and licensed extraction on water available for the environment

4.3.1 Determining the likelihood rating

Stream flow varies in response to climate, season, landform and land use. Stream flow supports key environmental assets and underpins ecosystem functions within the riverine environment.

This risk assessment process has identified key features of flow regimes which have impacts on key ecosystem functions and assets identified by the MDBA (2010) and Alluvium (2010). These key flow components and their features are summarised below (Table 4-4).

Table 4-4 Key features of flow regimes which impact on ecosystem functions and environmental assets

Flow regime component	Key feature
Cease to flow events for pools and refugia (Z)	Pools can provide important refugia for a range of taxa during periods of no flow. Continued extraction of water from pools can lead to greater competition for resources by aquatic biota, as well as changes to water quality.
Base flows (BF)	Base flows (low flows) are those flows that are confined to the low flow part of the channel. They persist after rain has stopped as a result of connection to groundwater aquifers. Protection of low flows protects longitudinal connectivity, as well as important flowing water habitat types (riffles and pools) that support specialist feeding groups including macroinvertebrate communities and fish. Base flows are important to fish communities because they: • Provide a diversity of habitats for sheltering, feeding and spawning. • Establish connectivity and enable longitudinal movement of fish between pools. Large bodied fish may not move during base flows due to inadequate water depth within riffles, but small bodied fish may move if conditions are suitable. • Constantly exchange and refresh water in pools and therefore maintaining reasonable water quality.
Freshes (F)	Freshes are larger flows that inundate the sides of the banks and any in-channel bars and benches that may be present. These are often caused by a rain event leading to increased inflows to the river that travel as a pulsed flow down the system. Freshes are required to support instream processes and biota in the same way as bank full and over bank flows, in terms of flow magnitude, duration, timing and frequency. Freshes are a distinct event.
Large and infrequent flows (BKF and OB)	Large flow events occur on an average recurrence interval (ARI) of greater than a year. These flow events are distinct from base flows and freshes because they can generate bank full and over bank flows. Bank full flows are regarded as important for maintaining river geomorphology, and are often termed the “channel forming” flows, as they help define and maintain channel dimensions such as width, depth and slope, and in-channel habitats such as benches and bars. High flows and freshes also act as a natural disturbance in river systems, helping to remove vegetation, aquatic plants and organic matter and resetting successional processes. Over bank flows deliver water, sediment and dissolved material, including plant nutrients, to the floodplain and provide temporary access to floodplain aquatic habitats. Water returning from the floodplain to the channel may carry carbon in the form of dissolved carbon and organic detritus, micro-organisms and small plankton animals. All are generated by the productive floodplain ecosystem and supported by inputs of water from the channel.
Reference: MDBA 2010, 2012b; Alluvium 2010, Chessman et al. 2006a	

Risks to ecosystem functions and environmental assets from not meeting environmental watering requirements are described by the extent of hydrological deviation from key flow regimes. All hydrological modelling was undertaken using IQQM software. IQQM is the principle modelling platform used in NSW and Queensland, and was also used by the MDBA (MDBA 2012b).

The hydrological alteration metrics used to assign a likelihood rating of impacting on the ecological assets and functions are the same as those used to prioritise flows for key ecological processes in the Basin Plan (MDBA 2012b).

Hydrological alteration metrics were chosen to reflect the key ecological features of flow regimes described in Table 4-4. These metrics indicate change to the following flow components:

- Zero flow periods (or cease to flow events) (Z)
- Base flows (BF)
- Freshes (F)
- High flows 1.5 year average recurrence interval (ARI) (BK1.5)
- High flows 2.5 year ARI (OB2.5)
- High flows 5.0 year ARI (OB5).

Differences in channel morphology along a river may mean that a high flow event could result in over bank flows on one reach but not others. The ARI events used in this risk assessment are therefore considered to be broadly indicative of a river section's likelihood. The specific ARI that might be needed, for instance, to inundate a particular wetland, will be addressed in the Long Term Water Plan (LTWP).

Under the Basin Plan, environmental watering requirements (EWRs) are the flow targets required to achieve ecological objectives for priority environmental assets (PEAs) and priority ecosystem functions (PEFs). EWRs are identified for PEAs and PEFs in the Gwydir Surface WSPA in the LTWP developed by OEH (2018; Table 6) and are based on seven flow components. Within each flow component, flow types have been assigned based on the timing, duration and frequency of flow required to support environmental assets and ecosystem functions.

This risk assessment was completed prior to EWRs being developed for the Gwydir Surface WSPA, therefore the ideal timing of EWRs was not an explicit consideration in the likelihood calculations discussed below. However, the likelihood assessment does identify the change in frequency, magnitude or duration of certain flow components, regardless of the seasonality. Therefore any changes to hydrology during the ideal LTWP timing periods have been identified.

The alignment between the EWRs identified in the LTWP and the flow components used in this risk assessment is provided in Table 4-5 below. This table demonstrates how regard was had to the EWRs for PEAs and PEFs in the preparation of the likelihood component of this risk assessment. As discussed in Section 4.2 above, there is also alignment between Schedules 8 and 9 of the Basin Plan and the assets and functions identified in the LTWP. Therefore the risks to the capacity to meet EWRs in the Gwydir Surface WSPA can be assessed and consideration given to strategies to manage these risks as required by Chapter 10 of the Basin Plan (see Section 8 of this report).

Table 4-5 Alignment of EWRs of the LTWP and flow components assessed in the Gwydir Surface WSPA risk assessment

Long Term Water Plan (OEH) ¹						Gwydir Surface WSPA risk assessment (NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water)	
Flow component ¹	EWR reference	Maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	Ideal EWR			Flow component assessed (section 4.3)	How this risk assessment considers the EWR
			Timing	Duration	Frequency		
Cease-to-flow	CF1	No greater than modelled natural	In line with natural	In line with natural, unless key refuges endangered, or as described in planning unit specific EWR tables	No greater than modelled natural	Zero flow (Z)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood for the zero flow component identifies changes in timing, duration and ideal frequency
Very-low flow	VF1	No less than modelled natural	Any time	No less than modelled natural	No less than modelled natural	Base flow (BF)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of base flow components included identifying low flows during 'wet' and 'dry' seasons. The dry season calculation is equivalent to very low flows (approximately 90-95%ile)
"Base flow" ²	BF1	As required during dry periods	Any time	Maintain refuge habitat quantity and quality, and support connectivity	No less than modelled natural	Base flow (BF)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of base flow components included identifying low flows during 'wet' and 'dry' seasons. Likelihoods were generally calculated on flows between the 80-95%ile, depending on which percentile most accurately described low flows in the water source.
"Base flow" ²	BF2	2 years	September to March	16 days	5–10 in a 10 year period (1:1–2)	Fresh (F)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of fresh flow components included identifying 20%ile flows during 'wet' and 'dry' seasons and would capture alterations to the BF2 flows.

Long Term Water Plan (OEH) ¹						Gwydir Surface WSPA risk assessment (NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water)	
Flow component ¹	EWR reference	Maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	Ideal EWR			Flow component assessed (section 4.3)	How this risk assessment considers the EWR
			Timing	Duration	Frequency		
Small fresh	SF1	1 year	October to April (but can occur anytime) (Ideally 2–3 weeks after LF2)	10 days minimum	Annual (1:1)	Fresh/ARI 1.5 (F, BKF1.5)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of fresh flow (20%ile during wet/dry periods) and ARI 1.5 would capture most of the SF1 flows.
Small fresh	SF2	2 years	September to April	14 days minimum	5–10 in a 10 year period (1:1–2)	ARI 1.5 (BKF1.5)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of ARI 1.5 flow component identifies changes to SF2.
Large fresh	LF1	2 years	July to September (but can occur anytime)	5 days minimum	5–10 in a 10 year period (1:1–2)	ARI 1.5 (BKF1.5)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of ARI 1.5 flow component identifies changes to LF1.
Large Fresh	LF2	4 years	October to April (Ideally 2–3 weeks before SF1)	5 days minimum	3–5 in a 10 year period (1:2–3)	ARI 2.5 (BKF2.5)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of ARI 2.5 flow component identifies changes to LF2.
Over bank	OB1	2 years	October to May (Ideally 2–4 weeks after SF2 or LF2)	10 days minimum, 2–8 months of habitat inundation	8–10 in a 10 year period (1:1–2)	ARI 1.5 (BKF 1.5)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of ARI 1.5 flow component identifies changes to OB1.
Over bank	OB2	3–5 years	August to March (but can occur anytime)	2–6 months of habitat inundation	4–10 in a 10 year period (1:1–3)	ARI 2.5 (OB2.5)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of ARI 2.5 flow component identifies changes to OB2.
Over bank	OB3	4 years	August to December (but can occur anytime) (Ideally 2–4 weeks after SF2 or LF2)	10 days minimum, 1–2 months of habitat inundation	3–5 in a 10 year period (1:2–4) (Ideally the year following OB2 to support woodland regeneration)	ARI 2.5 (OB2.5)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of ARI 2.5 flow component identifies changes to OB3.

Long Term Water Plan (OEH) ¹						Gwydir Surface WRPA risk assessment (NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water)	
Flow component ¹	EWR reference	Maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	Ideal EWR			Flow component assessed (section 4.3)	How this risk assessment considers the EWR
			Timing	Duration	Frequency		
Over bank	OB4	5 years	August to May (but can occur anytime)	5 days minimum, 2–8 months of habitat inundation	2–3 in a 10 year period (1:4–5)	ARI 5 (OB5)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of ARI 5 flow component identifies changes to OB4.
Over bank	OB5	10–15 years	N/A	1–6 months	1 in 10 years (1:10)	ARI 5 (OB5)	Metrics used to calculate likelihood of ARI 5 flow component identifies changes to OB5.
¹ Table 6, OEH 2018. Note that LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies ² "Base flow" in the LTWP is not defined as a flow percentile or flow component, but rather a "base" flow required to provide minimum depth and connectivity requirements to support migratory fish.							

4.3.1.1.1 Regulated river water source

The MDBA modelled a 2,800 gegalitre (GL) reduction target across the Basin (MDBA 2012b). Flow sequences were generated by the MDBA using NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water IQQM models. This modelling scenario modelled a 2,800 GL/year reduction in consumptive water use across the Basin; this included 450 GL/yr recovery from the northern Basin. While an eventual Basin Plan recovery target was 2,750 GL, the 2800 GL scenario is considered relevant as the change in the Condamine-Balonne valley had little impact on the environmental flow indicators downstream (MDBA 2012a).

The results published by the MDBA (2012b) are the percentage change of the 2800 GL target scenario from a near-natural condition scenario; the latter is a modelled scenario approximating river flows without any dams, weirs or extraction (MDBA 2012b).

Likelihood category definitions are defined based on the extent of deviation from the near-natural condition (Table 4-6). Flow deviation can be positive (e.g. 125%), which means more water is available for that particular flow component under the 2800 GL scenario than the near-natural condition; conversely a negative deviation (e.g. 75%) means less water for that particular flow component.

Table 4-6 Likelihood metrics for risk of insufficient water available for the environment and risk to EWRs in the regulated Gwydir River

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Flow Deviation (2800 GL target scenario compared to near-natural condition scenario) (MDBA 2012b)	Low	< 20% departure from near-natural condition (+/-)
	Medium	20-50% departure from near-natural condition (+/-)
	High	> 50% departure from near-natural condition (+/-)
Reference: Alluvium 2010; VanLaarhoven & van der Wielen 2009		

Eight of the eleven MDBA hydrologic indicator sites were used to calculate likelihood results in the assessment of risk for the Gwydir regulated river (Table 4-7).

Table 4-7 Likelihood results for the Gwydir regulated river

Regulated river reach	Likelihood rating					
	Zero Flow Periods	Base-flow or Low Flows	Fresh Flows	High and Infrequent Flows		
				OB 1.5 ARI	OB 2.5 ARI	OB 5.0 ARI
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	H ⁻	H ⁺	H ⁺	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	L ⁰	H ⁺	M ⁺	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	L ⁰	H ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁺	L ⁺	L ⁺
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	H ⁺	H ⁺	H ⁻	H ⁻	M ⁻	L ⁻
Mehi at Bronte	M [*]	M ⁻	M ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	M ⁻
Moomin at Iffley	H ⁺	H ⁻	M ⁻	M ⁻	M ⁻	M ⁻
Mehi at Collarenebri	M [*]	M ⁻	M ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	M ⁺	H ⁺	H ⁺	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻
Key: L = low; M = medium; H = high; * = no hydrological data available, rating based upon expert opinion + increase from near-natural condition; – decrease from near natural condition; 0 no change from near-natural condition Reference: MDBA 2012b						

4.3.1.1.2 Unregulated water sources

For the majority of unregulated water sources, single reach IQQM models were used to derive flow sequences. Extraction at full development of unregulated entitlement was assumed to simulate flow sequences to compare against the near-natural condition scenario (as defined above). Where appropriate, unregulated flow gauges were also used for single reach models in adjacent water sources if a suitable gauge was not available.

MDBA modelling was generally not used in the unregulated rivers as it is not representative of the hydrology at the water source scale; however, in some locations, the hydrologic alteration metrics for the regulated river could be used. An example is the unregulated effluent rivers associated with high flows in the regulated river or unregulated reaches directly downstream of regulated rivers. Therefore, MDBA modelling was used for the Gwydir unregulated river water source for the Gwydir River at Collymongle (see Figure 4-3).

Similar to regulated systems, likelihood category definitions are defined based on the extent of deviation from the near-natural condition (Table 4-8).

Table 4-8 Likelihood metrics for risk of insufficient water available for the environment and risk to EWRs in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Flow Deviation (full development of unregulated entitlement compared to near-natural condition scenario)	Low	< 20% departure from near-natural condition (+/-)
	Medium	20-50% departure from near-natural condition (+/-)
	High	> 50% departure from near-natural condition (+/-)
Reference: Alluvium 2010; VanLaarhoven & van der Wielen 2009		

The likelihood results for the unregulated Gwydir water source are shown in Table 4-9.

Table 4-9 Likelihood results for unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA

Unregulated water source	Likelihood rating					
	Zero Flow Periods	Base-flow or Low Flows	Fresh Flows	High and Infrequent Flows		
				OB 1.5 ARI	OB 2.5 ARI	OB 5.0 ARI
Bakers Creek	H ⁺	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Barwon	M [*]	M [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]
Booroolong Creek	L ⁰	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Carole Creek	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]
Cobbadah Creek	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Copeton Dam	H ⁺	H ⁻	M ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁻	L ⁰
Georges Creek	H ⁺	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Gil Gil Creek	M ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Gingham Watercourse	L ⁰	L ⁰	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻
Gurley Creek	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Gwydir	L ⁰	L ⁰	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻
Halls Creek	H ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Keera Creek	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Laura Creek	L ⁰	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰
Lower Horton**	L ⁰	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Mackenzies Flat	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Mehi River	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Millie Creek	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰
Morehun Creek	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Moree	H ⁺	H ⁻	M ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Mosquito Creek	L ⁰	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Myall Creek	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻
Rocky Creek	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Rocky River	M ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Roumalla Creek	H ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Slaughterhouse Creek	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Thalaba Creek	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]
Tycannah Creek	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰
Upper Gwydir River	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Upper Horton	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰
Warialda Creek	H ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰
Key: L = low; M = medium; H = high; * = no data available, rating based upon expert opinion						
+ increase from near-natural condition; - decrease from near-natural condition; ⁰ no change from near-natural condition						
** A Cease to Pump (CtP) was included in the modelling scenario.						
Reference: MDBA 2012b						

4.3.2 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

The *Water Management Act 2000* requires that the sharing of water must protect the water source and its dependent ecosystems, and that water sharing plans establish specific rules that protect water for the environment. There are a range of existing management actions and mechanisms that are in place to protect environmental requirements in the Gwydir valley. These mechanisms are provided for under the *Water Management Act 2000* and through specific rules within the regulated and unregulated water sharing plans.

The *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016* has the following actions and mechanisms to provide water for the environment:

- Reserve all water above the long term average annual extraction limit for planned environmental water.
- Pass tributary inflows through to the Gwydir Wetlands. This is achieved by a minimum flow rule which passes up to 500 ML/day of inflows from tributaries downstream of Copeton Dam or any water spill or pre-release for flood mitigation purposes from Copeton Dam, through to the Gwydir Wetlands.
- Protect 50% of supplementary water events from extraction by users. This protects important rises in the water level and natural flow variability, preserves a significant portion of natural tributary flows for river health and maintains wetland and floodplain inundation.
- Reserve water in Copeton Dam to the limit of 90,000 ML to strategically use for environmental purposes.
- Allow for connectivity between the Gwydir valley and the Barwon-Darling River to provide for fish passage across major weirs, suppress algal blooms and to meet basic landholder rights in the Barwon-Darling River watercourse.
- To provide for specified replenishment flows that refill pools or waterholes in effluent systems downstream of a water source and provide water for stock and domestic purposes.
- The Minister can specify rules relating to the rates of change to releases from water storages to minimise environmental and geomorphological impacts.
- Adjust available water determinations to ensure compliance with the long term average annual extraction limit.
- The plan also provides for licensed water to be committed for adaptive environmental water purposes.

The *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012* has the following actions and mechanisms to provide water for the environment:

- Reserve all water above the long term average annual extraction limit for planned environmental water.
- Cease to pump rules, commence to pump rules and flow rates/levels provide protection during dry times. Aquifer access licences that extract groundwater that is highly connected to the unregulated surface water may also have a cease to pump rule applied.
- Rules for granting and amending water supply works approvals are established for groundwater sources to provide protection for environmental assets. This provides protection for base flows and key assets such as groundwater-dependent ecosystems (GDEs). These rules stipulate buffer distances from which new bores can be placed from specific features such as GDEs, neighbouring bores and contaminated sites, as well as rules for existing bores.
- Restrictions on trade to protect areas of high ecological value.
- Restrictions on in-river and off-river pools when the volume of that water is less than the full capacity of the pool.

The 2,800 GL scenario modelling (MDBA 2012b) included a water recovery volume in the Gwydir of 42 GL/yr (average long term use). This volume represents the volume of water already recovered in the Gwydir.

4.3.3 Risk outcomes

The risk matrix used to determine the risk to environmental water for ecological functions and assets due to licensed surface water extraction and river regulation (in the regulated water source only) is provided in Table 4-10.

Table 4-10 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water for the environment and capacity to meet EWRs

		Likelihood (of hydrological alteration)		
		Low	Medium	High
Consequence (HEVAE consequence score)	Very Low	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Medium	High	High

4.3.3.1.1 Regulated river water source

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings (Table 4-2 and Table 4-7) for the Gwydir regulated river results in the overall risk levels to ecological assets and functions as shown in Table 4-11. Overall, there were high and medium risks across all flow components (i.e. zero flow, base flows, fresh flows and each ARI).

The flow regime of the Gwydir River has been substantially altered by the construction of Copeton Dam and the various weirs and regulators that regulate water along distributary channels such as the Mehi River, Moomin Creek and Carole Creek.

The combination of very high and high values of consequence, along with significant flow alterations (likelihood), were a contributing factor in influencing the high and medium risk categories throughout the regulated river. In particular, the regulated river downstream of Copeton Dam had very high consequence coupled with high likelihood metrics for each of the flow components.

With the exception of Gil Gil Creek, regulation of the river system has caused significant reduction in moderate to high flows in the lower Gwydir. It has also contributed to an increase in the average period between large flows (5 year ARI), and a reduction in the average volume of large flows.

4.3.3.1.2 Unregulated water sources

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings (Table 4-3 and Table 4-9) for the Gwydir unregulated water sources results in the overall risk levels to ecological assets and functions as shown in Table 4-12. Overall, risks to unregulated water sources were largely restricted to the zero flow and base flow components. Of the 31 water sources within the Gwydir, five demonstrated a medium risk to an increase in zero flow periods, while 13 water sources had a high risk. There were seven water sources with a medium risk to the reduction in base flows and 11 water sources returning a high risk.

Few water sources had high risks associated with freshes and larger ARI flow components; these were located in the lower Gwydir at Gingham Watercourse and Gwydir water sources.

Table 4-11 Risk of insufficient water for the environment and capacity to meet EWRs in the regulated Gwydir River [E(W)]

Regulated river reach	Consequence	Likelihood						Overall risk rating - E(W)					
		Zero Flow Periods	Base-flow or Low Flows	Fresh Flows	High and Infrequent Flows			Zero Flow Periods	Base-flow or Low Flows	Fresh Flows	High and Infrequent Flows		
					OB 1.5 ARI	OB 2.5 ARI	OB 5.0 ARI				OB 1.5 ARI	OB 2.5 ARI	OB 5.0 ARI
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	VH	H ⁻	H ⁺	H ⁺	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	H	H	H	H	H	H
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	VH	L ⁰	H ⁺	M ⁺	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	M	H	H	M	M	M
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	VH	L ⁰	H ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁺	L ⁺	L ⁺	M	H	H	M	M	M
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	VH	H ⁺	H ⁺	H ⁻	H ⁻	M ⁻	L ⁻	H	H	H	H	H	M
Mehi at Bronte	H	M [*]	M ⁻	M ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	M ⁻	M [*]	M	M	H	H	M
Moomin at Iffley	H	H ⁺	H ⁻	M ⁻	M ⁻	M ⁻	M ⁻	H	H	M	M	M	M
Mehi at Collarenebri	M	M [*]	M ⁻	M ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	M [*]	M	M	H	H	H
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	M	M ⁺	H ⁺	H ⁺	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	M	H	H	L	L	L
Key: L = Low; M = Medium; H = High; N/A = no hydrological data available ⁺ increase from near natural condition ; ⁻ decrease from near-natural condition; ⁰ no change from near-natural condition; * no data available – rating based on expert opinion													

Table 4-12 Risk of insufficient water for the environment and capacity to meet EWRs in the unregulated water sources of the Gwydir Surface WRPA [E(W)]

Unregulated water source	Consequence	Likelihood						Overall risk rating - E(W)					
		Zero Flow Periods	Base-flow or Low Flows	Fresh Flows	High and Infrequent Flows			Zero Flow Periods	Base-flow or Low Flows	Fresh Flows	High and Infrequent Flows		
					OB 1.5 ARI	OB 2.5 ARI	OB 5.0 ARI				OB 1.5 ARI	OB 2.5 ARI	OB 5.0 ARI
Bakers Creek	L	H ⁺	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	M	L	L	L	L	L
Barwon	M	M [*]	M [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	M [*]	M [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]
Booroolong Creek	M	L ⁰	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L	M	L	L	L	L
Carole Creek	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L	L	L	L	L	L
Cobbadah Creek	L	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	M	M	L	L	L	L
Copeton Dam	M	H ⁺	H ⁻	M ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁻	L ⁰	H	H	M	L	L	L
Georges Creek	M	H ⁺	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	H	L	L	L	L	L
Gil Gil Creek	H	M ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	M	H	L	L	L	L
Gingham Watercourse	VH	M [*]	M [*]	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	H [*]	H [*]	H	H	H	H
Gurley Creek	M	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L	L	L	L	L	L
Gwydir	VH	L ⁰	L ⁰	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	H ⁻	M	M	H	H	H	H
Halls Creek	H	H ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	H	M	L	L	L	L
Keera Creek	M	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	H	H	L	L	L	L
Laura Creek	H	L ⁰	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L	L	L	L	L	L
Lower Horton**	H	L ⁰	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L	H	L	L	L	L
Mackenzies Flat	M	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L	L	L	L	L	L
Mehi River	M	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L	L	L	L	L	L
Millie Creek	M	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	H	H	L	L	L	L
Moredun Creek	H	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	H	H	L	L	L	L
Moree	H	H ⁺	H ⁻	M ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	H	H	M	L	L	L

Risk assessment for the Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan Area (SW15)

Unregulated water source	Consequence	Likelihood						Overall risk rating - E(W)					
		Zero Flow Periods	Base-flow or Low Flows	Fresh Flows	High and Infrequent Flows			Zero Flow Periods	Base-flow or Low Flows	Fresh Flows	High and Infrequent Flows		
					OB 1.5 ARI	OB 2.5 ARI	OB 5.0 ARI				OB 1.5 ARI	OB 2.5 ARI	OB 5.0 ARI
Mosquito Creek	L	L ⁰	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L	L	L	L	L	L
Myall Creek	H	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	H	H	L	L	L	L
Rocky Creek	L	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	M	M	L	L	L	L
Rocky River	L	M ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L	L	L	L	L	L
Roumalla Creek	M	H ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	H	M	L	L	L	L
Slaughterhouse Creek	M	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	L	L	L	L	L	L
Thalaba Creek	H	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]	L [*]
Tycannah Creek	H	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	H	H	L	L	L	L
Upper Gwydir River	H	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	H	H	L	L	L	L
Upper Horton	M	H ⁺	H ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	L ⁰	H	H	L	L	L	L
Warialda Creek	M	H ⁺	M ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁻	L ⁰	L ⁰	H	M	L	L	L	L
Key: L = Low; M = Medium; H = High; N/A = no hydrological data available ⁺ increase near-natural condition; ⁻ decrease near-natural condition; ⁰ no change from near-natural condition [*] No data available – rating based on expert opinion ^{**} A Cease to Pump (CtP) was included in the modelling scenario													

4.4 Risks to water available for the environment from extraction under basic landholder rights [E(BLR)]

All landholders in NSW have rights to access water for some basic purposes. There are three types of basic landholder rights (BLR) in NSW under the *Water Management Act 2000*:

- **Native title rights:** holders of native title with respect to water, as determined under the Commonwealth *Native Title Act 1993*, can take and use water for a range of personal, domestic and non-commercial purposes.
There are currently no native title rights to water in NSW; however, these rights may be activated during the term of a WSP.
- **Domestic and stock rights:** owners or occupiers of land which has river, estuary or lake frontage can take water without a licence for domestic (household) purposes or to water stock.
- **harvestable rights (dams):** harvestable right water allows landholders in most rural areas to collect a proportion of BLR runoff on their property and store it in one or more farm dams up to a certain size.

Note that any volume of water that exceeds the maximum harvestable right dam capacity must be licensed; any dam which exceeds the maximum harvestable right dam capacity is subject to a works approval. The requirements of harvestable rights have been inherently considered as the design of access rules is also based on river flows that result after harvestable rights extractions have occurred (NoW 2011).

In both regulated and unregulated water sources, the principles of the WM Act require that water sharing must protect BLR. Water requirements for BLR are identified in water sharing plans and have been taken into consideration when designing rules for licensed water extractions (NoW 2011). Access rules for water access licences (such as cease to pump rules) do not apply to BLR users and provides them with a higher level priority of water access. Impacts to the environment due to farm dams are discussed in Section 4.5.1.

In some areas, basic right use may be a significant proportion of low flows and therefore contributes to hydrological stress. Increased extraction of surface water under BLR may reduce the water available for the environment, as shown in the impact pathway below (Figure 4-7). The nature of water extraction under BLR means that this activity has no effect on flow regimes other than low flows. Therefore, the likelihood of impact was assessed only against low flows and the risk to other parts of the flow regime is '**low**'. The likelihood is described by the ratio of low flow to the estimated BLR within each water source, while the consequence is assessed by considering the ecological assets and functions that would be impacted.




Figure 4-7 Impact pathway showing risk of growth in BLR reducing water available for the environment

4.4.1 Determining the likelihood rating

4.4.1.1.1 Regulated river water source

In regulated systems, BLR is managed in order to maintain supply of BLR water requirements. Sufficient reserves of water are set aside and held in storage to ensure supply of domestic and stock rights and native title rights. Therefore there is no pathway for impact on water for the environment from BLR extraction in regulated river sections, and a 'nil' likelihood has been assigned to all regulated rivers (Table 4-15 and Table 4-16). The 'nil' likelihood rating was assigned to all components of the flow regime (all EWRs; Table 4-5).

4.4.1.1.2 Unregulated river water source

The nature of BLR extraction cannot impact upon medium to high flows, and therefore has a 'nil' risk on these components of the flow regime. The assessment of risks of BLR extraction impacting on the environment are relevant to base flows, very-low flows and cease-to-flows (EWRs: BF1, VF1, CF1; Table 4-5). The likelihood of growth in BLR causing a reduction in water available for the environment in unregulated rivers is described by the ratio of the following two metrics:

- Daily 80th percentile flow (of full development of unregulated entitlement)
- Daily BLR extraction.

This ratio was calculated for each water source and is based on the rationale that where the 80th percentile flow is high and the daily BLR take is low, then the likelihood is low. Alternatively, where the 80th percentile flow is low when compared to the daily extraction of BLR, the likelihood is high. If the 80th percentile flow is zero (i.e. an intermittent stream), then a high likelihood is also assigned.

The 80th percentile flows were calculated from recorded flows in each water source and were those used for the macro-planning process. The 80th percentile was selected as it is generally considered to represent the low flow portion.

The BLR volume was estimated using the same method developed for the macro planning process. This method calculated the annual stock use based on potential grazing areas within a water source (including unimproved and improved irrigated grazing land) and stock water allowances. Rural domestic use was also estimated using data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics population and housing census data and assumed domestic water consumption for rural lots.

Likelihood category definitions are defined by the ratios identified in Table 4-13. The likelihood results for water sources in the unregulated Gwydir system are shown in Table 4-14.

Table 4-13 Likelihood metrics for risk of growth in BLR in unregulated water sources

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Ratio of daily 80 th percentile flow to estimated daily BLR extraction	Low	Daily 80 th percentile: daily BLR extraction >2 i.e. extraction is less than 50% of the 80 th percentile flow
	Medium	Daily 80 th percentile: daily BLR extraction between 1.01 - 2 i.e. extraction is 50% - 99% of the 80 th percentile flow
	High	Daily 80 th percentile: daily BLR extraction ≤1 i.e. extraction is equal to 100% or more of 80 th percentile flow Intermittent streams (80 th percentile flow is zero)

Table 4-14 Likelihood results for unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP

Unregulated water source	Likelihood rating
Bakers Creek	Low
Barwon	Low*
Booroolong Creek	High

Unregulated water source	Likelihood rating
Carole Creek	Low*
Cobbadah Creek	High
Copeton Dam	Low
Georges Creek	High
Gil Gil Creek	Low*
Gingham Watercourse	Medium**
Gurley Creek	High
Gwydir	Low*
Halls Creek	Low
Keera Creek	Low
Laura Creek	High
Lower Horton	Low
Mackenzies Flat	Low
Mehi River	Low*
Millie Creek	High
Moredun Creek	Low
Moree	High
Mosquito Creek	Low
Myall Creek	Low
Rocky Creek	High
Rocky River	Low
Roumalla Creek	Medium
Slaughterhouse Creek	High
Thalaba Creek	High
Tycannah Creek	High
Upper Gwydir River	Low
Upper Horton	High
Warialda Creek	Medium
* = Risk could not be calculated because hydrological data was unavailable or unsuitable for this analysis, rating based on expert opinion ** Rating based on the expert opinion of the ecohydrologist as modelling not available for new rule	

4.4.2 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

Under the *Water Management Act 2000*, BLR are made up of domestic and stock rights, harvestable rights and native title rights. Water sharing plans recognise basic landholder rights in their respective water sources. Water may be extracted under these rights without the need for a water access licence, although in the case of accessing groundwater under a domestic and stock right, the bore must still be approved by the Department. The water sharing plan cannot limit or restrict these rights, but the Act itself provides for restrictions on BLR

through the development of mandatory guidelines. Additionally during periods of water shortage, domestic and stock users may be required, by Ministerial Order, to restrict usage to essential purposes.

The proliferation of new domestic and stock rights through the subdivision of land will be managed through a further regulation to the *Water Management Act 2000*. This regulation, made under section 52(2) of the Act, will limit the growth in basic landholder rights when a landholding is subdivided. Effectively this will mean that the reasonable use for the pre-subdivision landholding will be 'frozen' and the vendor will have to apportion this reasonable use limit between the proposed lots in the subdivision. Although still in development, it is intended that such limitations will be applied only to rivers and aquifers that could be subject to high hydrologic stress or high instream risk.

Additionally, the water supply system is managed to ensure sufficient water is set aside to supply basic landholder rights while maintaining compliance with the long term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL). If extractions are determined to be exceeding the LTAAEL, water use will be reduced until compliance with the LTAAEL occurs. This provides for the protection of planned environmental water above the extraction limit.

4.4.3 Risk outcomes

The risk matrix used to determine the risk of BLR extraction impacting the availability of water to the environment in the unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP is provided in Table 4-15.

Table 4-15 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of increased BLR extraction impacting on water available for the environment

		Likelihood (low flow against BLR extraction)			
		Nil	Low	Medium	High
Consequence (HEVAE consequence score)	Very Low	Nil	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Nil	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Nil	Low	Medium	High
	High	Nil	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Nil	Medium	High	High

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings (Tables 4-2, 4-3 and Table 4-14) for the Gwydir regulated and unregulated water sources results in the overall risk levels to ecological assets and functions as shown in Table 4-16 and Table 4-17 respectively. Overall, 11 unregulated water sources had a high risk and 4 water sources had a medium risk. Eleven water sources had a low risk due to extraction by BLR.

Table 4-16 Risk of increased BLR extraction impacting on water available for the environment in the regulated water source of the Gwydir Surface WRP [E(BLR)]

	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - E(BLR)
Regulated river water source	Medium – Very High	Nil	Nil

Table 4-17 Risk of increased BLR extraction impacting on water available for the environment in the unregulated water sources of the Gwydir Surface WRP [E(BLR)]

Risk factor/source	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - E(BLR)
Bakers Creek	Low	Low	Low
Barwon	Medium	Low	Low

Risk factor/source	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - E(BLR)
Booroolong Creek	Medium	High	High
Carole Creek	Medium	Low	Low
Cobbadah Creek	Low	High	Medium
Copeton Dam	Medium	Low	Low
Georges Creek	Medium	High	High
Gil Gil Creek	High	Low*	Low*
Gingham Watercourse	Very high	Medium**	High**
Gurley Creek	Medium	High	High
Gwydir	Very high	Low*	Medium*
Halls Creek	High	Low	Low
Keera Creek	Medium	Low	Low
Laura Creek	High	High	High
Lower Horton	High	Low	Low
Mackenzies Flat	Medium	Low	Low
Mehi River	Medium	Low*	Low*
Millie Creek	Medium	High	High
Moredun Creek	High	Low	Low
Moree	High	High	High
Mosquito Creek	Low	Low	Low
Myall Creek	High	Low	Low
Rocky Creek	Low	High	Medium
Rocky River	Low	Low	Low
Roumalla Creek	Medium	Medium	Medium
Slaughterhouse Creek	Medium	High	High
Thalaba Creek	High	High	High
Tycannah Creek	High	High	High
Upper Gwydir River	High	Low	Low
Upper Horton	Medium	High	High
Warialda Creek	Medium	Medium	Medium

Key: L = Low; M = Medium; H = High

* Risk could not be calculated because hydrological data was unavailable or unsuitable for this analysis, rating based on expert opinion

** Rating based on the expert opinion of the ecohydrologist as modelling not available for new rule.

4.5 Risk to water available for the environment from interception activities [E(I-FD), E(I-PF), E(I-M), E(I-FH)]

Interception activities can impact on environmental assets and ecosystem functions by altering the hydrology of a system.

The National Water Commission (NWC) defined interception as occurring when flows of surface water or groundwater are stopped, reduced or redirected (SKM et al. 2010). This definition excludes precipitation and focuses solely on changes to runoff and recharge. This is further expanded by NWC to imply that interception activities, for the purpose of water management, are human-induced activities that intercept significant volumes of water and therefore decrease the amount of water reaching surface water and groundwater bodies.

Chapter 10 Part 5 of the Basin Plan identifies the following interception activities which may have the potential to impact on the water resources of a WRPA:

- Interception by runoff dams
- Interception by commercial plantations
- Interception by mining activities, including coal seam gas mining
- Interception by floodplain harvesting.

The impact pathways shown in each section demonstrate that the threat of flow alteration driven by the interception activities identified in the Basin Plan can impact on water available for the environment. The key threat is the interception of water that would otherwise reach a water course, thereby reducing the water available for the environment.

For the purpose of risk due to interception in the risk assessment, NSW utilised results published in the CSIRO Murray Darling Basin Sustainable Yields (MDBSY) project (CSIRO 2007; CSIRO 2008) and model outputs that contributed to the MDBSY project. Comparative results were also produced by NSW. Details on the methodology used for determining likelihood ratings and consequence scores for risk due to interception are detailed in the section below.

4.5.1 Farm dams (runoff dams) [E(I-FD)]

For the purpose of this risk assessment, runoff dams refer to private dams that intercept catchment runoff which would otherwise have contributed to stream flow. The impacts of runoff dams are considered to have implications primarily for surface flow; any potential impact on recharge to aquifers is considered to be minor. Interception by runoff dams is considered only in surface water risk assessments.

While the impact of an individual dam is generally small, the cumulative impact of runoff dams on stream flows can be significant. The larger the total volume of runoff dams in a catchment, the greater the potential impact. The magnitude of demand is also important as higher rates of extraction result in reduced volumes of stored water, which in turn increases the potential for dams to intercept runoff. The seasonal pattern of demand has a direct impact on the likelihood of drawdown at different times of the year, with implications for environmental flows and security of supply. The impacts of runoff dams also are heavily dependent on the seasonal distribution of flows, the nature of antecedent conditions, consumption patterns, and the distribution of rainfall. Caution is needed when inferring impacts from dam size and average stream flows based on hillside dams.

Under the *NSW Water Management Act 2000*, harvestable rights are a basic landholder right that permits landholders to capture rainwater runoff on their property, within limits, without requiring a licence. The harvestable right is intended to satisfy essential farm needs such as stock and household water, but can be used for any purpose, including commercial irrigation.

The maximum amount of rainwater runoff that can be captured in a water source is defined by a harvestable rights order (HRO). NSW currently has two harvestable rights order (HRO) divisions, the Eastern and Central division and the Western Division. The maximum harvestable right dam capacity (MHRDC) is calculated as a proportion of the average regional overland flow, applied at the scale of each landholding.

This MHRDC means that, although the number of farm dams within a water source is permitted to grow over time,

the total allowable dam capacity cannot be exceeded unless the extra capacity is licenced. The combined capacity of all dams must stay within the maximum allowed in the HRO division.

For any dam with a capacity larger than the MHRDC, the volume of water that exceeds the MHRDC must be licensed, unless the water is taken under a domestic and stock right or native title right. A water supply works approval, which includes a calculation of MHRDC, is required for all new dams.

Growth in farm dams may reduce the water available for the environment, as shown in the impact pathway (Figure 4-8).




Figure 4-8 Impact pathway showing risk of growth in farm dams reducing water available for the environment

4.5.1.1 Assigning a consequence score

4.5.1.1.1 Regulated river water source

Geoscience Australia mapped farm dams in the MDB in 2006 (Geosciences Australia 2007 in CSIRO 2007). Satellite imagery analysis was used to detect which of the farm dams mapped using the 2004/5 imagery also existed in 1994. This mapping was used to determine growth trends over a ten year period.

The CSIRO MDBSY project (CSIRO 2007; CSIRO 2008) considered the impacts of climate change, increases in farm dams and plantation forests and changes in groundwater use on water resources across the MDB. In the MDBSY project, farm dams refer only to dams with their own water supply catchment and do not include those dams that store diverted (and licensed) water, as these are already included within existing river system models. A 2030 farm dam development scenario was developed by considering current distribution and storage volume, and policy controls and trends in farm dam expansion. The NSW maximum harvestable right volume was also considered.

Environmental asset – Gwydir Wetlands

In assigning a consequence level to farm dams, the approach undertaken by the NSW Office of Water (NoW 2010) for the *Assessment of risk to NSW Murray-Darling Basin shared water resources* was applied in this current risk assessment. The approach used in NSW Office of Water (2010) adopted indicators that were based on information readily available in the MDBSY reports (CSIRO 2007; CSIRO 2008).

The MDBSY project engaged technical experts that examined assets and environmental water requirements and flow objectives required for the assets. Changes caused by the activity (including farm dams, plantation forestry and climate change) were classified according to whether they are considered critical or non-critical.

The criteria used were:

- Critical – ecosystem values associated with other flow objectives will not be maintained if this objective is not met; or
- Non-critical – ecosystem values associated with other flow objectives will be maintained, even if this flow objective is not met.

The Gwydir Wetlands was the environmental asset assessed in the MDBSY project, incorporating both the Lower Gwydir Watercourse and the Gingham Watercourse. Customised hydrological indicators were developed based on key parts of the hydrologic regime (SKM 2008). It was determined that a flow of 100 GL/month (recorded at the Yarraman gauge) is required to flood approximately 20,000 ha of the Gwydir Wetlands (Bennett & Green 1993). This flow regime was used to define two indicators each for flood frequency and flood volume to characterise changes in environmental flows for the Gwydir Wetlands Ramsar site (CSIRO 2007) (Table 4-18).

Table 4-18 Environmental flow indicators developed in the MDBSY Project for the Gwydir Wetlands (CSIRO 2007)

Environmental flow indicator
Average period between flows exceeding 100 GL/month at Yarraman gauge
Maximum period (years) between exceeding 100 GL/month at Yarraman gauge
Average annual volume above 100 GL/month at Yarraman gauge
Average event volume above 100 GL/month at Yarraman gauge
Reference: CSIRO 2007

A consequence score was determined by assessing the ability to meet the defined environmental flow objectives under the base case of historical climate and 2004/5 water sharing arrangements and development levels (Scenario A0) (see Table 4-21).

The consequence of meeting all objectives was then classified based on level of objectives being met for the Gwydir Wetlands (SKM 2008). The consequence score for this asset is negligible (Table 4-19).

Table 4-19 Consequence results for environmental assets (Gwydir Wetlands) in the Gwydir regulated water source in the Gwydir Surface WRP

Environmental asset	Consequence
Gwydir Wetlands	Negligible
Reference: NSW Office of Water 2010	

Regulated rivers

The consequence scores used in the assessment of farm dam interception impacts on regulated water sources other than wetlands were determined using the HEVAE instream values listed in Table 4-2.

4.5.1.1.2 Unregulated water sources

Consequence scores for the unregulated water sources were determined using the HEVAE instream value (Section 4.2) at the reach intersecting the site where likelihood was determined (Table 4-20).

Table 4-20 Consequence results for the unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA

Gauging Station	Consequence Rating (HEVAE consequence score)
Copes Creek at Kimberley	Low
Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Low
Horton River at Rider (Killara)	High
Myall Creek at Molroy	Medium
Laura Creek at Laura	Medium
Halls Creek at Bingara	Medium
Horton River at Horton Dam Site	Low
Booroolong Creek (North Arm) at Black Mountain	Low
Reference: Healey et al. 2018.	

4.5.1.2 Determining the likelihood rating

4.5.1.2.1 Regulated river water source

Environmental asset - Gwydir Wetlands

For the purpose of determining the likelihood of farm dams intercepting runoff which would otherwise have contributed to stream flow, likelihood was calculated by the difference between two MDBSY scenarios ($D_{mid} - C_{mid}$) (Table 4-21). The farm dam component of this impact was estimated at 50% of the total (NoW 2010).

Table 4-21 MDBSY Project Scenarios

MDBSY Scenario		Description
P	Modelling period standardised to 1/7/1895-30/6/2006 and all water regulation and usage removed	Estimate of natural flow regime
A	Historical climate and current development	When compared to A0 risk from current groundwater usage determined
A0	Historical climate and 2004/5 water sharing arrangements and development levels	Baseline for comparison with projected climate change and development scenarios
B	Same as A0 with future climate based on recent decade's climate	
C_{dry}	2nd driest of 45 estimates of future climate, and 2004/5 levels of development	When compared to A0 climate change risk determined
C_{mid}	Median of 45 estimates of future climate, and 2004/5 levels of development	When compared to A0 climate change risk determined
C_{wet}	2nd wettest of 45 estimates of future climate, and 2004/5 levels of development	When compared to A0 climate change risk determined
D_{dry}	C_{dry} with projected 2030 levels of groundwater usage, and increases in farm dams and plantation forestry	When compared to C_{dry} development risk (farm dams and plantations) determined When compared to A0 cumulative risk (climate change + development) determined
D_{mid}	C_{mid} with projected 2030 levels of groundwater usage, and increases in farm dams and plantation forestry	When compared to C_{mid} development risk (farm dams and plantations) determined When compared to A0 cumulative risk (climate change + development) determined
D_{wet}	C_{wet} with projected 2030 levels of groundwater usage, and increases in farm dams and plantation forestry	When compared to C_{mid} development risk (farm dams and plantations) determined When compared to A0 cumulative risk (climate change + development) determined

Likelihood metrics were adopted from SKM (2008), which developed guidelines for assessing risks to shared water resources in the Murray-Darling Basin, however it is important to note that the SKM report used a different definition of likelihood compared to how it has been defined in the rest of this risk assessment (Section 2.2).

The SKM report defined likelihood as the chance of a specified event occurring under different climate scenarios, with events being the specific flow indicators identified for a WRPA. Specified flow indicators for the Gwydir Surface WRPA, based on flow thresholds at the Yarraman gauge, are described in Table 4-17. Likelihoods are therefore the chance that a given flow indicator would be reported under a given climate scenario. For example, if there was a 1% chance that annual average volumes of 100 GL/month at Yarraman would be recorded in given climate scenario, the likelihood would be classed as “rare” (Table 4-22).

Table 4-22 Likelihood metrics selected for modelled scenarios in the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source in the Gwydir Surface WRPA (SKM 2008)

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Probability (%)
Rare	May occur only in exceptional circumstances	<1
Unlikely	Could occur at some time	1-33
Possible	Might occur at some time	33-66
Likely	Would occur at some time	66-99
Almost Certain	Expected to occur in most circumstances	>99
Reference: SKM 2008		

As stated, the likelihood rating was based on the differences between the MDBSY $D_{mid} - C_{mid}$ scenario and relates to high flows (EWRs: LF2, OB1-5; Table 4-5). The likelihood rating for the Gwydir Wetland from interception by farm dams was assessed as possible (Table 4-23).

Table 4-23 Likelihood results for modelled scenarios in the Gwydir Wetlands

Environmental asset	Likelihood
Gwydir Wetlands	Possible
Reference: NoW 2010	

Regulated rivers

The basin-wide growth in numbers of farm dams was estimated at about 0.5-0.6% per year, with growth rates at the time higher in the north than the south (MDBA 2008). The volumetric growth rate was lower than the numerical growth rate as the newer dams are smaller on average than the dams constructed pre-1994. As the *Harvestable Rights Policy* commenced in 1999, it is likely the volumetric growth rate in the period 1994 to 1999 was higher than the period 2000 to 2004.

Estimates of inflow reductions to the entire Gwydir River catchment due to farm dams were used as likelihood ratings for the Gwydir regulated water source (NoW 2010). These estimates were calculated at the valley scale and were used as the likelihood metric for each regulated water source (Table 4-24). The effect of farm dams on runoff is greatest on low flows and high flows (SKM 2010). Low flows cannot be affected by farm dam interception because of regulation and management of BLR (see Section 4.4.1). Similarly, medium flows (i.e. bankful flows) are not impacted by farm dams (SKM 2010). Therefore, the likelihood and associated risks of low and medium flows is ‘low’. The following likelihood ratings are based on inflow reduction and impacts on high flows (EWRs: LF2, OB1-5, Table 4-5).

Table 4-24 Likelihood metrics for Regulated river water sources

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Flow Deviation	Very Low	< 2% reduction of inflows
	Low	< 20% reduction of inflows
	Medium	20-50% reduction of inflows
	High	> 50% reduction of inflows

In 2008 the volume of in-stream farm dams in the Gwydir valley was estimated at 110 GL (NoW 2010). The MDBSY Project estimated growth rate of 0.6% for the entire Basin was applied to the 2008 Gwydir valley estimated volume, yielding a predicted increase of 15 GL. This equates to a reduction of inflows of 0.9 to 1.3% to streams as a result of farm dam interception, depending on the climatic scenario adopted (NoW 2010). Furthermore, river regulation and Planned Environmental Water rules within the Gwydir Regulated WSP substantially reduce any effect of farm dams on river flows.

Based on this estimate of reduced inflows, all regulated water sources were assessed as having a very low likelihood of reduced flows due to farm dams (Table 4-25). The 'very low' likelihood rating was assigned to high flows.

Table 4-25 Likelihood results for Gwydir regulated river

Regulated river reach	Likelihood
All regulated water sources	Very Low

4.5.1.2.2 Unregulated water sources

The MDBSY Project did not assess unregulated rivers; therefore the SKM (2010) assessment of the hydrological impacts of farm dams in the MDB was used to describe likelihood. This study assessed the impact of existing farms dams at a detailed regional scale and fits into the hydrology theme of the Sustainable Rivers Audit 2 (SRA2) (Davies et al. 2012). The assessment undertook a sensitivity analysis on the results of the SRA hydrology metrics to identify those most sensitive to farm dams. Sixteen flow stress indices were calculated across 162 study catchments using catchments and flow gauges that met a set of criteria, including a record of at least 15 years of gauged streamflow data. In this work, the reference regime assumes no direct human influence on water management (that is, with no storages or diversions in place. The current regime represents actual licensed current demands as per NSW's hydrological model at the time of the project.

The median score of every index exceeded 0.9, indicating only a small departure from reference condition, and the overall small impact due to farm dams.

The three indices which showed moderate to high sensitivity to farm dams (SKM 2010) were:

- The low flow (LF) index had the greatest sensitivity to farm dams, consistent with other studies that note that farm dams tend to take a greater proportion of available flow during low flow periods (EWRs: BF1, VF1, CF1; Table 4-5);
- The annual variation index (CV) (the ratio of coefficient of variation of flow in reference and current regime) was moderately affected by farm dams, possibly because flow variability was increased as low flows are made lower by farm dams (EWRs: BF1, VF1, CF1; Table 4-5);
- The high flow (HF) index was moderately affected, possibly because farm dams can delay the onset of high flows at the start of winter in highly developed catchments (EWRs: LF1-2, OB1-5; Table 4-5).

These indices were selected as the metrics to describe the likelihood in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA.

Likelihood category definitions are defined by the extent of deviation from the near-natural condition (Table 4-26). Likelihood results are provided in Table 4-27 for eight flow gauges used in the hydrological assessment (SKM 2010) that are within the Gwydir Surface WSPA.

Table 4-26 Likelihood metrics for risk to water available for the environment in unregulated water sources due to interception

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Departure of current regime from reference (near-natural) regime	Low	0.66-1.33
	Medium	0.33-0.66 or 1.33-1.66
	High	0-0.33 or 1.66-2.0
Reference: NoW (2010)		

Table 4-27 Likelihood results for risk to water for the environment in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA due to interception activities

Gauging Station	Likelihood		
	CV	LF Q ₉₀	HF Q ₁₀
Copes Creek at Kimberley	Low	Medium	Low
Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Low	Low	Low
Horton River at Rider (Killara)	Low	Low	Low
Myall Creek at Molroy	Low	Low	Low
Laura Creek at Laura	Low	Low	Low
Halls Creek at Bingara	Low	Low	Low
Horton River at Horton Dam Site	Low	Low	Low
Booroolong Creek (North Arm)	Low	Medium	Low

4.5.1.3 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

The current harvestable rights provisions for farm dams became official policy in 1999 prior to being included in the WM Act in 2000 as a component of basic landholder rights (BLR). The harvestable rights provisions allow a maximum harvestable right dam capacity (MHRDC) for each water source, based on the average regional overland flow for the water source. The number of farm dams within a water source is permitted to grow over time, providing that the total allowed dam capacity is not exceeded. The mechanism therefore limits the total amount of water that can be intercepted in a water source, rather than the number of individual dams. Also see Section 4.4.2.

4.5.1.4 Risk outcomes

4.5.1.4.1 Regulated river water source

Environmental asset – Gwydir Wetlands

As mentioned in Section 4.5.1.2, SKM (2008) used different definitions of likelihood and consequence in their assessment of environmental assets compared to the rest of this report, hence the SKM risk matrix has also been used for this section (Table 15-1 in SKM 2008). The SKM matrix has been modified by merging high and very high risks into a single 'high' category. This was done to maintain consistency with the risk mitigation strategies in the rest of this report. The adapted risk matrix is provided in Table 4-28.

Table 4-28 Matrix used to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water available for the Gwydir Wetlands from potential interception activities in Gwydir Regulated River Water Source, adapted from CSIRO (2008)

		Likelihood of insufficient water availability				
		Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain
Consequence (%change in water use)	Negligible	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
	Minor	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High
	Moderate	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Major	Medium	Medium	High	High	High
	Severe	Medium	High	High	High	High

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood rating (Table 4-19 and Table 4-23) results in a low overall risk level to ecological assets and functions of the Gwydir Wetlands (Table 4-29).

Table 4-29 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in the Gwydir Wetlands as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [E(I-FD)]

Environmental asset	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk level - E(I-FD)
Gwydir Wetlands	Negligible	Possible	Low
Reference: NoW 2010			

Regulated rivers

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from an increase in farm dams intercepting runoff and impacting the availability of water for the environment in the Gwydir regulated water sources is provided in Table 4-30.

Table 4-30 Matrix used to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water available for the environment from potential interception activities in Gwydir Regulated River Water Source

		Likelihood (reduction of inflows)			
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High
Consequence (HEVAE consequence score)	Very Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Low	Medium	High
	High	Low	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Low	Medium	High	High

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings results in a low risk level to ecological assets and functions in regulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA from interception due to farm dams (Table 4-31).

Table 4-31 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in the regulated Gwydir River as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [E(I-FD)]

Water source	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk level - E(I-FD)
Regulated rivers	Medium – Very High	Very Low	Low

4.5.1.4.2 Unregulated water sources

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from an increase in farm dams intercepting runoff and impacting the availability of water for the environment in the Gwydir unregulated water sources is provided in Table 4-32.

Table 4-32 Matrix used to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water available for the environment from potential interception activities in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP

		Likelihood - current farm dam development		
		Low Departure from reference: 0.66-1.33	Medium Departure from reference: 0.33-0.66 or 1.33-1.66	High Departure from reference: 0-0.33 or 1.66-2.0
Consequence (HEVAE)	Very Low	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Medium	High	High

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings (Table 4-20 and Table 4-27) results in a low risk level to ecological assets and functions in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP (Table 4-33) from interception due to farm dams.

Table 4-33 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [E(I-FD)]

Gauging Station	Consequence*	Likelihood			Risk level - E(I-FD)		
		CV	LF Q ₉₀	HF Q ₁₀	CV	LF Q ₉₀	HF Q ₁₀
Copes Creek at Kimberley	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Horton River at Rider (Killara)	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Myall Creek at Molroy	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Laura Creek at Laura	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Halls Creek at Bingara	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Horton River at Horton Dam Site	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Booroolong Creek (North Arm)	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low

CV = variation index annual; HF Q₁₀ = high flow index; LF Q₉₀ = low flow index
 * HEVAE instream value at the same location

4.5.2 Commercial plantations [E(I-PF)]

Plantation forestry is an increasingly important land use in Australia (ABS 2010). Although plantation forestry can contribute both commercial and environmental benefits, land use change to plantations can have an impact on catchment hydrology (SMEC 2010).

In the long-term, water yield from a catchment, including streamflow and groundwater recharge, is the difference between rainfall and evapotranspiration, assuming no net change in soil water storage in the catchment. Consequently, any change in evapotranspiration will translate directly to a change in catchment yield. For example, if a large number of trees are removed from a catchment, total evapotranspiration will be reduced and this may cause the water table to rise, leading to increased water yield. Conversely large plantations will increase evapotranspiration and therefore reduce water yield (SMEC 2010).

Afforestation is defined as the large-scale planting of trees for timber production, carbon offsetting, land conservation or other environmental purposes.

For this assessment only commercial plantations have been considered as there is no reliable regional or national data available on other types of tree plantings.

The planting of trees for timber production can be broadly classified as:

- Softwood forest plantations – stands of softwood trees for commercial production using various species of pines (including Radiata pine). Rotation lengths are typically 25-35 years; and
- Hardwood forestry plantations – stands of hardwood trees for commercial production typically on a short rotation (i.e. 10-15 years). The species used varies across the MDB.

As part of the MDBSY Project (CSIRO 2007), a 2030 scenario for commercial forestry plantations for the MDB was developed using regional projections from the Bureau of Rural Sciences which takes into account trends, policies and industry feedbacks. The increase in commercial forestry plantations is distributed to areas adjacent to existing plantations (which are not natural forest land use) to project likely growth.

There is no available information on the effects of plantation forests on different parts of the flow regime. Therefore, the likelihood and associated risks equally assess all components of the flow regime.

Growth in plantation forestry may reduce the water available for the environment, as shown in the impact pathway below (Figure 4-9).




Figure 4-9 Impact pathway showing risk of growth in plantation forests reducing water available for the environment

4.5.2.1 Assigning a consequence score

The consequence scores used in the assessment of the impact of plantation forests on regulated and unregulated water sources were determined using the HEVAE instream values listed in Table 4-2 and Table 4-3.

4.5.2.2 Determining the likelihood rating

The Bureau of Rural Sciences projected negligible growth in commercial forestry plantations in the Gwydir region. Therefore no growth in plantation forestry was included in the modelling completed for the MDBSY Project in the Gwydir (CSIRO 2007).

Where there is no projected growth in commercial plantations there is no pathway for additional future impact, and a 'nil' category was assigned to both regulated and unregulated water sources (Table 4-34 and Table 4-35).

4.5.2.3 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

The NSW regulatory approach including compliance to plantation forests is described in DWE (2009). Compliance with the NSW *Plantations and Reafforestation Act 1999* (PRA) is considered to be high as it provides a basis for legal harvesting. The PRA and regulations exclude the consideration of water impacts from the assessment process. However scope for amending the PRA will be considered as part of NSW response to its interception obligations under the NWI and COAG Water Reform agenda.

4.5.2.4 Risk outcomes

The risk matrix used to determine the risk of commercial plantations impacting the availability of water to the environment in the regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRPA is provided in Table 4-34.

Table 4-34 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of increased commercial plantations impacting on water available for the environment

		Likelihood (commercial plantations reducing flow)			
		Nil	Low	Medium	High
Consequence (HEVAE consequence score)	Very Low	Nil	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Nil	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Nil	Low	Medium	High
	High	Nil	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Nil	Medium	High	High

Combining the risk consequence (Table 4-2 and Table 4-3) and the likelihood rating of 'nil', the overall risk levels to ecological assets and functions have been assessed as 'Nil' (Table 4-35).

Table 4-35 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRPA as a result of plantation forests [E(I-PF)]

	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - E(I-PF)
Regulated river water sources	Medium – Very High	Nil	Nil
Unregulated river water sources	Low – Very High	Nil	Nil

4.5.3 Mining [E(I-M)]

This section considers the potential for impacts from growth in mining reducing the availability and quality of surface water for the environment.

Mining activities in the Gwydir catchment currently comprise of less than one percent of the total catchment area (ABARES 2011). There are currently no coal mines operating in the Gwydir catchment; there is limited published information available on the distribution and properties of the resources in the Gwydir (Pinetown et al. 2014). Additionally, no commercial coal seam gas extraction has occurred or is currently occurring in the Gwydir catchment. Coal and coal seam gas exploration are not being pursued in the Gwydir subregion and no further assessments were being undertaken through the Bioregional Assessments (Pinetown et al. 2014). Mineral mining leases comprise of less than 0.1% of total catchment area (<https://minview.geoscience.nsw.gov.au>).

Mining may cause water quality degradation in surface and groundwater sources through both point and diffuse sources. Under the Water Act 2007 subsections 22(9)-(12) the Basin Plan does not regulate land use, management of natural resources that are not water, or the control of pollution. As such, strategies to mitigate the likelihood of this risk fall outside the scope of the water resource plan, the water quality management plan and this risk assessment.

NSW does accept there is potential for this risk to occur and has legislated controls in place to manage both the likelihood and consequences of this risk (see Section 4.5.3.1).

Mining activities may impact on water quantity and quality for the environment, as shown in the pathway below (Figure 4-10).




Figure 4-10 Impact pathway showing risk of mining activities reducing water quantity and quality for the environment

4.5.3.1 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

Access licences under the WMA 2000 must be obtained for any impacts on the quantity of water in immediate or nearby water sources. In most of the Basin, these must be obtained via the market. As such, mining activities cannot increase water use and reduce water available for the environment. The NSW *Aquifer Interference Policy* (AI Policy) details the water licensing and impact assessment processes for aquifer interference activities under the *Water Management Act 2000* and other relevant legislation. The assessment criteria are called 'minimal impact considerations' and include impacts on surface water systems, connected alluvial aquifers, various groundwater impacts and water-dependent assets. Thresholds are set in the Policy so that the impacts of both an individual activity and the cumulative impacts of a number of activities within each water source can be considered.

In NSW, the planning approval processes for mining currently mitigates against water quality impacts. The impacts of mining and coal seam gas activities (and other mining activities) are assessed under the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*. If approved, these developments are conditioned to mitigate impacts on water and related resources. As part of the development approval process, proponents must assess not only their process requirements for water take, but also the impact the activity may have on the quantity and quality of water in all water sources.

Furthermore, the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* and the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* (POEO Act) the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) uses environment protection licences to regulate the activities to avoid and minimise harm caused by water pollution both at the site level, and cumulatively. Therefore, these legislative arrangements result in a '**low**' risk for water quality impacts due to mining.

4.5.3.2 Risk outcomes

Because of the legislative and licencing arrangements controlling water quantity impacts from mining, there is no pathway for this impact to occur, and a '**nil**' risk category was assigned to both regulated and unregulated water sources. This risk rating applies to all components of the flow regime.

The legislative arrangements managing water quality impacts due to mining result in a '**low**' risk. The Bioregional assessment for the Gwydir subregion did not identify any significant areas of mining nor growth in mining. Therefore, the risk of mining causing impacts to structural integrity that lead to reduced surface water for the environment is '**low**'. Note that risks to the structural integrity of groundwater systems are assessed as part of the *Gwydir Alluvium Risk Assessment*.

Table 4-36 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRPA as a result of mining activities [E(I-M)]

Risk	Water source type	Overall risk rating - E(I-M)
Reduced water quantity	Regulated river water source	Nil
	Unregulated river water sources	Nil
Poor water quality	Regulated river water sources	Low
	Unregulated river water sources	Low
Structural integrity	Regulated river water sources	Low
	Unregulated river water sources	Low

4.5.4 Floodplain harvesting [E(I-FH)]

The unconstrained harvesting of water from floodplains reduces the amount of water reaching or returning to rivers. This decreases the amount of water available to meet downstream river health and wetland and floodplain needs. Floodplain harvesting can affect the connectivity between the local floodplain wetlands and the river through the loss of flow volume and the redirection of flood flows. The impact pathway for floodplain harvesting is shown in Figure 4-11.



Figure 4-11 Impact pathway showing risk of growth in floodplain harvesting reducing water available for the environment

4.5.4.1 Assigning a consequence score

The consequence scores used in the assessment of the impact of floodplain harvesting on ecological assets and functions within regulated and unregulated water sources were determined using the HEVAE instream values listed in Table 4-2 and Table 4-3. However, because the total volume floodplain harvesting (likelihood) was assessed at the WRP area scale (see below), and the consequence scores for the water sources ranged from 'low' to 'very high', a 'very high' consequence was used in determining the risk outcomes. In addition, there are significant floodplain wetlands (Gwydir Wetlands) that could be impacted by floodplain harvesting, hence the adoption of a 'very high' consequence score. The 'very high' consequence score also ensures that a conservative estimate of risk is undertaken.

4.5.4.2 Determining the likelihood rating

The likelihood was calculated by comparing the current estimate of total volume of floodplain harvesting (FPH) diversions to the volume of the pre-development 5 year ARI flood event (measured as %) in the Gwydir River at Pallamallawa (gauge no. 418001). The data used for the likelihood rating are preliminary estimates from the Water Modelling team within NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water – Water, and should be considered as indicative only. The likelihood metrics are defined in Table 4-37.

Table 4-37 Likelihood metrics for floodplain harvesting

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Flow Deviation	Low	< 20% FPH volume / volume of 5 year ARI
	Medium	20-50% FPH volume / volume of 5 year ARI
	High	> 50% FPH volume / volume of 5 year ARI

The proportion of FPH volume to 5 year ARI flood volume in the Gwydir River WRP area was estimated to be 26%. Therefore, a 'medium' likelihood rating was assigned.

4.5.4.3 Existing water management actions and mechanisms

Floodplain harvesting is identified in the Basin Plan as a potential interception activity. See section 5.7.4 of the water resource plan for current management actions and mechanisms. In NSW, the Floodplain Harvesting Policy and Healthy Floodplains Projects are converting this form of take into a licensable right. This form of take will be managed under the Floodplain licencing framework.

4.5.4.4 Risk outcomes

Floodplain harvesting can only affect medium to high flows (i.e. overbank flows) and there is no pathway for lower flows to be impacted and thus is considered to be 'nil' risk. The following risk outcomes pertain to the medium and high flows only.

The risk matrix used to determine the risk of floodplain harvesting activities impacting the availability of water to the environment in the Gwydir Surface WSPA is provided in Table 4-10.

Table 4-38 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA as a result of floodplain harvesting activities [E(I-FH)]

	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - E(I-FH)
Gwydir River water sources	Very High	Medium	High

4.6 Risk to water available for the environment due to climate change [E(CC)]

There has been a sustained and statistically unambiguous increase in mean temperatures across the MDB. Increases in mean annual temperature tend to be slightly greater (more than 0.2°C per decade) in the northern parts of the Basin and lower (less than 0.1°C per decade) in the southeast. There is also some evidence that warming is greater at higher elevation than at lower elevation (Van Dijk et al. 2006).

The impacts of climate change by 2030 are uncertain; however, surface water availability across the entire Basin is more likely to decline than increase (Van Dijk et al. 2006).

Change in climate across the Basin is likely to result in:

- Greater annual variability in rainfall and the intensity of rainfall events
- Change in regional and seasonal rainfall patterns
- Changes to the flow regime
- Change in water security
- Altered water quality and the health of rivers, environmental assets and ecosystem functions over time
- Potential increases in evaporation.

The impact pathway is shown in Figure 4-12 and demonstrates how change in climate can alter patterns and volumes of surface runoff impacting water available for the environment in the Gwydir Surface WSPA.

The likelihood is described as the change in frequency of flow indicators at Yarraman gauge, while the consequence is assessed as the loss of annual water diversions for environmental entitlements.




Figure 4-12 Impact pathway showing how change in climate can impact on the availability of water for the environment

4.6.1 Assigning a consequence rating

Regulated river water source

Environmental asset – Gwydir Wetlands

The MDBSY Project (CSIRO 2007; CSIRO 2008) considered the impacts of climate change on water resources across the Murray-Darling Basin. A range of likely climate conditions at the year 2030 was assessed by analysing three global warming scenarios in 15 global climate models (GCM) to provide a spectrum of 45 climate variants. The method also took into account overall changes in total runoff for dry, median and wet climate change scenarios (scenario type C), these were compared to scenario A0 (Table 4-21) (NoW 2010).

As noted in Section 4.5.1.1, the environmental asset assessed in the MDBSY Project was the Gwydir Wetlands which incorporates both the Lower Gwydir Watercourse and the Gingham Watercourse. The consequence scores for this asset are provided below (Table 4-39). Consequence levels were defined by the magnitude of change and were set by experienced consultants at levels considered to be 'reasonable'.

Table 4-39 Consequence results for environmental assets (Gwydir Wetlands) receiving flows from the Gwydir regulated river in the Gwydir Surface WRP

Environmental asset	Consequence		
	Dry climate change scenario	Median climate change scenario	Wet climate change scenario
Gwydir Wetlands	Severe	Moderate	Negligible
Reference: NoW 2010			

Regulated rivers

The consequence scores used in the assessment of climate change impacts on regulated water sources were determined using the HEVAE instream values listed in Table 4-2.

Unregulated water sources

The consequence scores used in the assessment of climate change impacts on unregulated water sources were determined using the HEVAE instream values listed in Table 4-3.

4.6.2 Determining the likelihood rating

Regulated river water source

Environmental asset – Gwydir Wetlands

As discussed earlier in Section 4.5.1.2, customised hydrological indicators were developed in the MDBSY Project for the Gwydir Wetlands (CSIRO 2007). Comparing MDBSY Project C scenarios to the 2004/05 (A0 scenario) determines likelihood of specific flow indicator events occurring under the three different climate projections (wet, dry, median) (Table 4-40). Also as discussed in Section 4.5.1.2, likelihood rankings were adapted from the SKM (2008) guidelines for assessing risks to shared water resources in the Murray-Darling Basin (Table 4-41) which defined likelihood differently to how it has been defined in the majority of this risk assessment (Section 2.2). This likelihood assessment is applied to high flows (EWRs: LF2, OB1-5; Table 4-5).

Table 4-40 Data used to assess risk likelihood to the Gwydir Wetlands from change in climate (CSIRO 2007)

Environmental flow indicator	A0	C _{dry} (% change from A0)	C _{mid} (% change from A0)	C _{wet} (% change from A0)
Average period between flows exceeding 100 GL/month at Yarraman gauge	2.3 yrs	52%	-1%	-39%
Maximum period (years) between exceeding 100 GL/month at Yarraman gauge	11.5 yrs	65%	-4%	-53%
Average annual volume above 100 GL/month at Yarraman gauge	123 GL	-50%	-20%	72%
Average event volume above 100 GL/month at Yarraman gauge	342 GL	43%	-20%	19%
Reference: CSIRO 2007				
A0: historical climate, 2004/2005 level of development and water management arrangements				
C _{dry} : 2004/2005 levels of development; dry climate change projections for 2030				
C _{mid} : 2004/2005 levels of development; median climate change projections for 2030				
C _{wet} : 2004/2005 levels of development; wet climate change projections for 2030				

Table 4-41 Likelihood results for environmental assets (Gwydir Wetlands) receiving insufficient flows from the Gwydir regulated river in the Gwydir Surface WRP

Environmental asset	Likelihood		
	Dry climate change scenario	Median climate change scenario	Wet climate change scenario
Gwydir Wetlands	Likely	Possible	Possible
Reference: NoW 2010			

Regulated rivers

In the Gwydir River valley, there was no direct assessment of climate change impacts on surface water flows in the regulated river other than for the Gwydir Wetlands (NoW 2010). Therefore, the three climate change scenarios (dry, median, wet) were used to define likelihood ratings (Table 4-42 and Table 4-43). The C_{Dry} scenario was used to define the likelihood rating and a 'medium' likelihood category was assigned to all water sources. There is no detailed information on the effects of climate change on different parts of the flow regime. Therefore, the 'medium' likelihood and associated risks equally assess all components of the flow regime (all EWRs; Table 4-5).

Table 4-42 Impacts of climate change scenarios on mean annual runoff and rainfall

Scenario	Change to mean annual rainfall (%)	Change to mean annual runoff (%)
C _{dry}	-10	-28
C _{mid}	0	-9
C _{wet}	+12	+31

According to the MDBSY Project (CSIRO 2007), the wet scenario climate change is predicted to increase mean annual runoff by 31%, but there is a predicted 28% and 9% reduction in inflows for the dry and median climate change scenarios respectively.

Table 4-43 Likelihood metrics for climate change impacts on surface water

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Change to mean annual runoff (%)	Low	< 20% reduction in runoff
	Medium	20-50% reduction in runoff
	High	> 50% reduction in runoff

4.6.2.1.1 Unregulated water sources

Similar to the regulated rivers, the three climate change scenarios were used to define likelihood ratings. To determine the highest potential risk to the environment from surface water reductions due to climate change, the C_{Dry} scenario was used to define the likelihood rating and a 'medium' likelihood category was assigned to all water sources. The 'medium' likelihood applies to all components of the flow regime (all EWRs; Table 4-5).

4.6.3 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

The WSPs for NSW MDB catchments were developed in consultation with community stakeholders, and are applicable for 10 year periods. The WSPs are designed to share the available water on both a daily and longer term basis for the full range of known (historical) climate variability. There is provision in the WM Act to suspend WSPs. In extreme climatic circumstances, prescriptive sharing rules cannot allow for real-time management of transmission losses, understanding of antecedent and forecast conditions and competing user requirements. This WM Act provision has been invoked in NSW MDB valleys where actual climatic conditions have occurred outside the range of prior climate variability.

4.6.4 Risk outcomes

4.6.4.1.1 Regulated river water source

The impact of the three climate scenarios (i.e. dry, median, and wet) on rainfall varied according to season. Rainfall increased in summer and decreased in spring and winter, with little impact in autumn (NoW 2010).

Individually, these are considered small changes in rainfall, but when combined with potential evapotranspiration rates, the seasonal runoff changes are magnified, with higher summer runoff offset by lower runoff for the other seasons (NoW 2010).

Environmental asset – Gwydir Wetlands

As discussed in Section 4.5.1.2, the risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from change in climate impacting the availability of water for the environment in the Gwydir Wetlands is adapted from SKM (2008) with high and very high risks merged into a single 'high' category. This was done to maintain consistency with the risk mitigation strategies in the rest of this report. The adapted risk matrix is provided in Table 4-28.

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from change in climate impacting the availability of water for the environment in the Gwydir Wetlands is provided in Table 4-44.

Table 4-44 Matrix used to determine risk of insufficient water available for the environment from potential change in climate adapted from CSIRO (2008).

		Likelihood of insufficient water availability				
		Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain
Consequence (%change in water use)	Negligible	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
	Minor	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High
	Moderate	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Major	Medium	Medium	High	High	High
	Severe	Medium	High	High	High	High

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings (Table 4-39 and Table 4-41) results in the overall risk levels to the ecological assets and functions at the Gwydir Wetlands as shown in Table 4-45. The risk assessment determined a medium risk to the Gwydir Wetlands from the wet scenario and median climate change scenarios. Under a dry climate change scenario, the Gwydir Wetlands are at high risk of a reduction in inflows.

Table 4-45 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in the Gwydir Wetlands as a result of change in climate [E(CC)]

Environmental asset	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk level - E(CC)
Wet climate change scenario	Negligible	Possible	Low
Median climate change scenario	Moderate	Possible	Medium
Dry climate change scenario	Severe	Likely	High

Regulated rivers

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from change in climate impacting the availability of water for the environment is provided in Table 4-46.

Table 4-46 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of insufficient water for the environment from potential change in climate in regulated and unregulated rivers

		Likelihood (of reduction in runoff)		
		Low	Medium	High
Consequence (HEVAE consequence score)	Very Low	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Medium	High	High

Combining the risk consequence (Table 4-2) and 'medium' likelihood ratings resulted in 'High' and 'Medium' risk levels to all regulated river reaches (Table 4-47).

Table 4-47 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in regulated river sections in the Gwydir Surface WRP as a result of climate change [E(CC)]

Regulated river reach	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - E(CC)
Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam	VH	M	H
Gwydir at Pallamallawa	VH	M	H
Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge	VH	M	H
Mallowa Creek at Regulator	VH	M	H
Mehi at Bronte	H	M	M
Moomin at Iffley	H	M	M
Mehi at Collarenebri	M	M	M
Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	M	M	M

4.6.4.1.2 Unregulated water sources

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from change in climate impacting the availability of water for the environment is provided in Table 4-46.

Combining the unregulated risk consequence (Table 4-3) and 'medium' likelihood ratings resulted in the majority of water sources having '**Medium**' risk ratings with only three water sources having 'High' rating and five with a 'Low' rating (Table 4-48).

Table 4-48 Risks of insufficient water available for the environment in unregulated river sections in the Gwydir Surface WRP as a result of climate change [E(CC)]

Unregulated water source	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - E(CC)
Bakers Creek	L	M	L
Barwon	M	M	M
Booroolong Creek	M	M	M
Carole Creek	L*	M	L*
Cobbadah Creek	L	M	L
Copeton Dam	M	M	M
Georges Creek	M	M	M
Gil Gil Creek	H	M	M
Gingham Watercourse	VH	M	H
Gurley Creek	M	M	M
Gwydir	VH	M	H
Halls Creek	H	M	M

Unregulated water source	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating – E(CC)
Keera Creek	M	M	M
Laura Creek	H	M	M
Lower Horton**	H	M	M
Mackenzies Flat	M	M	M
Mehi River	M	M	M
Millie Creek	M	M	M
Moredun Creek	H	M	M
Moree	H	M	M
Mosquito Creek	L	M	L
Myall Creek	H	M	M
Rocky Creek	L	M	L
Rocky River	L	M	L
Roumalla Creek	M	M	M
Slaughterhouse Creek	M	M	M
Thalaba Creek	H	M	M
Tycannah Creek	H	M	M
Upper Gwydir River	H	M	M
Upper Horton	M	M	M
Warialda Creek	M	M	M
*No data available – rating based upon expert opinion			

5 Risk to environmental assets and functions from pest plants and animals

The main strategies to mitigate the likelihood of impact from freshwater pest species risk fall outside the scope of the water resource plan, the water quality management plan and this risk assessment. In NSW, the *Biosecurity Act 2015* and the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* provide a number of controls to manage aquatic pests. In addition, the environmental flows rules of the Gwydir Regulated WSP and the Gwydir Unregulated WSP described in section 4.2 of the Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan will also assist in mitigating the impacts of pest species. The following is a qualitative assessment based on NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water specialist expert opinion. There are potential risks to environmental assets and functions in the Gwydir Surface WRP from pest plants and animals. In particular, pest plants, such as willows, and pest animals, such as carp, have the potential to pose significant threats to the condition of water resources. Willow can lead to seasonal increases in biological oxygen demand and decreases in dissolved oxygen levels. Invasive species such as carp can increase turbidity in stream systems, while trout can predate on native fish species. The Murray-Darling system has a high proportion of alien species (~21%) and make up approximately 70% of fish numbers and up to 80-90% of the fish biomass (Lintermans 2009).

Due to the uncertainties of the exact location and nature of impacts from pest species, risk was assessed at the WRP spatial scale. The likelihood of pest species impacting on ecological assets and functions within the Gwydir Surface WRP area was 'medium', and the consequence of the impact from pest species was 'medium'. Using the risk matrix Table 4-10, the overall risk rating was '**medium**' (Table 5-1).

Table 5-1 Risk to environmental assets and functions within the Gwydir Surface WRP due to pest plants and animals

	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating
Gwydir Surface WRP area water sources	Medium	Medium	Medium

6 Risks to health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality [E(WQ)]

6.1 Background

Water quality is an important driver of ecological processes and determines the overall condition of a waterway. Physical and chemical parameters such as temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, nutrients, turbidity and dissolved oxygen affect the biology and ecology of aquatic organisms, especially when outside tolerable levels (Watson et al. 2009).

The Basin Plan requires that a WRP must include a water quality management plan (WQM Plan). A separate WQM Plan for the Gwydir Surface WRP has been prepared to meet the requirements of the Basin Plan. The WQM Plan is supplemented by a number of other documents including:

- The Incident Response Guide
- Salinity Technical Report
- Surface Water Quality Technical Report.

Water quality problems occurring within the Gwydir catchment are mostly caused by a combination of alteration to natural flow regimes and land use change (DPI Water 2016). There are a number of causes and threats that will impact the health of water-dependent ecosystems. In the NSW MDB, the types of water quality degradation include:

- Water temperatures outside natural temperature range
- Elevated levels of nutrients and suspended sediments
- Dissolved oxygen and pH outside of natural ranges
- Elevated levels of instream salinity.

These impacts could all lead to the alteration of instream ecological functions and reductions in the condition of ecological assets. Risks arising from elevated levels of salinity or other types of water quality degradation to the condition and continued availability of water resources have been assessed below. The risk pathways for considering potential impacts of poor water quality on water-dependent ecosystems are provided in the following sections.

Under the *Water Act* 2007 subsections 22(9)-(12), the Basin Plan does not regulate land use, management of natural resources that are not water, or the control of pollution. As such, strategies to mitigate the impacts to water-dependent ecosystems from pathogens, pesticides, heavy metals and other toxic contaminants fall outside the scope of the water resource plan, the water quality management plan and this risk assessment.

NSW does accept there is potential for this risk to occur and has legislated controls in place to manage both the likelihood and consequences of the risk.

6.2 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

The WM Act sets a principle requirement that water quality of all water sources should be protected and, wherever possible, enhanced. Key objectives within NSW Basin WSPs also relate to the maintenance of water quality.

There are a suite of rules within the WSPs that assist in protecting and maintaining water quality within the valley. Under the current water sharing plan there are restrictions on drawdowns on in-river and off-river pools when the volume of water is less than full capacity of the pool. Cease to pump rules and commence to pump rules also reduce the pressure on pools by extraction of water. During these low flow periods and as pools contract, water quality can deteriorate, algal blooms occur, dissolved oxygen levels decline. Restricting the extraction pressure on these systems helps protect water quality.

There are also rules in the regulated system that benefit water quality. These rules include:

- Reserve all water above the long term average annual extraction limit for planned environmental water. This helps maintain base flows which are important to slow the decline in water quality by preventing pools from stratifying and stagnating.
- Pass tributary inflows through to the Gwydir Wetlands. The protection of small freshes is important for flushing and turning over stratified pools.
- Protect supplementary water events from extraction by users. This protects important rises in the water level and natural flow variability, preserves a significant portion of natural tributary flows for river health and maintains wetland and floodplain inundation.
- Reserve water in Copeton Dam to the limit of 90,000 ML to strategically use for environmental purposes including water quality outcomes.
- Allow for connectivity between the Gwydir valley and the Barwon-Darling River to suppress algal blooms in the Barwon-Darling River watercourse.
- To provide for specified replenishment flows that refill pools or waterholes in effluent systems downstream of a water source and provide water for stock and domestic purposes.
- The plans also provide for licensed water to be committed for adaptive environmental water purposes.

Further details can be found in the WQM Plan, Salinity Technical Report and the Surface Water Quality Technical Report.

6.3 Risks due to water temperature outside natural ranges

The Basin Plan identifies water temperature outside natural ranges as one type of water quality degradation in the MDB (Schedule 10). One cause of water temperature below natural ranges is the release of stored water from below the thermocline of large water storages in spring, summer and autumn. Release of stored water during winter may cause water temperature above natural ranges, as well as the removal of shading riparian vegetation and reduced flows.

Schedule 11 of the Basin Plan identifies target temperatures (monthly median) within the range of the 20th and 80th percentiles of natural monthly water temperature. Natural monthly temperature has not been specified for the Gwydir Surface WSPA.

This risk assessment focuses both cold water pollution (CWP), (see Preece ,2004: - *Cold water pollution below dams in NSW*), and warm water pollution (WWP). Copeton Dam is the only large storage located in the Gwydir Surface WSPA; the risk from CWP and WWP is relevant to the regulated river only.

Water temperature influences many biological and ecosystem processes. The release of cold water from Copeton Dam in the regulated Gwydir River can impact on ecosystem function and condition of ecological assets by causing temperature depression in the mid-Gwydir regulated river. Warmer temperatures can increase growth rates and metabolism of in-stream plants, animals and algae. Temperature influences spawning, breeding and migration patterns of many aquatic animals.

The impact pathway for CWP and WWP is shown in Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2.




Figure 6-1 Impact pathway showing impact of cold water pollution on ecological functions and assets



Figure 6-2 Impact pathway showing impact of warm water pollution on ecological functions and assets

6.3.1 Assigning a consequence rating

Temperature has a wide range of influences on biological processes. The release of cold water can interrupt important biological cues such as fish spawning and other fauna, and can reduce the growth rate of fish, and increase mortality (Lugg & Copeland 2014). CWP also has the potential to impact on the recovery potential of fish (as a result of increased environmental water) in the Gwydir catchment (DPI Water 2016).

Thermal pollution from Copeton Dam results in water temperatures below natural during the summer months and above natural during the winter months. Dam infrastructure only allows water to be released from the deeper layers. Based on extrapolation from other storages, NSW Fisheries (Lugg 1999) estimated that severe cold water pollution (defined as more than 5°C peak depression) persists for 300 km downstream of the dam. This estimate was refined to 200 km (ending at Yarraman Bridge approximately) by NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water using temperature data from March 2012 to February 2016 (*Water quality technical report for the Gwydir Surface water resource plan area (SW15)*, NSW Department of Planning and Environment).

The impact of CWP and WWP on water-dependent ecosystems is only applicable to the regulated Gwydir River only.

The same consequence scores as determined in Section 4.2.3 (regulated only) are used to assess risks due to water temperatures falling outside natural ranges due to releases from major storages. As HEVAE scoring for this length of the regulated river is all very high, it has been assessed as one reach only.

6.3.2 Determining the likelihood rating

The potential for CWP was described by two indicators, the size of the structure (namely intake depth) and the scale of downstream temperature disturbance (being summer discharge) (Preece 2004). These two metrics provide a basis for categorising dams according to the potential severity of downstream CWP. These same categories have been used to define likelihood categories for CWP (Table 6-1).

Table 6-1 Likelihood metrics for risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from CWP

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Potential severity of downstream CWP	Low	Minor CWP Shallower intake (<10 m) and smaller discharge (<1000 ML/d)
	Medium	Moderate CWP Deep intake (≥10 m) and smaller discharge (>5 and <1000 ML/d)
	High	Severe CWP Deep intake (≥10 m) and large discharge (≥1000 ML/d)
Reference: Preece, 2004		

Copeton Dam is a deep storage which exhibits strong and persistent thermal stratification on an annual basis from early spring through to late autumn (Preece 2004). The thermocline is typically positioned between 5 and 10 m below the water surface (Preece 2004).

Copeton Dam intake depth is from 57 to 69 m below full supply level. Historical discharge data shows that the medium January discharge is approximately 3600 ML/day, acknowledging that there is considerable variation from year to year depending on rainfall.

Based on the above criteria, Copeton Dam has a high likelihood for causing CWP.

The likelihood for warm water pollution was determined by assessing the maximum difference between mean monthly water temperatures downstream of Copeton Dam during winter (July) to the 80th percentile mean monthly temperature of reference sites (Table 6-2). The monthly 80th percentiles were calculated using the combined hourly water temperature data from three upstream unregulated sites (*Water quality technical report for the Gwydir Surface water resource plan area (SW15)*). The monthly median temperature downstream of Copeton Dam was also calculated using the hourly water temperature data. The gauging station downstream of Copeton Dam is located 2 kms below the outlet of the dam (418026 Gwydir River downstream of Copeton Dam).

Table 6-2 Likelihood metrics for risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from WWP

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Potential severity of downstream WWP	Low	< 2 °C maximum difference of mean monthly temperatures in winter (July) between Gwydir River below Copeton Dam and reference sites
	Medium	2 °C – 4 °C maximum difference of mean monthly temperatures in winter (July) between Gwydir River below Copeton Dam and reference sites
	High	≥ 6 °C maximum difference of mean monthly temperatures in winter (July) between Gwydir River below Copeton Dam and reference sites

Based on the likelihood criteria for WWP above, the likelihood rating was 'low'.

6.3.3 Risk outcomes

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of CWP and WWP impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems in the regulated system of the Gwydir Surface WSPA is provided in Table 6-3.

Table 6-3 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of water temperature outside natural ranges impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems

		Likelihood (of instream structure having the potential to cause CWP & WWP)		
		Low	Medium	High
Consequence (HEVAE consequence score)	Very Low	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Medium	High	High

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings for the Gwydir regulated river, CWP is a high risk level to ecological assets and functions downstream to Yarraman Bridge, as shown in Table 6-4. WWP presents a medium risk level to Gwydir River immediately below Copeton Dam (Table 6-4). The WWP risk level only applies to the Gwydir River immediately below Copeton Dam, as the low likelihood rating would diminish even further downstream.

Table 6-4 Risks of water temperature outside natural ranges impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems [E(WQ-CWP)] and [E(WQ-WWP)].

Regulated river reach	Consequence rating	Likelihood rating	Risk rating - E(WQ-CWP) & E(WQ-WWP)
E(WQ-CWP) 200 km downstream of Copeton Dam (includes Gwydir at downstream Copeton Dam and Gwydir at Yarraman)	Very high	High	High
E(WQ-WWP) Gwydir River immediately below Copeton Dam	Very high	Low	Medium


6.4 Risks due to turbidity, TP, TN, pH & DO

Elevated levels of suspended matter and nutrients and dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH outside natural ranges are also types of water quality degradation identified in Schedule 10 of the Basin Plan. There are a range of causes that contribute to water quality degradation of this type. Schedule 11 of the Basin Plan identifies target values for water quality indicators for application zones across the Basin.

Copeton Dam has a major disruptive effect on water quality immediately downstream of the dam. It causes reduced primary productivity and leads to an increase in nutrient concentrations (Westhorpe *et al.* 2015). Nutrient concentrations are influenced by land use, rainfall and discharge, as well as the combination of naturally occurring high concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus in soils and erosion. Soil erosion can be exacerbated by clearing, degradation of stream banks and other land use issues. Turbidity and suspended sediment are also influenced by high flows, particularly in those reaches where bank and riparian condition is poor (DPI Water 2016).

Dissolved oxygen is influenced by organic carbon concentrations, nutrient concentrations and temperature, particularly during low and cease to flow periods.

The impact pathway is shown in Figure 6-3. The consequence is described using HEVAE scoring for 50 km river reaches based around river monitoring stations. Likelihood is described by the frequency that Basin Plan water quality targets are exceeded.

**Figure 6-3 Impact pathway showing impact of water quality degradation (increased turbidity, TP, TN, pH & DO) on ecological functions and assets**

6.4.1 Assigning a consequence rating

There are 11 NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water water quality monitoring sites in the Gwydir Surface WSPA across the unregulated and regulated systems. For each monitoring station, a reach was defined as 25 km upstream and downstream (Figure 6-4) as a conservative estimate of the spatial representativeness of water quality data and movement of instream biota within the river channel.

Risk consequence was determined using the HEVAE instream value scoring (Section 4.2.1). The consequence decision support tree (Section 4.2.2) was then used to define the final consequence score using the HEVAE instream values within each reach area. Consequence scores for regulated and unregulated reaches are shown in Table 6-5 and Table 6-6.

Regulated river water source

Table 6-5 Consequence results for reaches of the Gwydir regulated river in the Gwydir Surface WSPA

River reach	Consequence Rating
418013 Gwydir River at Gravesend	Very High
418004 Gwydir River at Yarraman Bridge	Very High
418002 Mehi River at Moree	Very High
418058 Mehi River at Bronte	High
418054 Moomin Creek at Iffley	High

Unregulated water sources

Table 6-6 Consequence ratings in unregulated reaches in the Gwydir Surface WSPA

River reach	Consequence Rating
418014 Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	High
41810006 Laura Creek upstream Bundarra	High
418008 Gwydir River at Bundarra	High
41810033 Myall Creek at Sheep Station Creek Road	Very High
41810058 Horton River at Elcombe Road Bridge	Very High
41610152 Gil Gil Creek at Collarenebri Road Bridge	High

It is noted that Gil Gil Creek is included here because of diversions down Carole Creek. The actual gauging station number is 416052 (Gil Gil Ck at Galloway); samples are collected at the road bridge approximately 10 km downstream of the gauge. The eight-digit number represents a monitoring site.




Figure 6-4 Water quality monitoring sites used to determine water quality target application zones for water-dependent ecosystems (Schedule 11 of the Basin Plan)

6.4.2 Determining the likelihood rating

Likelihood is described by the frequency that the water quality target, as nominated by the Basin Plan, was exceeded over a five year monitoring period. Data was based on monthly sampling for the period 2010/11 - 2014/15.

Schedule 11 water quality target values used for this risk assessment were for 'other water-dependent ecosystems' in the Montane, Upland and Lowland zones (C2, B2 and A2 zones respectively) (Figure 6-4) (Table 6-7).

Table 6-7 Basin Plan (Schedule 11) water quality targets for 'other water-dependent ecosystems'

Ecosystem type	Target application zone	Turbidity (NTU) (annual median)	Total Phosphorus (µg/L) (annual median)	Total Nitrogen (µg/L) (annual median)	Dissolved oxygen (mg/L; or saturation (%)) (annual median within the range)	pH (annual median within the range)
Streams, rivers, lakes & wetlands	C2 (includes Gwydir Surface WSPA Montane zone)	25	20	250	90-110%	6.5-7.5
	B2 (includes Gwydir Surface WSPA Upland zone)	30	80	750	60-110%	7.5-8.5
	A2 (includes Gwydir Surface WSPA Lowland zone)	200	200	1000	>5.0 mg/L or 65-110%	7.0-8.3

Likelihood category definitions are defined by the frequency that the water quality target is exceeded over the five year sampling period (Table 6-8). Likelihood scores for regulated and unregulated reaches are shown in Table 6-9 and Table 6-10.

Table 6-8 Likelihood metrics for risk of water quality impacting water-dependent ecosystems in the Gwydir Surface WRPA

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Number of years the annual medium water quality exceeds the Basin Plan target	Low	The annual site median exceeds the water quality target no more than once between 2010/11 - 2014/15
	Medium	The annual site median exceeds the water quality target at least two times but not more than three times between 2010/11 - 2014/15
	High	The annual site median exceeds the water quality target at least four times between 2010/11 - 2014/15

Unless otherwise noted, a minimum of five samples per year were used when calculating the median.

Regulated river water source

Table 6-9 Likelihood results in regulated river reaches exceeding Basin Plan water quality targets

Regulated river reach	Turbidity	Total phosphorus	Total nitrogen	pH	DO
418013 Gwydir River at Gravesend	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
418004 Gwydir River at Yarraman Bridge	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
418002 Mehi River at Moree	High	Low	Low	Low	Medium
418058 Mehi River at Bronte	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Medium
418054 Moomin Creek at Iffley	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Medium

Unregulated water sources

Table 6-10 Likelihood results in unregulated river reaches exceeding Basin Plan water quality targets

Unregulated river reach	Turbidity	Total phosphorus	Total nitrogen	pH	DO
418014 Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	Low	High	High	High	Low
41810006 Laura Creek upstream Bundarra	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Low
418008 Gwydir River at Bundarra	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Medium
41810033 Myall Creek at Sheep Station Creek Road	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium
41810058 Horton River at Elcombe Road Bridge	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
41610152 Gil Gil Creek at Collarenebri Road Bridge	High	Low	Low	Low	Low

6.4.3 Risk outcomes

The risk matrix used to determine the risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems due water quality targets being exceeded is provided in Table 6-11.

Table 6-11 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of exceeding water quality targets on water-dependent ecosystems

		Likelihood (of water quality targets being exceeded)		
		Low	Medium	High
Consequence (HEVAE consequence score)	Very Low	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Medium	High	High

Combining the risk consequence (Table 6-5 and Table 6-6) and likelihood ratings (Table 6-11) for the Gwydir Surface WRPA results in the overall risk levels to ecological assets and functions as shown in Table 6-12 and Table 6-13 for regulated and unregulated water sources respectively.

The highest risk to water-dependent ecosystems was generally found in the lower section of the Gwydir Surface WRPA. Low concentrations of dissolved oxygen and elevated levels of nutrients are the highest risks to water-dependent ecosystems. There are also high risks from total phosphorus, total nitrogen and pH to water-dependent ecosystems in the Gwydir River at Yarrowyck in the upper catchment. The water quality for this monitoring site is driven by the basalt derived soils along the Great Dividing Range, providing a source of phosphorus, nitrogen and an alkaline pH.

Regulated river water source

Table 6-12 Risks of exceeding water quality targets impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems in the regulated reaches of the Gwydir Surface WRP [E(WQ)]

Regulated river reach	Consequence	Turbidity		Total phosphorus		Total nitrogen		pH		DO	
		Likelihood	Overall risk rating	Likelihood	Overall risk rating	Likelihood	Overall risk rating	Likelihood	Overall risk rating	Likelihood	Overall risk rating
418013 Gwydir River at Gravesend	Very high	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium
418004 Gwydir River at Yarraman Bridge	Very High	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium
418002 Mehi River at Moree	Very High	High	High	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Medium	High
418058 Mehi River at Bronte	High	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
418054 Moomin Creek at Iffley	High	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium

Unregulated water sources

Table 6-13 Risks of exceeding water quality targets impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems in the unregulated water sources of the Gwydir Surface WRP [E(WQ)]

Unregulated river reach	Consequence	Turbidity		Total phosphorus		Total nitrogen		pH		DO	
		Likelihood	Overall risk rating	Likelihood	Overall risk rating	Likelihood	Overall risk rating	Likelihood	Overall risk rating	Likelihood	Overall risk rating
418014 Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	High	Low	Low	High	High	High	High	High	High	Low	Low
41810006 Laura Creek upstream Bundarra	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
418008 Gwydir River at Bundarra	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
41810033 Myall Creek at Sheep Station Creek Road	Very High	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Medium	High
41810058 Horton River at Elcombe Road Bridge	Very High	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Medium
41610152 Gil Gil Creek at Collarenebri Road Bridge	High	High	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

6.5 Risks due to elevated levels of instream salinity [E(WQ-S)]

Elevated levels of instream salinity can be caused by the mobilisation of salt stores in the landscape and/or a geological predisposition to salinity development.

Salinity targets referred to in the Basin Plan are end-of-valley salinity targets described in Schedule B, Appendix 1 of the *Commonwealth Water Act 2007*. These targets have been used to assess risks to water-dependent ecosystems from salinity.

The impact pathway is shown in Figure 6-5. The consequence is described using HEVAE scoring for 50 km river reaches based around the relevant river monitoring stations. Likelihood is described by the frequency that the Basin Plan end-of-valley target is exceeded.




Figure 6-5 Impact pathway showing impact of elevated levels of instream salinity on ecological functions and assets in the Gwydir Surface WRP

6.5.1 Assigning a consequence rating

The same consequence rating as applied in Section 6.4.1 was used. The end-of-valley target for the Gwydir is nominated for Gauging Station 418058 (Mehi River at Bronte) (Schedule B, Appendix 1 of the *Commonwealth Water Act 2007*). This station has a high consequence rating (Table 6-5).

6.5.2 Determining the likelihood rating

Likelihood is described by the frequency that the end-of-valley electrical conductivity target was exceeded during the five year period 2010/11 to 2014/15. Data was based on continuous electrical conductivity data for the period 2010/11 to 2014/15.

The end-of-valley salinity target for the Gwydir Surface WRP, as nominated in the Basin Plan is that the 80th percentile should not exceed 545 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.

Likelihood category definitions are defined by the frequency that the end-of-valley target is exceeded over the five year sampling period (Table 6-14).

Table 6-14 Likelihood metrics for risk to health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality in the regulated river in the Gwydir Surface WRP

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Number of years the annual 80 th percentile salinity exceeds the end-of-valley target of 545 µS/cm	Low	The annual 80 th percentile exceeds the end-of-valley target no more than once between 2010/11 - 2014/15
	Medium	The annual 80 th percentile exceeds the end-of-valley target at least two times but not more than three times between 2010/11 - 2014/15
	High	The annual 80 th percentile exceeds the end-of-valley target at least four times between 2010/11 - 2014/15

Unless otherwise noted, a minimum of five samples per year were used when calculating the median.

The annual 80th percentile salinity level at the Mehi River at Bronte (Station 418058) exceeded the salinity target four out of the five years between 2010/11 and 2014/15. Therefore this risk has a high likelihood rating.

6.5.3 Risk outcomes

The risk matrix used to determine the risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems due to water quality targets being exceeded is provided in Table 6-15.

Table 6-15 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of elevated levels of instream salinity on water-dependent ecosystems

		Likelihood (of salinity target being exceeded)		
		Low	Medium	High
Consequence (HEVAE consequence score)	Very Low	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Medium	High	High

Combining the high risk consequence (Table 6-5) and high likelihood rating (Section 6.5.2) results in a high overall risk rating (Table 6-16). There was a high risk outcome as the annual 80th percentile result exceeded the salinity target four out of the five years between 2010/11 and 2014/15.

Table 6-16 Risks of elevated levels of instream salinity impacting the health of water-dependent ecosystems in the regulated system of the Gwydir Surface WRP [E(WQ-S)]

Regulated river reach	Consequence rating	Likelihood rating	Risk rating - E(WQ-S)
Station 418058 Mehi River at Bronte	High	High	High

6.6 Risks due to pathogens and toxicants [E(WQ-PT)]

Under the *Water Act* 2007 subsections 22(9)-(12) the Basin Plan does not regulate land use, management of natural resources that are not water, or the control of pollution. As such, strategies to mitigate the likelihood of

this risk fall outside the scope of the water resource plan, the water quality management plan and this risk assessment.

NSW does accept there is potential for this risk to occur and has legislated controls in place to manage both the likelihood and consequences of the risk. The approach to assessing this risk is the broad consideration of whether there are effective legislated processes and controls that manage both the likelihood and consequence of risk occurrence.

Effective management systems are proactive, responsive, risk based and reliant on good knowledge of:

- processes through which contamination can occur,
- levels of toxicity and persistence of contaminants,
- processes by which contaminants enter river systems,
- effectiveness of measures to mitigate risk likelihood such as licencing and compliance activities, and
- effectiveness of measures to mitigate risk consequence such as extraction controls and water treatment activities

The pathway for impact shown in Figure 6-6 is that surface water contaminants from such sources as onsite septic, sewage treatment plants, agriculture and industry may enter river systems where best practice land management is not in place or where there is ineffective or non-compliance with pollution controls. Controls around entry of contaminants (likelihood) and the ecological assets and functions that could be affected (consequence) are assessed to provide the risk outcome.




Figure 6-6. Impact pathway showing how risk of poor water quality (pathogens, pesticides and other toxic contaminants) can impact on ecological assets and functions

6.6.1 Assigning a consequence rating

Risk consequence was determined using the HEVAE instream value scoring (Section 4.2.1). The consequence decision support tree (Section 4.2.2) was then used to define the final consequence score using the HEVAE instream values within each reach area. However, because the likelihood rating was assessed at the WRP area scale, and the consequence scores for the water sources ranged from 'low' to 'very high', a 'very high' consequence was used in determining the risk outcomes. The 'very high' consequence score ensures that a conservative estimate of risk was undertaken.

6.6.2 Determining the likelihood rating

Likelihood can be conceptualised with consideration to the process of minimising contamination from a range of sources entering surface waters.

In NSW the EPA and local councils implement a risk based approach to the management of potential point source contaminants under the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997*, the *Local Government Act 1993* and the *Local Government (General) Regulation 2005*. The EPA is responsible for event monitoring as a result of licence compliance issues. Under the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* (POEO Act), the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) uses a risk-based licensing system that aims to ensure that all environment protection licensees receive an appropriate level of regulation based on the environmental risk of the activity taking into account site specific risks. Licenced industries include sewage treatment plants and

various agricultural processing activities. Licensing conditions also include a monitoring and reporting component for compliance.

There are limited levers within scope of water planning to manage contaminants from diffuse agricultural sources such as nutrients and pathogens from animal waste. Strategies to address this potential risk include those established by Natural Resource Management agencies (e.g. Local Land Services) to provide advisory services that support and enable landholders to implement improved natural resource and agricultural management practices. These management measures contribute to reducing contaminants from poor quality water entering surface waters leading to water quality degradation and impacts on aquatic ecosystems.

NSW considers the EPA's risk based licensing and approval system and local councils' regulation of onsite sewage management adequately manages the major causes of water quality degradation from major contaminants entering the surface waters. Therefore, a likelihood ranking of 'low' has been applied to both regulated and unregulated water sources (Table 6-17).

Table 6-17 Likelihood metrics and categories for risk to health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (pathogens and toxicants) in the Gwydir Surface WSPA

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Category definition
Is there a process to control contaminants entering the resource units of the water resource plan area?	Low	Legislated risk based management is in place
	Medium	Legislated or other risk based management is in place
	High	Legislated or other risk based management not in place

6.6.3 Risk outcomes

Combining the '**low**' likelihood (Table 6-17) and '**very high**' consequence rating results in the overall risk of surface water contamination from land and waste management practices as '**medium**' in all resource units (Table 6-18.).

Table 6-18 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of impact of pathogens and toxicants on water-dependent ecosystems

		Likelihood (of process to control contaminants entering surface waters)		
		Low	Medium	High
Consequence (HEVAE consequence score)	Very Low	Low	Low	Low
	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Medium	High	High

7 Risk to other water uses due to unsuitable water quality [O(WQ)]

7.1 Background

There are a number of risks that may reduce the quality of water used for a number of consumptive and other economic uses in the Gwydir Surface WSPA. Land use within the Gwydir catchment is predominantly agricultural, and includes livestock grazing, dryland cropping and irrigated cropping.

7.2 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

As discussed in Section 6.2 above, under the WM Act a key principle sets a requirement that water quality of all water sources should be protected and, wherever possible, enhanced. Key objectives within the WSPs also relate to the maintenance of water quality. For more information refer to Chapter 6 above, and the WQM Plan, Salinity Technical Report and the Surface Water Quality Technical Report.

7.3 Risks to irrigation water from elevated instream salinity [O(WQ-S)]

The Basin Plan sets targets and objectives to ensure that water quality is protected for social, economic, environmental, cultural and spiritual use. Elevated levels of salinity is one of the causes of water quality degradation that the Basin Plan seeks to address.

Protecting water quality from elevated levels of salinity is fundamental to both river and human health. It sustains riverine ecological processes that support native plants and animals as well as the health of wetlands. Addressing risks of elevated levels of salinity is also important for farming, industries, human consumption and recreation as well as for cultural and spiritual needs.

Irrigation water with elevated levels of salinity can lead to potential crop damage. The Basin Plan sets water quality targets for irrigation water and for the purposes of long-term salinity planning and management (Part 4 of Chapter 9). The target for water for irrigation is for the 95th percentile of each 10 year period that ends at the end of a water accounting period. These targets apply at sites where water is extracted by an irrigation infrastructure operator (IIO) for the purpose of irrigation. In NSW, irrigation infrastructure operators are defined as a separate third party that holds a water access entitlement and delivers water to shareholders. These include NSW Irrigation Corporations, Private Irrigation Districts and Private Water Trusts. As there are no irrigation infrastructure operators that deliver services in the Gwydir Surface WSPA, the irrigation water target does not apply. However, for the purposes of long-term salinity management and planning, measures to address medium and high risk of elevated levels of salinity must be prepared having regard to the targets in Division 4 of Part 4 of Chapter 9.

This risk assessment has had regard to water being unsuitable for use due to elevated salinity levels (Basin Plan s.4.02(1)(b)) through the assessment of risk to the environment due to elevated level of salinity Section 6.5. Addressing the risks from elevated salinity in E(WQ-S) will ensure water is of suitable quality for social, economic, environmental, cultural and spiritual use. In addition, the Water Quality Management Plan and the Basin Salinity Management Strategy 2030 (BSM2030) outline the processes to ensure salinity is suitable for both the environment and irrigation uses.

7.4 Risks to water used for recreational purposes [O(WQ-BGA)]

Most algae are safe and are a natural part of aquatic ecosystems. However, some types of blue-green algae (cyanobacteria) can produce hepatotoxins, neurotoxins and other toxins. Blue-green algae can increase to excessive levels if conditions are suitable and form visible 'blooms' that can adversely affect water quality.

Blue-green algae can cause environmental problems, disrupt drinking water supplies, recreational activities and water-dependent industries, and pose a risk to livestock, wildlife and human health.

Blue-green algae was chosen as the indicator for risk to recreational water quality because of the potential for some species of blue-green algae to impact on health through direct contact. Some species can produce potent neurotoxins and hepatotoxins, allergens or irritants to the skin and eyes as well as compounds that affect the taste of water and produce unpleasant odours. In severe cases the toxins can cause damage to the liver and nervous systems and there have been human deaths associated with non-routine exposure to algal toxins through dialysis.

The impact pathway is shown in Figure 7-1 and demonstrates the threat to water used for recreational purposes due to blue-green algae blooms. The consequence is described by the level of recreational use at the assessed location, while the likelihood is described by the frequency of red alert occurrence.




Figure 7-1 Impact pathway showing risk to recreation water quality and human health from blue-green algae

7.4.1 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

The risk of human exposure to blue-green algal blooms is managed in NSW through a coordinated regional approach with the Regional Algal Coordination Committees (RACC). State-wide and regional contingency plans and guidelines have been developed to provide methodologies on the management of algal blooms (NSW Office of Water 2014). The objective of the guidelines is to provide a risk assessment framework to assist with effective management response to freshwater, estuarine and marine algal blooms. The guidelines aim to minimise the impact of algal blooms by providing adequate warning to the public ensuring their health and safety in recreational situations and for stock and domestic use.

Alert levels have been developed to determine the actions to be implemented in response to an algal bloom. These levels were adopted from the National Health and Medical Research Council algal bloom response guidelines (NHMRC 2008). A conceptual model of how these guidelines are applied for cyanobacterial blooms in waters used for stock, domestic, farm use and recreation is provided in Figure 7-2. The stock alert is also triggered within an amber alert if waters are used for stock watering and the stock alert levels are exceeded.

Water bodies with high levels of recreational use or those used as a source of potable supply have algal contingency plans for the issuing of warnings and treatment of town water supplies if sampling reveals potentially toxic levels of blue-green algae.




Figure 7-2 Conceptual outline of how algal alert levels are used in NSW

7.4.2 Assigning a consequence rating

Consequence is described by the degree of recreational use of the water source. Recreational usage of the four sites monitored for blue-green algae was determined during sampling to assign a use level.

Consequence categories are defined by usage levels (Table 7-1). Consequence ratings are provided in Table 7-2.

Table 7-1 Consequence metrics for risk to water used for recreational uses due to blue-green algae in the Gwydir Surface WRP

Consequence metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Degree of use for recreational purposes	Low	No, or very little, use of untreated water supplies Public advised to avoid contact with water body
	Medium	Some recreational usage (e.g. bush camping)
	High	Untreated water used for domestic use or town water supply High levels of recreational usage

Table 7-2 Consequence results for risk to recreational water users in the Gwydir Surface WRP

River reach	Consequence
Copeton Reservoir	Low
Gwydir River downstream of Copeton Dam	Low
Mehi River at Bronte	Low

7.4.3 Determining the likelihood rating

The likelihood is described by the annual frequency of red alerts during the period 2006/07 to 2013/14.

A red alert level is triggered when >50,000 cells/mL of *Microcystis aeruginosa* are present or the biovolume of all toxin producing blue-green algae exceeds 4 mm³/L are detected. This alert level is also triggered if the total of all blue-green algae (toxic and non-toxic) exceeds 10 mm³/L or scums are present for long periods. This alert level triggers contact to be made with relevant local authorities including health services and appropriate measures are taken to assess the risks and to inform users.

Likelihood categories are defined by length of time a red alert is in place (Table 7-3). Likelihood ratings are provided in Table 7-4.

Table 7-3 Likelihood metrics for risks from blue-green algae in the Gwydir Surface WRP

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition ¹
Annual duration of red alerts	Low	< 2 weeks / year
	Medium	2 – 4 weeks / year
	High	> 4 weeks /year

Table 7-4 Likelihood results for risk due to blue-green algae

River reach	Likelihood
Copeton Reservoir	High
Gwydir River downstream of Copeton Dam	Low
Mehi River at Bronte	Low

7.4.4 Risk outcomes

The risk matrix used to determine the risk to recreational water users from blue-green algae in the Gwydir Surface WRP is provided in Table 7-5.

Table 7-5 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of blue-green algae to recreational water uses

		Likelihood (annual duration of red alerts)		
		Low	Medium	High
Consequence (degree of recreational use)	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	Very High	Medium	High	High

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings (Table 7-2 and Table 7-4) results in the overall risk as medium for Copeton Reservoir and low for other locations (Table 7-6).

Table 7-6 Risks from blue-green algae to recreational water uses in the Gwydir Surface WRP [O(WQ-BGA)]

Regulated river reach	Consequence rating	Likelihood rating	Risk rating – O(WQ-BGA)
Copeton Reservoir	Low	High	Medium
Gwydir River downstream of Copeton Dam	Low	Low	Low
Mehi River at Bronte	Low	Low	Low

7.5 Risks to water used for human consumption

Water utilities in NSW implement a risk-based approach to drinking water management to ensure a secure and safe drinking water supply. The *Public Health Act 2010* and the Public Health Regulation 2012 require drinking water suppliers to develop and adhere to a Drinking Water Management System (DWMS) that takes a “multiple barrier approach” from catchment to tap. The DWMS addresses the elements of the Framework for Management of Drinking Water Quality (Australian Drinking Water Guidelines) and is a requirement of a water suppliers operating licence (NSW Ministry of Health 2013).

Risks to raw water and their management strategies are identified in the Drinking Water Management Systems for the following water suppliers in the Gwydir Surface WRP and will not be addressed further in this document.

- Gwydir Shire Council;
- Inverell Shire Council; and
- Moree Plains Shire Council.

7.6 Other values

Regard has been had to risks to the suitability of water for public benefit values (i.e. Indigenous, social, cultural) as required under 10.41(3)(a) in relation to 4.02(2)(b) through the assessment of risks to other water uses due to unsuitable water quality. These risks are linked to a number of public benefit values. The benefits and values associated with improved water quality and ecosystem health provide both directly and indirectly for various social, cultural and other public benefit values. Consideration within the development of the WRP is limited on the basis that current methodologies to assess broader benefits are still under development.

Future risk assessments will include an assessment of these risks as further data becomes available. As there is a related requirement in 10.53(f), refer to sections 1.3.1 and 1.7 of the WRP for further information relevant to risks to Indigenous values and uses of surface waters.

8 Risks to water available for other uses

8.1 Background

In this section of the risk assessment, an attempt has been made to estimate the risk of interception activities on other users.

The National Water Commission (NWC) defined interception as occurring when flows of surface water or groundwater are stopped, reduced or redirected (SKM et al. 2010). This definition excludes precipitation and focuses solely on changes to runoff and recharge. This is further expanded by NWC to imply that interception activities, for the purpose of water management, are human-induced activities that intercept significant volumes of water and therefore decrease the amount of water reaching surface water and groundwater bodies.

Chapter 10 Part 5 of the Basin Plan identifies the following interception activities which may have the potential to impact on the water resources of a WRPA:

- Interception by runoff dams
- Interception by commercial plantations
- Interception by mining activities, including coal seam gas mining
- Interception by floodplain harvesting.

The impact pathways demonstrate the threat of flow alteration driven by the interception activities identified in the Basin Plan can impact on water available for other users. The key threat is the interception of water that would otherwise reach a water course, thereby reducing the water available for other users.

For the purpose of risk due to interception, NSW utilised results published in the CSIRO Murray Darling Basin Sustainable Yields (MDBSY) project (CSIRO 2007; CSIRO 2008) and model outputs that contributed to the MDBSY project. Comparative results were also produced by NSW. Details on the methodology used for determining likelihood ratings and consequence scores for risk due to interception are detailed in the section below.

8.2 Risks to other water users from interception activities [O(I-FD),O(I-PF),O(I-M),O(I-FH)]

Just as interception activities can impact on environmental assets and ecosystem functions by altering the hydrology of a system, they can also impact on the water available for other uses. Interception activities identified in the Basin Plan are discussed below.

8.2.1 Farm dams (runoff dams) [O(I-FD)]

The basin-wide growth in numbers of farm dams was estimated at about 0.5-0.6% per year, with growth rates at the time higher in the north than the south (MDBA 2008). The volumetric growth rate was lower than the numerical growth rate as the newer dams are smaller on average than the dams constructed pre-1994. As the *Harvestable Rights Policy* commenced in 1999, it is likely the volumetric growth rate in the period 1994 to 1999 was higher than the period 2000 to 2004.

In 2008 the volume of in-stream farm dams in the Gwydir valley was estimated at 110 GL (NoW 2010). The MDBSY Project estimated growth rate of 0.6% for the entire Basin was applied to the 2008 Gwydir valley estimated volume, yielding a predicted increase of 15 GL. This equates to a reduction of inflows of 0.9 to 1.3% to streams as a result of farm dam interception, depending on the climatic scenario adopted (NoW 2010).

The WMA places limits on allowable farm dam capacity as a proportion of average regional rainfall runoff, applied at the scale of each landholding. The mechanism places a limit on the total runoff that can be intercepted within a water source because even though the number of dams in a catchment can increase, dam capacity is limited to the rate of rainfall runoff relative to the size of each landholding. The combined capacity of all dams must stay within the

maximum allowed under the HRO. See section 4.5.1 for more detail.

The impact pathways demonstrate how the threat of flow alteration driven by farm dam interception activities identified in the Basin Plan can impact on water available for other users. The key threat is the interception of water that would otherwise reach a water course, thereby reducing the water available for other users in the system.




Figure 8-1 Impact pathway showing impact of farm dams on water available for other uses

8.2.1.1 Assigning a consequence score

8.2.1.1.1 Unregulated river water sources

The consequence scores for each unregulated water source are based on community dependence on water use within each water source. These scores were developed as part of the development of macro water sharing plans (NOW 2011).

8.2.1.1.2 Regulated river water source

In assigning a consequence level to farm dams, the approach undertaken by the NSW Office of Water (2010) was applied in this current risk assessment. This approach was provided to the MDBA Independent Audit Group which described the process used to assess risks to different water access entitlements and to the achievement of environmental objectives. The approach taken in NSW Office of Water (2010) adopted indicators that were based on information readily available in the Murray Darling Basin Sustainable Yields (MDBSY) reports (CSIRO 2007; CSIRO 2008).

To assess risks to other water users, three types of water access licences were considered based on information readily available in the MDBSY reports, these are:

- High security water access licences, local utilities, and stock and domestic licences
- General security water access licences
- Supplementary access licences.

The change in average annual diverted volumes was used to calculate consequences of interception by farm dams. For general security access licences, change in the number of years with 100% allocation, and the percentage of years with 0% allocation were also considered. Consequence levels were defined by the magnitude of change and were set by experienced consultants at levels considered to be 'reasonable' (Table 8-1) (NSW Office of Water 2010).

Data used to assess consequence is presented in Table 8-2; results are provided in Table 8-3.

Table 8-1 Consequence thresholds for different types of water access licences in regulated rivers

Consequence Levels	Percent Change Relative to Base Case				
	High Security	General Security			Supplementary Access
	Average annual diversions	Average annual diversions	% years with 100% allocation	% years with 0% allocation	Average annual diversions
Negligible	$x < 1$	$x < 1$	$x < 1$	$x < 1$	$x < 1$
Minor	$1 \leq x < 10$	$1 \leq x < 10$	$1 \leq x < 10$	$1 \leq x < 5$	$1 \leq x < 10$
Moderate	$10 \leq x < 20$	$10 \leq x < 20$	$10 \leq x < 20$	$5 \leq x < 10$	$10 \leq x < 20$
Major	$20 \leq x < 30$	$20 \leq x$	$20 \leq x$	$10 \leq x$	$20 \leq x$
Severe	$30 \leq x$	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Reference: NoW 2010 N/A = This consequence level was not used for this indicators					

Table 8-2 Data used to assess consequences to different types of water access licences in the regulated river due to interception by farm dams

Water access licence type	Consequence		
	% change in average annual diversions	% change years with 100% allocation	% change in years with 0% allocation
High security	0	N/A	N/A
General security	-2	+0.5	+0.5
Supplementary access	-0.5	N/A	N/A
Reference: CSIRO 2007 N/A = This metric was not used for this type of water access licence			

Table 8-3 Consequence results for different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river due to interception by farm dams

Water access licence type	Consequence		
	% change in average annual diversions	% change years with 100% allocation	% change in years with 0% allocation
High security	Negligible	N/A	N/A
General security ¹	Negligible	Minor	Negligible
Supplementary access	Negligible	N/A	N/A
Reference: CSIRO 2007 N/A = This metric was not used for this type of water access licence ¹ An overall consequence level of minor will be applied to general security to calculate risk (i.e. the most conservative)			

8.2.1.2 Determining the likelihood rating

8.2.1.2.1 Regulated river water source

For the purpose of determining likelihood for farm dams, likelihood was calculated by differences between MDBSY scenarios $D_{mid} - C_{mid}$ (see Table 4-21). The farm dam component of this impact was estimated at 50% of the total (NoW 2010).

Likelihood metrics were adopted from SKM (2008), which developed guidelines for assessing risks to shared water resources in the Murray Darling Basin, however it is important to note that the SKM report used a different definition of likelihood compared to how it has been defined in the rest of the document (Section 2.2).

The SKM report defined likelihood as the chance of a specified event occurring under different climate scenarios, with events being the specific flow indicators identified for a WRPA. Specified flow indicators for the Gwydir Surface WRPA, based on flow thresholds at the Yarraman gauge, are described in Table 4-18. Likelihoods are therefore the chance that a given flow indicator would be reported under a given climate scenario. For example, if there was a 1% chance that annual average volumes of 100 GL/month at Yarraman would be recorded in given climate scenario, the likelihood would be classed as “rare” (Table 4 22)

These thresholds describe the overall chance that the scenario will have on the assessed impact.

The likelihood rating is based on the differences between the MDBSY $D_{mid} - C_{mid}$ scenario. The likelihood rating for the other users from interception by farm dams is provided below (Table 8-4).

Table 8-4 Likelihood results for different types of water access licences in the regulated Gwydir River. Likelihood metric estimates the likelihood of insufficient water access.

Water access entitlement holder	Likelihood
High Security	Possible
General Security	Possible
Supplementary Water	Possible
Reference: NoW 2010	

8.2.1.2.2 Unregulated water sources

As described in Section 4.5.1 the MDBSY Project did not assess unregulated rivers; therefore the SKM (2010) assessment of the hydrological impacts of farm dams in the MDB was used to describe likelihood. This study assessed the impact of existing farms dams at a detailed regional scale and fits into the hydrology theme of the Sustainable Rivers Audit 2 (SRA2) (Davies et al. 2012).

The likelihood method and ratings used for unregulated water sources are listed in Table 4-26 and Table 4-27.

8.2.1.3 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

The current harvestable rights provisions allow for farm dams to capture some rainfall runoff under BLR, as previously discussed in section 4.5.1. If estimates of BLR increase over time, extraction by other users will be reduced to ensure compliance with the Long Term Average Annual Extraction Limit, also see section 4.4.2.

The harvestable rights provisions allow a maximum harvestable right dam capacity (MHRDC), based on the average regional overland flow for the water source at the scale of the landholding. This means that, although the number of farm dams within a water source is permitted to grow over time, the total allowed dam capacity cannot be exceeded unless the extra capacity is licenced. The mechanism therefore limits the total amount of water that can be intercepted in a water source, rather than limiting the number of individual dams. See Section 4.5.1 for more detail.

Under existing legislation the category of an access licence defines the level of priority when resources are being allocated in regulated rivers. The *Water Management Act 2000* and *Water Management (General) Regulation 2011* describe priority of licences in the following order (these may be varied by an individual water sharing plan):

1. Local water utility access licences, major utility access licences and domestic and stock access licences have priority over all other access licences
2. Regulated river (high security) access licences have priority over other regulated river access licence subcategories, and supplementary access licences
3. Regulated river (general security) access licences and other regulated river access licence subcategories
4. Supplementary water access licences have priority below all other access licences.

Understanding the priority of licence categories and subcategories enables licence holders to appropriately manage risk.

8.2.1.4 Risk outcomes

8.2.1.4.1 Regulated river water source

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from an increase in farm dams intercepting runoff and impacting the water available to different categories of water access licences in the regulated Gwydir Surface WSPA is provided in Table 8-5.

Table 8-5 Matrix used to determine risk from farm dams reducing water availability to other users in the Gwydir regulated river

		Likelihood of insufficient water access				
		Negligible	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain
Consequence (%change)	Negligible	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
	Minor	Low	Low	Low	Medium	High
	Moderate	Low	Low	Medium	High	High
	Major	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Severe	Medium	Medium	High	High	High

Combining the consequence and likelihood ratings (Table 8-3 and Table 8-4) resulted in the overall risk levels to water access licences and other users in the Gwydir regulated river as shown in Table 8-6.

Table 8-6 Risks of insufficient water available for different categories of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [O(I-FD)]

Water access licence type	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk level – O(I-FD)
High security	Negligible	Possible	Low
General security ¹	Minor	Possible	Low
Supplementary access	Negligible	Possible	Low

Reference: CSIRO 2007

N/A = This metric was not used for this type of water access licence

¹ An overall consequence level of minor was applied to general security to calculate risk

8.2.1.4.2 Unregulated water sources

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from an increase in farm dams intercepting runoff and impacting the water available to other water users in the unregulated Gwydir Surface WSPA is provided in Table 8-7.

Table 8-7 Matrix used to determine risk from potential interception activities to other water users in Gwydir unregulated water sources

		Likelihood - current farm dam development		
		Low Departure from reference: 0.66-1.33	Medium Departure from reference: 0.33-0.66 or 1.33-1.66	High Departure from reference: 0-0.33 or 1.66-2.0
Consequence (community dependence)	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Medium	Medium	High

Combining the consequence and likelihood ratings resulted in 'Low' overall risk levels to other water users in the Gwydir unregulated river (Table 8-8).


Table 8-8 Risks of insufficient water available for other users in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA as a result of growth in interception by farm dams [O(I-FD)]

Gauging Station	Consequence*	Likelihood			Risk level - O(I-FD)		
		CV	LF Q ₉₀	HF Q ₁₀	CV	LF Q ₉₀	HF Q ₁₀
Copes Creek at Kimberley	L	L	M	L	L	L	L
Gwydir River at Yarrowyck	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Horton River at Rider (Killara)	M	L	L	L	L	L	L
Myall Creek at Molroy	M	L	L	L	L	L	L
Laura Creek at Laura	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Halls Creek at Bingara	M	L	L	L	L	L	L
Horton River at Horton Dam Site	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Booroolong Creek (North Arm)	L	L	M	L	L	L	L
CV = variation index annual; HF Q ₁₀ = high flow index; LF Q ₉₀ = low flow index							
* Community dependence on water use							

8.2.2 Commercial plantations [O(I-PF)]

As discussed in section 4.5.2, afforestation is defined as the large-scale planting of trees for timber production, carbon offsetting, land conservation or other environmental purposes. The Bureau of Rural Sciences projected negligible growth in commercial forestry plantations in the Gwydir region. As such, no growth in plantation forestry was included in the modelling completed for the MDBSY Project in the Gwydir (CSIRO 2007).

The pathway for impacts associated with growth in plantation forestry is the reduction of water available for other water is shown in Figure 8-2.

**Figure 8-2 Impact pathway showing impact of commercial plantations on water available for other uses**

Where there is no projected growth in commercial plantations there is no pathway for additional future impact, and a 'nil' likelihood category was assigned to both regulated and unregulated water sources. The consequence scores in unregulated water sources were based on community dependence on water use (NOW 2011). For regulated reaches, a 'High' consequence (i.e. high community dependence) was assigned.

The existing water management strategies and mechanisms for plantation forests are outlined in Section 4.5.2.3.

8.2.2.1 Risk outcomes


Combining the consequence and likelihood ratings resulted in 'Nil' overall risk levels to other water users in the Gwydir river catchment (Table 8-9).

Table 8-9 Risks of insufficient water available for other water users in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRPA as a result of plantation forests [O(I-PF)]

	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - O(I-PF)
Regulated river water sources	High	Nil	Nil
Unregulated river water sources	Low – Medium	Nil	Nil

8.2.3 Mining (including CSG) [O(I-M)]

Mining activities in the Gwydir catchment are described in Section 4.5.3. The impact pathway for mining activities impacting on water availability for other uses is described in Figure 8-3.

**Figure 8-3 Impact pathway showing impact of mining on water available for other uses**

8.2.3.1 Existing water management actions and mechanisms

The existing water management strategies and mechanisms for mining activities are outlined in Section 4.5.3.1.

8.2.3.2 Risk outcomes

Because of the legislative and licencing arrangements controlling water quantity impacts from mining, there is no pathway for this impact to occur, and a 'nil' risk category was assigned to both regulated and unregulated water sources.

The legislative arrangements managing water quality impacts due to mining result in a 'low' risk to other water users.

The Bioregional assessment for the Gwydir subregion did not identify any significant areas of mining nor growth in mining. Therefore, the risk of mining causing impacts to structural integrity that lead to reduced surface water for other water users is 'low'. Note that risks to the structural integrity of groundwater systems are assessed as part of the *Gwydir Alluvium Risk Assessment*.

Table 8-10 Risks of insufficient water available for other water users in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRPA as a result of mining [O(I-M)]

Risk	Water source type	Overall risk rating - E(I-M)
Reduced water quantity	Regulated river water source	Nil
	Unregulated river water sources	Nil
Poor water quality	Regulated river water sources	Low
	Unregulated river water sources	Low
Structural integrity	Regulated river water sources	Low
	Unregulated river water sources	Low

8.2.4 Floodplain harvesting [O(I-FH)]

Floodplain harvesting is identified in the Basin Plan as a potential interception activity. See section 5.7.4 of the water resource plan for current management actions and mechanisms. In NSW, the Floodplain Harvesting Policy and Healthy Floodplains Projects are converting this form of take into a licensable right.

Floodplain harvesting activities in the Gwydir catchment are described in Section 4.5.4.

8.2.4.1 Determining the likelihood rating

Growth in floodplain harvesting (FPH) was determined by comparing the current estimate of total FPH diversions compared to total FPH diversions under BDL (measured as % increase). The data used for the likelihood rating are preliminary estimates from the Water Modelling team within NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water – Water, and should be considered as indicative only. The likelihood metrics are defined in Table 8-11.

Table 8-11 Likelihood metrics for floodplain harvesting

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Flow Deviation	Low	< 20% increase in FPH volumes
	Medium	20-50% increase in FPH volumes
	High	> 50% increase in FPH volumes

The growth in FPH in the Gwydir River WRP area was estimated to be 13%. Therefore, a 'low' likelihood rating was assigned.

8.2.4.2 Assigning a consequence score

The consequence scores in unregulated water sources were based on community dependence on water use (NOW 2011). For regulated reaches, a 'High' consequence (i.e. high community dependence) was assigned.

The impact pathway for floodplain harvesting activities impacting on water availability for other uses is described in Figure 8-4.




Figure 8-4 Impact pathway showing impact of floodplain harvesting on water available for other uses

8.2.4.3 Risk outcomes

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from an increase in floodplain harvesting intercepting runoff and impacting the water available to other users is provided in Table 8-12.

Table 8-12 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of increased floodplain harvesting to other water users

		Likelihood (% growth in FPH)		
		Low	Medium	High
Consequence (community dependence)	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Medium	High	High

Combining the consequence and likelihood ratings resulted in '**Medium**' overall risk level to other water users in the regulated water source and 'Low' in the unregulated water sources (Table 4-12).


Table 8-13 Risks of insufficient water available for other water users in regulated and unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA as a result of floodplain harvesting [O(I-FH)]

	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - O(I-M)
Regulated river water source	High	Low	Medium
Unregulated river water sources	Low – Medium	Low	Low

8.3 Risk to other water users due to climate change [O(CC)]

As discussed in section 4.6, there has been sustained and statistically unambiguous increase in mean temperatures across the MDB.

For the purpose of risk to other users due to climate change in the risk assessment, NSW utilised results published in the CSIRO Murray Darling Basin Sustainable Yields (MDBSY) project (CSIRO 2007; CSIRO 2008) and model outputs that contributed to the MDBSY project. Comparative results were also produced by NSW. Details on the methodology used for determining likelihood ratings and consequence scores are detailed in the section below. The impact pathway is shown in Figure 8-5.

**Figure 8-5 Impact pathway showing impact of change in climate on ability to meet other water user's water requirements.**

8.3.1 Assigning a consequence rating

8.3.1.1 Regulated river water source

Using the approach taken in NSW Office of Water (2010) in assigning a consequence score, indicators were adopted that were based on information readily available in the Murray Darling Basin Sustainable Yields (MDBSY) reports (CSIRO 2007; CSIRO 2008).

To assess risks to other water users, three types of water access licences were considered based on information readily available in the MDBSY reports, these are:

- High security water access licences, local utilities, and stock and domestic licences

- General security water access licences
- Supplementary access licences.

The MDBSY reports covered a range of possible 2030 climate scenarios (CSIRO 2008). Dry, median and wet climate change scenarios were selected after implementing a daily scaling method to produce a comparable long-time series and ranking the overall change in total runoff. These scenarios were used in each catchment to assess the risks of the climate change by NSW Office of Water (2010). The scenarios were compared against the base case to determine the percentage change to predict the level of the consequence.

Consequence levels selected for each type of water use category are located in the 2008 Risk Assessment (NSW Office of Water 2010) and shown in Table 8-2

Data used to assess consequence is presented in Table 8-15; results are provided in Table 8-14.

Table 8-14 Consequence results for different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river

Water access licence type	Consequence
Wet climate change scenario	
High Security	Negligible
General Security	Negligible
Supplementary Water	Negligible
Median climate change scenario	
High Security	Negligible
General Security	Minor
Supplementary Water	Minor
Dry climate change scenario	
High Security	Negligible
General Security	Major
Supplementary Water	Major
Reference: NoW 2010	

Table 8-15 Data used to assess consequences to different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river due to climate change

Water access licence type	Consequence		
	% change in average annual diversions	% change years with 100% allocation	% change in years with 0% allocation
Wet climate change scenario			
High security	0	N/A	N/A
General security	22	11	0
Supplementary access	+19	N/A	N/A
Median climate change scenario			
High security	+3	N/A	N/A
General security	-9	-6	0

Supplementary access	-7	N/A	N/A
Dry climate change scenario			
High Security	+7	N/A	N/A
General Security	-30	-10	4
Supplementary Water	-20	N/A	N/A
Reference: CSIRO 2007			
N/A = This metric was not used for this type of water access licence			

8.3.1.2 Unregulated river water sources

The consequence scores in unregulated water sources were based on community dependence on water use (NOW 2011).

8.3.2 Determine the likelihood rating

8.3.2.1 Regulated river water source

Comparing MDBSY Project C scenarios to the 2004/05 (A0 scenario) determines likelihood of climate change impacting water available for other users under the three different climate projections (wet, median and dry) (Table 4-40). Likelihood rankings are provided in Table 8-16.

Table 8-16 Likelihood results for different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river under different climate change scenarios. Likelihood metric estimates the likelihood of insufficient water access.

Water access licence type	Likelihood
Wet climate change scenario	
High Security	Possible
General Security	Possible
Supplementary Water	Possible
Median climate change scenario	
High Security	Possible
General Security	Possible
Supplementary Water	Possible
Dry climate change scenario	
High Security	Likely
General Security	Likely
Supplementary Water	Likely
Reference: NoW 2010	

8.3.2.2 Unregulated river water sources

The three climate change scenarios outlined in Table 4-43 were used to define likelihood ratings in unregulated water sources. To determine the highest potential risk to the environment from surface water reductions due to climate change, the C_{Dry} scenario was used to define the likelihood rating and a 'medium' likelihood category was assigned to all water sources. The 'medium' likelihood applies to all components of the

flow regime.

8.3.3 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

Existing actions and mechanisms correspond to those identified in Section 4.6.3.

8.3.4 Risk outcomes

8.3.4.1 Regulated river water source

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from change in climate impacting the availability of water for other uses in the Gwydir regulated river is provided in Table 8-17.

Table 8-17 Matrix used to determine risk from potential change in climate

		Likelihood of 2030 projected hydrologic change from baseline (insufficient water access, modelled C runs)				
		Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain
Consequence (%change in water use)	Negligible	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
	Minor	Low	Low	Low	Medium	High
	Moderate	Low	Low	Medium	High	High
	Major	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Severe	Medium	Medium	High	High	High

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings (Table 8-14 and Table 8-16) results in the overall risk levels to other users from climate change are shown in Table 8-18. The risk assessment determined a low risk under the wet and median scenarios. Under a dry climate change scenario, general security and supplementary water users are at high risk of a reduction in inflows, and high security users at a medium risk.

Table 8-18 Risks to different types of water access licences in the Gwydir regulated river under different climate change scenarios [O(CC)]

Water access licence type	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk level – O(CC)
Wet climate change scenario			
High Security	Negligible	Possible	Low
General Security	Negligible	Possible	Low
Supplementary Water	Negligible	Possible	Low
Median climate change scenario			
High Security	Negligible	Possible	Low
General Security	Minor	Possible	Low
Supplementary Water	Minor	Possible	Low
Dry climate change scenario			
High Security	Negligible	Likely	Medium
General Security	Major	Likely	High
Supplementary Water	Major	Likely	High
Reference: NoW 2010			

8.3.4.2 Unregulated river water sources

The risk matrix used to determine the risk rating of flow alteration from change in climate impacting the availability of water for other users is provided in Table 4-46.

Combining the unregulated risk consequence and 'medium' likelihood ratings resulted in the majority of water sources having 'Low' risk ratings with nine water sources having a 'Medium' rating (Table 8-19).

Table 8-19 Risks of insufficient water available for other water users in unregulated river sections in the Gwydir Surface WSPA as a result of climate change [O(CC)]

Unregulated water source	Consequence (community dependence)	Likelihood	Overall risk rating – O(CC)
Bakers Creek	L	M	L
Barwon	M	M	M
Booroolong Creek	L	M	L
Carole Creek	L	M	L
Cobbadah Creek	L	M	L
Copeton Dam	L	M	L
Georges Creek	L	M	L
Gil Gil Creek	L	M	L
Gingham Watercourse	L	M	L
Gurley Creek	L	M	L
Gwydir	L	M	L
Halls Creek	M	M	M
Keera Creek	L	M	L
Laura Creek	L	M	L
Lower Horton	M	M	M
Mackenzies Flat	L	M	L
Mehi River	M	M	M
Millie Creek	H	M	M
Moredun Creek	M	M	M
Moree	M	M	M
Mosquito Creek	L	M	L
Myall Creek	L	M	L
Rocky Creek	L	M	L
Rocky River	L	M	L
Roumalla Creek	L	M	L
Slaughterhouse Creek	L	M	L
Thalaba Creek	L	M	L
Tycannah Creek	M	M	M

Unregulated water source	Consequence (community dependence)	Likelihood	Overall risk rating – O(CC)
Upper Gwydir River	L	M	L
Upper Horton	L	M	L
Warialda Creek	L	M	L

8.4 Risk to other water users due to growth in BLR [O(BLR)]

As described earlier in Section 4.4, all landholders in NSW have rights to access water for some basic purposes. The principles of the WM Act require that water sharing must protect BLR.

Access to BLR is not controlled by access rules that restrict licensed water users in unregulated water sources (for example, low flow access cease to pump rules); BLR is afforded a higher priority of access.

Any future growth in BLR therefore represents a potential future risk to other (licensed) water users at very low flows. Any increase in the volume taken by BLR access will necessitate a decrease in the volume share of access by licensed water users.

This risk is not applicable in regulated systems where the system is managed in such a way to maintain supply of BLR water requirements. This is further discussed in Section 8.4.2.1.

The nature of water extraction under BLR means that this activity has no effect on flow regimes other than low flows in unregulated rivers. Therefore, the likelihood of impact was assessed only against low flows and risks to other parts of the flow regime are negligible.

The impact pathway is shown in Figure 8-6 and demonstrates the risk to water availability for licensed water users driven by growth in BLR in unregulated water sources. The consequence is described by the volume of licensed entitlement in the unregulated water source. Likelihood is described by the ratio of BLR to licensed entitlement. The greater the ratio of BLR to entitlement, the greater the likelihood that there will be less water available to other licensed water users; this consequence will be greater in areas of high entitlement.



Figure 8-6 Impact pathway showing risk to licensed water users due to growth in BLR access in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP

8.4.1 Assigning a consequence rating

8.4.1.1 Regulated river water source

For regulated reaches, a 'High' consequence (i.e. high community dependence) was assigned to all reaches.

8.4.1.2 Unregulated river water sources

In unregulated water sources, consequence was described by the current volume of entitlement in each water source. It is assumed that the higher the level of entitlement within a water source, the greater the impact on licensed water users if cease to pump (CtP) levels are triggered more frequently due to increased BLR access.

Consequence categories are defined in Table 8-20. Consequence ratings are shown in Table 8-21.

It is assumed that all entitlement is fully active and utilised. That is, the volume extracted is the volume of entitlement for each water source.

Table 8-20 Consequence metrics for increased extraction by BLR in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA

Consequence metric	Metric category	Metric category definition
Volume of entitlement	Low	Volume of entitlement < 5,000 ML
	Medium	Volume of entitlement 5,000 – 10,000 ML
	High	Volume of entitlement > 10,000 ML
Reference: NSW Water Register (NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water)		

Table 8-21 Consequence ratings for increased extraction by BLR in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA

Water source	Consequence rating
Bakers Creek	Low
Barwon	Low
Booroolong Creek	Low
Carole Creek	Low*
Cobbadah Creek	Low
Copeton Dam	Low
Georges Creek	Low
Gil Gil Creek	Low
Gingham Watercourse	Low
Gurley Creek	Low*
Gwydir	Low
Halls Creek	Low
Keera Creek	Low
Laura Creek	Low
Lower Horton	Low
Mackenzies Flat	Low
Mehi River	High
Millie Creek	Medium
Moredun Creek	Low
Moree	Low
Mosquito Creek	Low
Myall Creek	Low
Rocky Creek	Low
Rocky River	Low
Roumalla Creek	Low
Slaughterhouse Creek	Low*
Thalaba Creek	Low

Tycannah Creek	Low
Upper Gwydir River	Low
Upper Horton	Low
Warialda Creek	Low
* = no data available, rating based on expert opinion	

8.4.2 Determine the likelihood rating

8.4.2.1 Regulated river water source

In regulated systems, BLR is managed in such a way to maintain supply of BLR water requirements. Sufficient reserves of water are set aside and held in storage to ensure supply of domestic and stock rights and native title rights.

Therefore there is no pathway for impact on water for other users from BLR extraction in regulated river sections, and a 'nil' likelihood has been assigned to all regulated rivers.

8.4.2.2 Unregulated river water sources

Likelihood is described by the ratio of the volume of BLR to all licensed water entitlement in each water source (i.e. irrigation, town water supply, etc.). Entitlement is the current volume of licensed entitlement in each water source. It was assumed that all entitlement is fully active in the unregulated water sources.

Likelihood categories are defined in Table 8-22. Likelihood ratings are shown in Table 8-23.

Table 8-22 Likelihood metrics for increased extraction by BLR in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRPA

Likelihood metric	Metric category	Metric category definition ¹
Ratio of BLR volume to total licensed entitlement	Low	BLR/Entitlement ≤ 0.5
	Medium	BLR/Entitlement 0.5-1
	High	BLR/Entitlement ≥ 1
Reference: NSW Water Register (NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water)		

Table 8-23 Likelihood ratings for increased extraction by BLR in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRPA

Water source	Likelihood rating
Bakers Creek	High
Barwon	High
Booroolong Creek	Low
Carole Creek	Low*
Cobbadah Creek	Low
Copeton Dam	Low
Georges Creek	High
Gil Gil Creek	Low
Gingham Watercourse	Low
Gurley Creek	Low*

Water source	Likelihood rating
Gwydir	Low
Halls Creek	Low
Keera Creek	Medium
Laura Creek	Low
Lower Horton	Low
Mackenzies Flat	Low
Mehi River	Low
Millie Creek	Low
Moredun Creek	Low
Moree	Low
Mosquito Creek	High
Myall Creek	Low
Rocky Creek	Low
Rocky River	Low
Roumalla Creek	Low
Slaughterhouse Creek	Low*
Thalaba Creek	Low
Tycannah Creek	Low
Upper Gwydir River	Low
Upper Horton	Low
Warialda Creek	Low
* = No data available, rating based on expert opinion	

8.4.3 Existing water management actions & mechanisms

Under the *Water Management Act 2000*, BLR are made up of domestic and stock rights, harvestable rights and native title rights. Water sharing plans recognise basic landholder rights in their respective water sources. Water may be extracted under these rights without the need for a water access licence, although in the case of accessing groundwater under a domestic and stock right, the bore must still be approved by the Department. The water sharing plan cannot limit or restrict these rights, but the Act itself provides for restrictions on BLR through the development of mandatory guidelines. Additionally during periods of water shortage, domestic and stock users may be required, by Ministerial Order, to restrict usage to essential purposes.

The proliferation of new domestic and stock rights through the subdivision of land will be managed through a further regulation to the *Water Management Act 2000*. This regulation, made under section 52(2) of the Act, will limit the growth in basic landholder rights when a landholding is subdivided. Effectively this will mean that the reasonable use for the pre-subdivision landholding will be 'frozen' and the vendor will have to apportion this reasonable use limit between the proposed lots in the subdivision. Although still in development, it is intended that such limitations will be applied only to rivers and aquifers that could be subject to high hydrologic stress or high instream risk.

8.4.4 Risk outcomes

8.4.4.1.1 Regulated water source

Table 8-24 Risk of increased BLR extraction impacting on water available for other water users in the regulated water source of the Gwydir Surface WRP A [O(BLR)]

	Consequence	Likelihood	Overall risk rating - O(BLR)
Regulated river water sources	High	Nil	Nil

8.4.4.1.2 Unregulated water sources

The risk matrix used to determine the risk to water availability for other uses due to growth in BLR extraction is provided in Table 8-25.

Note that the standard matrix has been altered so that when the likelihood is low (i.e. the ratio of BLR to entitlement <0.5), the risk outcome is always considered low regardless of the level of consequence. This change was made to avoid falsely assigning medium risk outcomes when BLR ratios are very low but entitlement is high. High BLR ratios (e.g. >0.5) usually reflect higher levels of urban/peri-urban development within a water source and therefore a higher likelihood of future BLR growth.

Table 8-25 Risk matrix to determine risk outcomes of increased BLR extraction to other water users in unregulated water sources

		Likelihood (ratio of BLR to entitlement)		
		Low	Medium	High
Consequence (licensed volume of entitlement)	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	High	Low	High	High

Combining the risk consequence and likelihood ratings (Table 8-21 and Table 8-22) results in the overall risk as low for all unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP A (Table 8-26) except for Bakers Creek, Barwon, Georges Creek and Mosquito Creek water sources. The risk level in these water sources is medium; Table 8-27 shows data on BLR volumes and entitlement volumes for these four water sources.

These four water sources have high BLR estimates compared to entitlement, with the exception of the Barwon Water source where there is no entitlement.

There is potential in the Bakers Creek, Georges Creek and Mosquito Creek water sources for any growth in BLR to reduce water made available to entitlement holders due to the priority of access set by legislation in NSW. Although total entitlement volumes are low, as they are held by one or only a few licensees, any growth to BLR could substantially affect their entitlement.

Table 8-26 Risks of increased BLR extraction to other water users in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WSPA [O(BLR)]

Water source	Consequence rating	Likelihood rating	Risk level – O(BLR)
Bakers Creek	Low	High	Medium
Barwon	Low	High	Medium
Booroolong Creek	Low	Low	Low
Carole Creek	Low*	Low*	Low*
Cobbadah Creek	Low	Low	Low
Copeton Dam	Low	Low	Low
Georges Creek	Low	High	Medium
Gil Gil Creek	Low	Low	Low
Gingham Watercourse	Low	Low	Low
Gurley Creek	Low*	Low*	Low*
Gwydir	Low	Low	Low
Halls Creek	Low	Low	Low
Keera Creek	Low	Medium	Low
Laura Creek	Low	Low	Low
Lower Horton	Low	Low	Low
Mackenzies Flat	Low	Low	Low
Mehi River	High	Low	Low
Millie Creek	Medium	Low	Low
Moredun Creek	Low	Low	Low
Moree	Low	Low	Low
Mosquito Creek	Low	High	Medium
Myall Creek	Low	Low	Low
Rocky Creek	Low	Low	Low
Rocky River	Low	Low	Low
Roumalla Creek	Low	Low	Low
Slaughterhouse Creek	Low*	Low*	Low*
Thalaba Creek	Low	Low	Low
Tycannah Creek	Low	Low	Low
Upper Gwydir River	Low	Low	Low
Upper Horton	Low	Low	Low
Warialda Creek	Low	Low	Low
* = no data available – rating based on expert opinion			

Table 8-27 BLR and entitlement volumes for medium and high risk water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRP

Water source	BLR rights ¹ (ML/yr)	Total entitlement ² (ML/yr)	Number of WALs
Bakers Creek	36.5	35	3
Barwon	40.15	0	0
Georges Creek	87.6	24	1
Mosquito Creek	43.8	38	1
References: ¹ <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> ² NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Register for the 2017/18 Water Year			

8.5 Other values

Regard has been had to risks to the availability and suitability of water for public benefit values (i.e. Indigenous, social, cultural) as required under 10.41(3)(a) in relation to 4.02(2)(b) through the assessment of risks to other water availability for other uses. These risks are linked to a number of public benefit values. The benefits and values associated with improved water availability and ecosystem health provide both directly and indirectly for various social, cultural and other public benefit values. Consideration within the development of the WRP is limited on the basis that current methodologies to assess broader benefits are still under development.

Future risk assessments will include an assessment of these risks as further data becomes available. As there is a related requirement in 10.53(f), refer to sections 1.3.1 and 1.7 of the WRP for further information relevant to risks to Indigenous values and uses of surface waters.

9 Risk treatment overview

Section 10.43(1) of the Basin Plan requires WRPs to describe water resource management strategies to address medium or high levels of risk or explain why the risk cannot be addressed by the WRP in a manner commensurate with the level of risk. As strategies are not required for risk results that are low, they have not been further considered in the risk treatment overview.

Medium and high risk results were reviewed to determine whether they are adequately addressed by existing strategies, or whether modifications or new strategies may be required. Risk treatment options were developed following a systematic approach outlined in Figure 9-1 and further explained in Table 9-1. Defining tolerable risk results (those high or medium results NSW considers are acceptable or adequately managed by existing water resource management strategies) were also part of this approach.

Explanations for risk results that the WRP cannot address in a manner commensurate with the level of risk are provided in the consolidated risk table (Table 1) at the start of this document.

As this risk assessment examines risks to water quality, it is relevant to note that s10.31 of the Basin Plan also requires the WQM Plan to include measures to address water quality risks. Where the WQM Plan identifies measures that are contained within the WRP or WSPs, these strategies are also shown in this chapter. Note this material is included to show linkages between the two documents and the WQM Plan should be referred to in the first instance.

The risk treatment options reflect the complex nature of risk based water resource management and allow for a range of strategies to be identified and applied irrespective of their legislative base or approach.

Option A is used when other risk options have been assessed and no further strategies are available, or by default when a risk is defined as tolerable.

Options B and C guide the development of strategies that aim to improve knowledge about the risk or the resource. They allow for instances where there has not been adequate information available to fully assess a risk or to develop or modify an existing mitigation strategy. Although associated strategies cannot directly mitigate risk, they aim to provide sufficient information to enable mitigation strategies to be reassessed or developed under options D to G. Options B and C may be linked to adaptive management strategies that are responsive to information improvements during the term of the WRP or related plan. Additional information on strategies related to these options can be found in the Gwydir Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting (MER) Plan.

Options D to G guide the modification of existing or development of new strategies that mitigate risk through activity control mechanisms. Strategies related to these options may need consideration of impact on other risk results or third parties. This element has been included to reflect Basin Plan and NSW principles for WRP development which recognise the competing economic, social, cultural, and environmental demands on water resources. Identifying where strategy trade-offs have been applied is particularly important where mitigation strategies may not result in the full mitigation of an identified risk. The pathway allows the likely effects of adjusted or new strategies on risk results to be considered as residual risks. It also enables the acceptance of a high or medium risk result as tolerable if predefined criteria are met, or following the application of a risk treatment option. The difference between these tolerable risks is discussed in Chapter 9.2 below.

For detailed information on the application of the options and strategies applied to individual risk results, see the consolidated risk table (Table 1 of the Executive Summary). Note risk results that are low or have been assigned a tolerable status based on predefined criteria are assumed to have adequate strategies in place and have not been further reviewed in this risk assessment.




Figure 9-1 Risk treatment pathway

Table 9-1 Risk treatment options explained

Element	Description
A No new strategies required or possible	No further mitigation is possible and no new strategies are proposed. This may be relevant where the risk is adequately managed via existing strategies or where a risk cannot be fully mitigated and trade-offs limit other options. <i>Note:</i> existing strategies are retained and the risk result does not change.
B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies	Mitigation is not immediately possible and knowledge improvement is proposed. Where there is not enough information available regarding the resource and/or the effectiveness of existing or alternative strategies this option can be used. The MER plan will address the knowledge gaps to enable the existing strategies to be reviewed in the future. <i>Note:</i> existing strategies are retained and the risk result does not change
C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies	Mitigation is not immediately possible and knowledge improvement via the MER plan is proposed. This option may be used where there is a discrepancy between risk assessment results and expert opinion or alternative evidence. Differences may be due to conservative estimations of consequence or likelihood in risk assessment calculations, knowledge from complementary WRP activities such as LTWP development (including identification of asset watering requirements), type of data available for risk calculation, or other factors that affect results such as data confidence. <i>Note:</i> existing strategies are retained and the risk result does not change. Alternative information sources may enable decisions around the tolerability of a risk result to be made.
D Adjustment of WSP or WM Act-based rules or strategies	Mitigation is possible through adjustment of an existing active (i.e. implemented) water sharing strategy. These strategies are generally those currently implemented via WSPs, the WM Act or related policies. <i>Note:</i> existing strategies that are not modified by this risk treatment option are retained. Other risk results may change as a result of strategy modification. As use of this option may affect risks or a third party, care should be taken to assess the proposed changes through secondary lenses as indicated by the flow chart.
E Implement dormant WSP or WM Act strategies	Mitigation is possible through the implementation of an existing dormant (i.e. non-implemented but available for use via WSP or WM Act) water sharing strategy. These strategies often describe alternative levels of management intensity than the implemented active strategy. Examples include IDELS/TDELS or local area management in groundwater systems where they are not already in place. <i>Note:</i> unaffected existing strategies are retained, risk results may change. As use of this option may affect risks or a third party, care should be taken to assess the proposed changes through secondary lenses as indicated by the flow chart.
F (i) Develop and implement new water sharing strategies	Mitigation is possible through the development and implementation of new WSP or WM Act-based sharing strategies such as rules, policies or other processes. <i>Note:</i> unaffected existing strategies are retained, risk results may change. As use of this option may affect risks or a third party, care should be taken to assess the proposed changes through secondary lenses as indicated by the flow chart.
F (ii) Develop / implement new strategies (WRP/WQSMP/IRG/L TWP/BP)	Mitigation is possible through the development and implementation of new strategies that are not covered by F(i) and are related to the introduction of the Basin Plan and appear in associated instruments. <i>Note:</i> unaffected existing strategies are retained, risk results may change. As use of this option may affect risks or a third party, care should be taken to assess the proposed changes through secondary lenses as indicated by the flow chart.
G (i) Review interaction with complementary WM Act processes	The WM Act covers a broad range of activities of which water sharing is one. This option focuses on reviewing linkages to WM Act based strategies that are complementary to water sharing such as floodplain harvesting and floodplain management. <i>Note:</i> unaffected existing strategies are retained, risk results may change. As use of this option may affect risks or a third party, care should be taken to assess the proposed changes through secondary lenses as indicated by the flow chart.
G (ii) Review interaction with strategies available under other legislation	Other legislative instruments that contain strategies that may mitigate risk to water sources (e.g. the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> , <i>Contaminated Land Management Act 1997</i> , <i>Dam Safety Act 2015</i>). Multi agency strategies such as the NSW Cold Water Pollution Strategy and others covering land management should also be included. This strategy type aims to review interaction with and improve linkages to complementary non WM Act or Basin Plan processes and controls. Examples include: major storage infrastructure upgrades can mitigate risk to the environment from cold water pollution; improvement in land use management practices can reduce the risk to the environment by reducing suspended solid loads. <i>Note:</i> unaffected existing strategies are retained, risk results may change. As use of this option may affect risks or a third party, care should be taken to assess the proposed changes through secondary lenses as indicated by the flow chart.

9.1 Existing water resource management strategies, actions and mechanisms

This risk assessment has assessed risks with existing WSP or WM Act based rules in place. It builds on the knowledge and experience of earlier risk based approaches to water planning and management in NSW (NoW, 2011). A range of strategies under the WM Act and associated WSPs address risk for the WRP area, these are consistent with strategies applied elsewhere in the NSW portion of the Basin and other areas of the State. These strategies have been identified for each risk as water management actions and mechanisms in previous sections of this report. They are also shown later in this chapter in the strategy summary table (Table 9-7) and the consolidated risk table. Further information on existing strategies and the way in which they address risk can also be found in the following documents (Table 9-2), and other material available from the NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water website. These references are provided for background information purposes and may be replaced with updated references as water resource plans are finalised and implemented.

Table 9-2 Further information regarding existing WM Act-based strategies, actions and mechanisms

Document
Evaluation of NSW Water sharing plans for the major regulated rivers in the Murray-Darling Basin (DPI 2017) (in final draft)
<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated and Alluvial Water Sources 2012</i> Background Document, NSW Office of Water 2012
<i>A guide to the Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source</i> , NSW Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources 2004.
Macro water sharing plans – the approach for unregulated rivers. A report to assist community consultation, Office of Water 2011
Water sharing plans - Inland NSW unregulated and alluvial water sources – Overview NSW, Office of Water 2011

9.2 Tolerable risk results

A medium or high risk result does not necessarily imply that existing water management strategies require change or are inadequate. In many circumstances these risks will already have an appropriate level of management in place under the WM Act that is commensurate with the risk result (i.e. via the relevant water sharing or other water management plans, water management policies etc.). In these situations NSW has made an informed decision to accept the risk result as an acceptable or tolerable risk in line with the *Basin Plan Water Resource Plan Requirements Position Statement 9B Strategies for addressing risks*. Where a risk result is considered tolerable, the Basin Plan does not require further strategies to be implemented. These results are not further considered in this document.

9.2.1 Predefined tolerable risk criteria

This section refers to element 1 on the risk treatment pathway. Risk results that meet the predefined tolerable risk criteria are automatically assigned risk treatment option A as no new strategies are required or possible.

There are a variety of reasons why medium or high risk results may be tolerable including acceptance of the fundamental changes that river regulation has made to some NSW rivers and the balancing of environmental, social, cultural and economic demands on water resources. Table 9-3 lists the criteria that have been used to predefine risk results as tolerable. If a risk does not appear, no predefined criteria have been identified. The consolidated risk table identifies for each river reach the tolerable status and relevant rationale for each risk result. As noted earlier, strategies relating to risks to water quality are not discussed in this chapter; refer to the WQM Plan for this material.

Table 9-3 Tolerable risk result rationale

Risk	Component	Tolerable rationale
E(W) Risk to water available for the environment due to river regulation and licensed extraction (regulated rivers)	Zero flows	Zero flow periods cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Zero flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.
	Base flows	Base flows cannot be scheduled due to dam operational constraints and requirements to deliver water orders and BLR (stock and domestic) replenishment flows. This impact is most pronounced in reaches closest to Copeton Dam. Zero flow periods will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the water source.
		The 3T rule (mechanism E9) passes tributary flow through the regulated water source to the Gwydir Wetlands constraining the ability to redirect flow into the Mehi River to improve base flows. This planned environmental water rule is critical to providing environmental outcomes in the Gwydir Wetlands. Base flows will exhibit more natural patterns towards the downstream end of the regulated river.
	Fresh flows	Water ordering patterns have altered the duration and timing of freshes leading to unnaturally long events in summer and less events in winter. This impact is most pronounced in the Gwydir River where there are no significant tributary inflows, and in Gil Gil Creek.
	Bank full flows ARI 1.5	Water ordering patterns have altered the duration and timing of bank full events, leading to more events in summer and less events in winter. This impact is most pronounced in the reaches between Copeton Dam and Bingara where there are no significant tributary inflows.
		There are no tributaries in the river reach immediately below Copeton Dam to supplement PEW or HEW releases to order to achieve bank full or over bank flows. The ability to mitigate the likelihood is low, hence the risk is tolerable
		This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.
	Over bank flows ARI 2.5	River regulation, water ordering patterns, and third party inundation risks prevent an increase in the frequency of 2.5 ARI flows
		This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.
		There are no tributaries in the river reach immediately below Copeton Dam to supplement PEW or HEW releases to order to achieve bank full or over bank flows. The ability to mitigate the likelihood is low, hence the risk is tolerable
	Over bank flows ARI 5	River regulation, water ordering patterns, and third party inundation risks prevent an increase in the frequency of 5 ARI flows
		This medium risk result is driven by a low likelihood and a very high ecological consequence. The risk is tolerable because of the low likelihood.
		There are no tributaries in the river reach immediately below Copeton Dam to supplement PEW or HEW releases to order to achieve bank full or over bank flows. The ability to mitigate the likelihood is low, hence the risk is tolerable

9.2.2 Risks assessed as tolerable following application of a risk treatment option

This section refers to element 2 on the risk treatment pathway. Although risk results may arrive at this element following the application of any risk treatment option, only those where a tolerable risk has been determined are discussed.

In this assessment, risk treatment option C *Expert opinion with MER confirmation* has been applied to the following risks.

9.2.2.1 Risk to the environment and capacity to meet environmental water requirements from insufficient water (unregulated rivers)

The level of risk to the environment from water extraction in unregulated rivers has been difficult to assess. As there is little water extraction information collected, volumes and patterns of current use cannot be used to determine the likelihood of extraction impacts. The best available information is the entitlement information shown on water access licences and in WSPs. While this can be used to assess the risk to the environment for full extraction development, the information reflects potential risk rather than the current risk in those water sources where extraction has not reached full development levels.

In many circumstances, these water sources may already have an appropriate level of management in place under the WM Act that is commensurate with the risk from current levels of water extraction. As the level of risk for many unregulated water sources is unclear, risk treatment option C has been applied and a strategy developed to improve the knowledge used to assess risk from extraction in unregulated sections of the WRP area (see strategy 18 in Table 9-6).

As the results of this strategy will not be available for some time, a decision tool was used to compare expert knowledge of individual water sources to the full development risk to assign a tolerable status to each result, and to make recommendations for ongoing monitoring. Further information on the decision tool can be found in Appendix G. Refer to the MER Plan for more information regarding the implementation of strategy 18.

The consolidated risk table indicates where tolerable risks have been identified for unregulated water sources. As no additional strategies have been applied to these results, they are not discussed further in this document. The table also addresses those risks this strategy has not determined to be tolerable.

9.2.3 Trade-off assessments

This section refers to element 3 on the risk treatment pathway. Risk results may arrive at this element of the pathway following the application of options D to G where a new or modified strategy affects another risk result or may result in a third party impact.

9.3 New or modified water resource management strategies, actions and mechanisms

This section refers to strategies developed or modified as a result of applying options D to G on the risk treatment pathway. Although several options for new or modified strategies were developed for consideration, only those that are proceeding into the WRP have been included in this section. Appendix G contains further information on strategy development constraints, decision processes and draft strategies. The WRP describes the consultation undertaken to determine which strategies will be implemented.

A number of overarching principles guide the development of WRPs in NSW. These acknowledge the legislative framework and water resource management strategies in place in NSW prior to the introduction of the Basin Plan. These principles have been considered during the preparation of new actions and mechanisms and are summarised in Table 9-4.

Table 9-4 Principles guiding development of strategies in NSW

Instrument or source	Principles
<i>Commonwealth Water Act 2007</i>	There will be no net reduction in the protection of planned environmental water
	The Commonwealth is responsible for funding the gap between existing limits and the Sustainable Diversion Limits (SDL).
	WRPs will meet the requirements set out in the Basin Plan
Basin Plan	Nothing in the Basin Plan requires a change in the reliability of water allocations of a kind that would trigger Subdivision B of Division 4 of Part 2 of the Act (s. 6.14)
<i>NSW Water Management Act 2000</i>	WSPs are required to balance social, cultural, economic and environmental needs of the community and catchments (this is a fundamental objective of water management in NSW and is described in the objects of the Act).
Delivering WRP Plans for NSW Roadmap 2016-2019	WRPs are cost neutral for NSW licence holders
	Development of WRPs minimises change to NSW WSPs within their initial ten year terms

The strategies outlined in this section were developed with consideration to their implementation. As this is primarily through the rules and conditions within the WRP and the WSP, strategies have been limited to water management actions and mechanisms as these are within the scope of Basin Plan strategies and controls. As previously mentioned, strategies outside this scope that relate to the management of water quality risks are outlined in the WQM Plan. Table 9-5 provides information on the new strategies and explains how risk is addressed.

Table 9-5 New or modified water management actions and mechanisms

Mechanism	Description
N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits	This new mechanism is a Basin Plan requirement
N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir Surface WSPA as guided by the Gwydir LTWP	Risk: Risk to the environment and risk to the capacity to meet environmental water requirements from insufficient water. Risk driver addressed: Frequency of freshes and high flow events Locations: Regulated rivers and downstream unregulated water sources Benefits: Discretionary control over the environmental portion of supplementary flow events improves environmental water management by directing flow to target streams.
N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the Gwydir LTWP	Risk: Risk to the environment and risk to the capacity to meet environmental water requirements from insufficient water. Risk driver addressed: Reduced frequency and altered seasonality of freshes, reduced frequency of over bank flows in unregulated water sources downstream of the regulated river. Locations: Regulated rivers and downstream unregulated water sources Benefits: Improved pattern of freshes through improved environmental water management.

9.4 Knowledge strategies

This section refers to strategies developed as a result of applying options B and C on the risk treatment pathway. Although knowledge improvement strategies cannot directly mitigate risk results, these strategies aim to provide information on which to base future calculations of risk and to inform planning decisions regarding strategy or mechanism application. A summary of these strategies is provided in Table 9-6, further information can be found in the MER Plan.

Table 9-6 Knowledge strategies

Strategy	Mechanism and description
18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies It is expected there will be ongoing monitoring to determine current extraction in the unregulated water sources of the WRP area resulting in a dataset that can be used to assess and monitor the likelihood component of relevant risks. <i>Note: N5 may also be used in conjunction with N6</i>
19 Improve knowledge of effectiveness of existing strategies	N6 Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies This risk assessment recommends review of current extraction management strategies in those unregulated water sources where medium or high risks have not been assessed as tolerable and no new strategies have been identified. Refer to the MER plan for further information. In this WRP area those water sources are: Copeton Dam, Halls Creek, Lower Horton, Myall Creek, Tycannah Creek and Upper Horton. <i>Note: where N6 applies, N5 also applies</i>

9.5 Summary of strategies to address risk

A summary of strategies is presented in Table 9-7 to complement the consolidated risk table. Hydrology components used in this risk assessment and the EWR references from Table 6 of the Gwydir Long-term Water Plan Technical Report (OEH 2018) are provided where relevant in the 'relevant risks column'. This meets the requirements of 10.41(2)(a) and 10.17(1). The listed strategies align with those used in the WRP, WSP, WQM Plan and LTWP objectives. Here, strategies are described with associated actions and mechanisms for each risk and the relevant WRP and water quality objectives. The applicable risk treatment option is included as well as links to relevant sections of the Basin Plan in order to streamline strategy assessment. For more information refer to the document map. Table 9-8 contains a list of abbreviations used in Table 9-7.

Table 9-7 Summary of strategies to address risk

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strategies	Water management actions and mechanisms / supporting activities	RTO	Associated management plan or instrument	Relevant risks & EWR ref	Relevant BP clauses	Relevant objectives
1 Limit consumptive water extractions in the WRP area to the predefined share of available water. This strategy reserves a share of water for the environment in order to protect: * native fish communities * flow-dependent vegetation communities * low flow macroinvertebrate communities * carbon and nutrient flow pathways * flow-dependent frog communities * significant in-stream refuge pools, lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands * connectivity with the Barwon-Darling Watercourse WRP area.	E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs).	D	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i>	E(W) Z, BF, F, BKF1.5, OB2.5, OB5 EWR ref. LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1, LTWP BF2, LTWP SF1, LTWP SF2, LTWP LF1, LTWP LF2, LTWP OB1, LTWP OB2, LTWP OB3,	4.03(3) (a)(iii) (a)(iv) (c) (f) (i)(i) Ch. 10 Part 3, Part 8 Part 10	WSP 1-11
	E2 Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i>			
	N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits	F	Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan			
	E28 Require all take to be licensed except for BLR or where a policy indicates otherwise. E3 Extraction limits for individual extractors and associated accounting provisions to manage extraction at the extraction point.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i>			
	E19 Compliance with individual extraction limits	D	Water Take Measurement and Metering Policy			

	E4 Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth. E5 Prohibit trade between surface water and groundwater sources.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Alluvial Groundwater Sources 2020</i>	LTWP OB4, LTWP OB5		
2 Protect a portion of high flow events in the lower Gwydir WRP area. This strategy reserves a share of high flows for the environment and aims to: * wet benches, banks, wetlands and floodplains, and recharge shallow groundwater lenses to facilitate vegetation growth and recruitment and provide fish and frog habitat, * facilitate the mobilisation of carbon and nutrients from river benches and floodplains, and facilitate deposition into lowland streams and floodplains, * Facilitate fish recruitment and movement, * allow flows to pass through to the Barwon-Darling Watercourse WRP (SW12) area. This strategy provides connectivity between WRP areas and facilitates fish movement and recruitment.	E6 Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i>	E(W) F, BKF1.5, OB2.5, OB5	4.03(3) (a)(i) (a)(ii) (a)(iv) (c) (d) Ch. 10 Part 6 Part 7	WSP 1,2,3,4 6,7,8 WQ 2, 4
	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir Surface WRP as guided by the LTWP.	F	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> Gwydir Long Term Water Plan	E(WQ) turbidity, TP, TN, dissolved oxygen		
	E7 Protect large flow events in the Gwydir WRP area to meet flow targets in the downstream Barwon-Darling Watercourse (SW12). <i>Note: contributions towards flow targets are provided by the Gwydir WRP SW15, NSW Border Rivers WRP SW16 and Namoi WRP SW 14 for the purposes of BLR, environmental and water quality benefit (algal management).</i>	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Barwon-Darling Unregulated River Water Source 2012</i> Barwon-Darling Watercourse WRP	EWR ref. LTWP BF2, LTWP SF1, LTWP SF2, LTWP LF1, LTWP LF2, LTWP OB1, LTWP OB2, LTWP OB3, LTWP OB4, LTWP OB5		
3 Provide discretionary environmental watering events in the regulated river and downstream unregulated river sections of the WRP area. This strategy offsets some effects of river regulation on the environment and aims to: * protect refuge pools during dry periods, * facilitate fish recruitment and movement,	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir Surface WRP as guided by the LTWP.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> Gwydir Long Term Water Plan	E(W) Z, BF, F, BKF1.5, OB2.5, OB5	4.03(3) (a)(i) (a)(ii) (a)(iv) (c) Ch. 10 Part 6	WSP 1,2,3,4 6,7,8,9 WQ 4
	E8 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i>	E(WQ) TP TN EWR ref.		

* wet benches, banks and wetlands to facilitate vegetation growth and recruitment, * mobilise carbon and nutrients from channels, river benches and wetlands in the Gwydir regulated water source, * reduce prolonged accumulation of organic material on floodplains, * connect the Mehi and/or Carole-Gil Gil systems with the Barwon River.	N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the LTWP.	F	Gwydir Annual Environmental Water Plans Gwydir Long Term Water Plan	LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1, LTWP BF2, LTWP SF1, LTWP SF2, LTWP LF1, LTWP LF2, LTWP OB1, LTWP OB2, LTWP OB3, LTWP OB4, LTWP OB5	Part 7	
4 Manage environmental water to meet flow targets specified in the Gwydir LTWP. This strategy aims to improve environmental water management in the WRP area.	E9 Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands ("3T" low flow rule).	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i>	E(W) Z, BF, F, BKF1.5, OB2.5, OB5	4.03(3) (a)(i) (a)(iv) (c) Ch. 10 Part 6	WSP 10
	E6 Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i>	EWR ref. LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1, LTWP BF2, LTWP SF1, LTWP SF2, LTWP LF1, LTWP LF2, LTWP OB1, LTWP OB2, LTWP OB3, LTWP OB4, LTWP OB5		
	E11 Coordinate release of environmental water allowance (EWA) and held environmental water with natural flow events.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> Gwydir Annual Environmental Water Plans			
	N2 Strategic use of the environmental portion of supplementary flow events to manage environmental outcomes within the lower portions of the Gwydir Surface WRP as guided by the LTWP.	F	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> Gwydir Long Term Water Plan			
5 Protect low flow habitats from accelerated rates of drying.	E12 Cease / commence to pump rules for streams.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i>	E(W) Z, BF, F	4.03(3) (a)(i)	WSP 1,2,4 6,7,9

This strategy offsets impacts of water extraction in rivers of the WRP area and aims to: * maintain surface water connectivity and habitat variability, * prevent accelerated rates of deterioration in water quality, * maintain surface/groundwater connectivity where there is groundwater-dependent riparian vegetation. <i>Note: BLR replenishment flows may provide incidental environmental benefit in line with this strategy.</i>	E9 Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands ("3T" low flow rule).	A	Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012	EWR ref. LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1, LTWP BF2, LTWP SF1	(a)(ii) (a)(iv) (c) (d) Ch. 10 Part 4, Part 6	WQ 2, 3, 4
	E8 Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP.	A	Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016			
	N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the LTWP.	F	Gwydir Long Term Water Plan Gwydir Annual Environmental Water Plans			
	<i>Note: Complimentary groundwater actions and mechanisms are identified in the Gwydir Alluvium Water Resource Plan (GW15).</i>		Gwydir Alluvium Water Resource Plan (GW15)			
6 Protect pools in streams, wetlands, lagoons and floodplains within the WRP area during dry periods. This strategy offsets impacts of water extraction from pools and aims to maintain natural rates of drying to protect water quality, and provide refugial habitat for fish, frogs and other water-dependent biota.	E13 Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	A	Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012	E(W) Z, BF, F E(WQ) all EWR ref. LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1, LTWP BF2, LTWP SF1	4.03(3) (a)(i) (a)(ii) (a)(iv) (c) Ch. 10 Part 4 Part 6 Part 7	WSP 6,7, 9 WQ 2, 3, 4
	E16 Authorised in-river dam construction, operation, and passing flow requirements as specified on the dam approval.	A				
7 Protect important lagoons and wetlands within the WRP area. This strategy aims to limit extraction induced declines in water quality and condition of: * wetlands listed under the Ramsar Convention and other significant wetlands and lagoons in the lower portion of the WRP area * upland wetlands of the drainage divide of the New England Tableland Bioregion (listed under	E18 Prohibit new works on significant identified lagoons, wetlands and upland wetlands listed in Schedules 4 and 5 of the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i>.	A	Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012	E(W) Z, BF, F E(WQ) all EWR ref. LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1, LTWP BF2,	4.03(3) (a)(i) (a)(ii) (a)(iv) (c) (i)(ii) Ch 10 Part 4 Part 6 Part 7	WSP 9,10 WQ 2, 3
	E12 Cease / commence to pump rules for streams.	A				
	E13 Cease / commence to pump rules for in-stream and off-river pools.	A				

the <i>Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995</i> as an- endangered ecological community).	E17 Restrict construction of in-river dams on 3rd order or higher streams.	A		LTWP SF1		
	E4 Trade limits or prohibitions between surface water plan areas, water sources, and management zones to manage entitlement growth.	A				
8 Protect the connectivity between the regulated water source and downstream connected unregulated water sources of the WRP area. This strategy aims to optimise environmental water delivery to the Gwydir Wetlands in dry seasons by restricting extraction of low flows.	E14 Cease / commence to pump rules based on flow classes set at 250 ML/day for the unregulated Upper Gingham Watercourse Management Zone and Gwydir Water Sources.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i>	E(W) Z, BF, F EWR ref. LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1, LTWP BF2, LTWP SF1	4.03(3) (a)(i) (a)(iv) (c) (d) Ch. 10 Part 6	WSP 11
	E15 Cease / commence to pump rules based on flow classes for the Lower Gingham Watercourse Management Zone established to protect connectivity in dry years.	A				
9 Protect tributary flows within the WRP area as they move from the unregulated water source into the regulated river water source. This strategy protects connectivity within the WRP area and facilitates: * fish dispersal and carbon and nutrient transport, * facilitate thermal mixing in the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source.	E12 Cease / commence to pump rules for streams.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i>	E(W) Z, BF E(WQ-CWP) EWR ref. LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1	4.03(3) (a)(i) (a)(ii) (a)(iv) (c) Ch. 10 Part 6 Part 7	WSP 4,8,9 WQ 5
	E9 Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands ("3T" low flow rule).	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i>			
	E6 Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i>			
10 Protect the regulated river sections of the WRP area from rapid increases and decreases in flow following releases from Copeton Dam. This strategy protects the integrity of river banks and assists in the management of suspended sediment loads.	E10 Rates of change to storage release protocol.	A	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> WaterNSW Copeton Dam Water Supply Work Approval	E(WQ) Turbidity	4.03(3) (a)(ii) (a)(iv) (c) (h)(iii) Ch. 10 Part 7	WSP 4 WQ 2

11 Recognise the contribution of Copeton Dam release strategies towards the maintenance of water quality within the regulated sections of the WRP area. This strategy aims to mitigate the following water quality impacts of river regulation and flow regime alteration: * minimise the risk of cold or warm water thermal shock, * reduce the risk of CWP or poor WQ, * facilitate the dilution of saline tributary inflows from Myall Creek <i>Note: all releases made from Copeton Dam contribute to the achievement of this aspect of the strategy.</i>	E9 Unregulated tributary flows from the Horton River, Myall Creek and Halls Creek into the Gwydir River protected up to 500 ML/day through to the Gwydir Wetlands ("3T" low flow rule).	A	Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016	E(WQ-S) E(WQ-CWP) E(WQ)	4.03(3) (a)(i) (a)(ii) (a)(iv) (c) (d) Ch. 10 Part 6 Part 7	WSP 4 WQ 1, 5
	E6 Supplementary access to natural flow events limited to a maximum of 50% of flows above 500 ML/day at Yarraman.	A	Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016			
	E8 Strategic use environmental water allowance (EWA) as described in the WSP. N3 Strategic use of environmental water allowance (EWA) (and relevant held environmental water licences) as guided by the LTWP.	A	Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016			
	E20 Improve dam infrastructure and its management so that water releases are more closely matched to Gwydir River ambient temperatures.	F	Gwydir Long Term Water Plan			
			NSW Cold Water Pollution Strategy			
			WaterNSW Copeton Dam Water Supply Work Approval			
12 Implement the WQM Plan for the WRP area.	<i>Refer to the WQM Plan for detailed listing. Note: actions and mechanisms are relevant to the WRP are listed in previous strategies.</i>	F	Water Quality Management Plan for the Gwydir WRP area	E(WQ-S) E(WQ-CWP) E(WQ) O(WQ-BGA)	4.03(3) (a)(ii) Ch. 10 Part 7	WSP 4,9 WQ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
			Basin Salinity Management Strategy			
13 Protect stream flow from reduced runoff attributable to farm dam interception. This strategy aims to reduce impacts on the health of rivers and on other consumptive water users.	E21 Require farm dams with a capacity above the maximum harvestable right dam capacity (MHRDC) to be licensed and comply with extraction limits as described in strategy 1.	A	Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012 Harvestable Rights Policy (commenced 1999) Harvestable Rights Orders - NSW Government Gazette 40 dated 31 March 2006	E(I-FD) O(I-FD)	4.03(3) (a)(iv) (c) (h)(i), (ii) and (iii) Ch. 10 Part 5	N/A

14 Protect stream flow from reduced runoff attributable to plantation forestry interception. This strategy aims to reduce impacts on the health of rivers and on other consumptive water users.	E22 Plantation forestry interception is considered low risk therefore no mechanisms have been implemented under the WM Act.	A	Plantation forestry policy (in prep)	E(I-PF) O(I-PF)	4.03(3) (a)(iv) (c) (h)(i) Ch. 10 Part 5	N/A
	E23 Ongoing risk monitoring to determine impact of future expansion of forest plantations at local and regional scales.	B	Plantations and Reafforestation Act 1999 (PRA) Plantations and Reafforestation (Code) Regulation 2001 Gwydir Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting Plan			
15 Protect the environment and water users from changes in flow attributable to climate change. This strategy aims to reduce impacts on the health of rivers and on other consumptive water users. <i>Note: significant volumes of held environmental water may offset climate change impacts under the median scenario.</i>	E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E2 Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability. N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits. E30 Review and update climate change models when new data is available	D	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan <i>Draft Gwydir Regional Water Strategy 2021</i>	E(CC) O(CC)	4.03(3) (a)(iv) (c) (g)(iii) (h)(ii),(iii) Ch. 10 Part 3	N/A
		F	<i>Draft NSW State Water Strategy 2021</i> <i>Gwydir Incident Response Guide (included as a schedule in the Gwydir WRP)</i>			
16 Protect the environment from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use. This strategy aims to reduce impacts on the health of rivers.	E1 Reserve all water above the long-term average annual extraction limit (LTAAEL) for the environment as PEW (defined and managed by the listed WSPs). E2 Available Water Determinations (AWD) adjust extractive use according to water availability. N1 Sustainable Diversion Limits	D	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated River Water Sources 2012</i> Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan	E(BLR)	4.03(3) (a)(iv) (c) h(ii) Ch 10 Part 3	N/A
		F				

17 Protect licenced water users from changes in flow attributable to growth in BLR extractive use. This strategy aims to reduce impacts on consumptive water users. <i>Note: BLR are established and controlled through the WM Act and are recognised in WSPs. Control mechanisms are only applied when required, related mechanisms are not currently active in the Gwydir WSPA.</i>	E24 Minister may restrict BLR access through the establishment of mandatory guidelines	A	Water Management Act 2000 s.336B	O(BLR)	4.03(3) (a)(iv) (c) (d) h(ii) (i)(i) Ch. 10 Part 3	N/A
	E25 Minister may temporarily restrict access where it is in the public interest to do so.	A	Water Management Act 2000 s.324(1)			
	E26 Minister may limit growth in BLR when a land holding is subdivided and there is high hydrological stress on the river or aquifer.	A	Water Management Act 2000 s.52(2)			
	E27 Minister may direct landholder accessing BLR to not waste or improperly use water	A	Water Management Act 2000 s.325			
18 Improve knowledge used to assess risk in unregulated sections of the WRP area.	N5 Projects resulting from application of risk treatment option C Expert opinion with MER confirmation strategies. <i>Note: It is expected there will be ongoing monitoring to determine current extraction in the unregulated water sources of the WRP area resulting in a dataset that can be used to assess and monitor the likelihood component of relevant risks.</i>	C	Gwydir Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting Plan	E(W) unregulated Z, BF, F EWR ref. LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1, LTWP BF2, LTWP SF1	4.03(3) (c) 4.03(3)(i)(i)) 4.03(3)(i)(i) i)	N/A
19 Improve knowledge of effectiveness of existing strategies.	N6 Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies.	B	Gwydir Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting Plan.	E(W) unregulated Z, BF, F EWR ref. LTWP CF1, LTWP VF1, LTWP BF1, LTWP BF2, LTWP SF1	4.03(3) (b) (c) (e) (g) 4.03(3)(i)(i)) 4.03(3)(i)(i) i)	N/A

20 Protect the environment and other users from changes in flow attributable to growth in Floodplain harvesting	E29 Implementation of the Floodplain harvesting policy and Healthy Floodplains project N6 Reviews resulting from application of risk treatment option B Fill knowledge gap / evaluate effectiveness of existing strategies.	B	<i>Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Regulated River Water Source 2016</i> Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan NSW Floodplain Harvesting Policy	E(I-FH) O(I-FH) EWR ref LTWP OB1-5	4.03(3) (h) (i), Ch 10, Part 5	N/A
---	---	----------	---	--	--	------------

Table 9-8 Abbreviations used in Table 9-7

Abbreviation	Explanation
RTO	Risk treatment option refer Figure 9-1
E	Existing action / mechanism / supporting activity
N	New or modified action / mechanism / supporting activity
E(W)	Risks to water available for the environment due to river regulation and licensed extraction (regulated and unregulated)
E(BLR)	Risks to water available for the environment from extraction by basic landholder rights
E(I-FD)	Risk to water available for the environment from interception activities (farm dams)
E(I-PF)	Risk to water available for the environment from interception activities (plantation forestry)
E(I-M)	Risk to water available for the environment from interception activities (mining)
E(I-FH)	Risk to water available for the environment from interception activities (floodplain harvesting)
E(CC)	Risk to water available for the environment due to climate change (regulated)
E(WQ-CWP)	Risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (cold water pollution)
E(WQ-WWP)	Risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (warm water pollution)
E(WQ)	Risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (TP, TN, pH, Turbidity, DO)
E(WQ-S)	Risk to the health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality (instream salinity)
O(WQ-BGA)	Risks to recreational water quality and human health from blue-green algae
O(I-FD)	Risk to water available for other uses from interception activities (farm dams) (regulated rivers)
O(I-PF)	Risk to water available for other uses from interception activities (plantation forestry) (regulated rivers)
O(I-M)	Risk to water available for other uses from interception activities (mining)
O(I-FH)	Risk to water available for other uses from interception activities (floodplain harvesting)
O(CC)	Risk to water available for other uses from climate change (regulated rivers)
O(BLR)	Risk to water available for other uses from growth in BLR (unregulated rivers)
E(W)	Risks to water available for the environment due to river regulation and licensed extraction (regulated and unregulated)
E(BLR)	Risks to water available for the environment from extraction by basic landholder rights (unregulated)
Z	Zero flows
BF	Base flows
F	Fresh flows
BKF1.5	Bank full flows, average recurrence interval 1.5 years
OB2.5	Over bank flows, average recurrence interval 2.5 years
OB5	Over bank flows, average recurrence interval 5 years
LTWP CF1	Cease-to-flow, no greater than modelled natural maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)
LTWP VF1	Very-low flow, no greater than modelled natural maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)
LTWP BF1	Base flow, As required during dry periods maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies) This term is defined in the LTWP as the flow required to provide minimum

	depth and connectivity requirements to support migratory fish. This is different to the definition used in this risk assessment which defines base flow as a flow percentile or flow component.	
LTWP BF2	Base flow, 2 year maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies) This term is defined in the LTWP as the flow required to provide minimum depth and connectivity requirements to support migratory fish. This is different to the definition used in this risk assessment which defines base flow as a flow percentile or flow component.	
LTWP SF1	Small fresh, 1 year maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	
LTWP SF2	Small fresh, 2 years maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	
LTWP LF1	Large fresh, 2 years maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	
LTWP LF2	Large Fresh, 4 years maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	
LTWP OB1	Over bank, 2 years maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	
LTWP OB2	Over bank, 3–5 years maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	
LTWP OB3	Over bank, 4 years maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	
LTWP OB4	Over bank, 5 years maximum inter-flow period (note LTWP flow components do not provide definitions of percentiles or frequencies)	
WSP 1	Regulated WSP	(a) protect, maintain or enhance the recorded distribution or extent of target native fish, native vegetation and native frog populations
WSP 2	Regulated WSP	(b) protect, maintain or enhance the population structure of target native fish, native frogs and native vegetation communities
WSP 3	Regulated WSP	(c) protect, maintain or enhance the connectivity between water sources to support downstream processes including priority carbon and nutrient pathways and priority fish passages
WSP 4 (WQ)	Regulated WSP	(d) protect, maintain or enhance water quality to support water dependent ecosystems and ecosystem functions within the water source
WSP 5	Regulated WSP	(e) Support environmental watering to contribute to the maintenance or enhancement of ecological condition in streams, riparian zones, dependent wetlands and floodplains within the water source
WSP 6	Unregulated WSP	(a) protect, maintain or enhance the recorded distribution or extent of target native fish, native vegetation and native frog populations
WSP 7	Unregulated WSP	(b) protect, maintain or enhance the population structure of target native fish, native frogs, native vegetation and low flow macroinvertebrate communities
WSP 8	Unregulated WSP	(c) protect, maintain and/or enhance the connectivity between these water sources and other water sources, including to support downstream processes including priority carbon and nutrient pathways and priority fish passages
WSP 9 (WQ)	Unregulated WSP	(d) protect, maintain and/or enhance water quality to support water dependent ecosystems and ecosystem functions within the water source

WSP 10	Unregulated WSP (e) protect significant identified lagoons and wetlands, and upland wetlands of the New England Tablelands Bioregion endangered ecological community within these water sources
WSP 11	Unregulated WSP (f) protect connectivity with the upstream Gwydir Regulated River Water Source to support environmental watering events that contribute to the maintenance or enhancement of ecological condition within these water sources
WQ 1	Protect, maintain or enhance water quality to ensure it is fit-for-purpose
WQ 2	Manage water source salinity concentrations and salt mobilisation within Lachlan end-of-valley targets
WQ 3	Maintain turbidity, total nitrogen and total phosphorus within target ranges to minimise eutrophication
WQ 4	Maintain dissolved oxygen and pH measurements within target ranges that support water dependent ecosystems
WQ 5	Manage the risk of blackwater events resulting from inundation of benches and floodplains during major flooding events
WQ 6	Maintain water temperature within the regulated Lachlan River within target ranges that support water dependent ecosystems
WQ 7	Manage the risk of harmful algal blooms in recreational use areas.
WQ 8	Manage the risk of harmful algal blooms within the Lachlan regulated river sources
WQ 9	Reduce contamination from pathogens into water sources.
WQ 10	Protect, maintain or enhance connectivity between water sources to support downstream processes including priority carbon and nutrient pathways

Definitions

Access licence	Access licences allow the licence holder a share of the available water in the water source. An access licence is separate from the approvals to use the water or to construct and operate the works to extract the water e.g. pump, dam. Access licences do not have to be renewed.
Allocation	The volume of water assigned to water allocation accounts in a given season, defined according to rules in the relevant water plan.
Aquatic ecosystems	Ecosystems that are dependent on flows, or periodic or sustained inundation/waterlogging for their ecological integrity. Examples include wetlands, rivers, karst and other groundwater-dependent ecosystems, saltmarshes, estuaries and areas of marine water not exceeding 6 m deep at low tide.
Available water	In relation to a water management area or water source, is the water that is available in that area or water source in accordance with an available water determination that is in force in respect of that area or water source.
Available water determination (AWD)	A determination referred to in section 59 of the <i>Water Management Act 2000</i> that defines the proportion of the share component that will be available for extraction under each category of water access licence.
Bank full events	Reshapes the channel, creating habitats such as pools, bars and benches. Also called High Flows.
Base flows	Flows that are confined to the lower part of the channel and are also often called low flows. These flows are between pools and riffle areas between pools.
Basic landholder rights (BLR)	Domestic and stock rights, harvestable rights or native title rights.
Blue-green algae (cyanobacteria)	A type of microscopic, algae-like bacteria that inhabit freshwater, coastal and marine waters. Some species are known to produce toxins which are harmful to humans and livestock.
Cold water pollution	An artificial decrease in the temperature of water in a natural river.
Consequence	The loss of value for an impacted receptor
Dissolved oxygen	Concentration of oxygen dissolved in water.
Domestic consumption	In relation to land means consumption for normal household purposes in domestic premises on the land.
Ecological value	The perceived importance of an ecosystem which is underpinned by the biotic and/or abiotic components and processes that characterise that ecosystem.
Ecologically significant components of the flow regime	Comprise of cease to flow periods, base flows (low flows), freshes, bank full flows and over bank flows.
Ecosystem	A specific composition of animals and plants that interact with one another and their environment.
Ecosystem functions	The processes that occur between organisms and within and between populations and communities. They include interactions with the nonliving environment that result in existing ecosystems and bring about dynamism through changes in ecosystems over time.
Effluent	An effluent stream is one which leaves the main river and does not return.
Endangered ecological community	Ecological communities as listed in Schedule 1 of the <i>Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995</i> or Schedule 4 of the <i>Fisheries Management Act 1994</i> .
Eutrophication	The process where an accumulation of nutrients in water bodies leads to rapid growth of aquatic plants.
Farm dams	Private dams that are used to intercept catchment runoff that would otherwise contributed to streamflow or recharge of aquifers. For this risk assessment they are predominantly hillside dams. It does not include floodplain harvesting dams.

Floodplain harvesting dams	Are often entirely encircled by embankments and rely mainly on pumped diversions during episodic flushes or overland flow. Prevalent in southern Queensland and northern NSW.
Freshes	Larger flows that inundate the sides of the banks and any in-channel benches that may be present.
Groundwater-dependent ecosystems	Ecosystems that require access to groundwater to meet all or some of their water requirements so as to maintain their communities of plants and animals, ecological processes and ecosystem services.
High Flows	Reshapes the channel, creating habitats such as pools, bars and benches. Also called bank full events.
Indices	Metrics are combined as indicators and indicators are combined as indices.
Instream Value	Ecological condition value of river reaches based upon High Ecological Value Aquatic Ecosystems (HEVAE). In NSW HEVAE was calculated using the 4 criteria: distinctiveness, diversity, naturalness and vital habitat.
Interception	Occurs when flows or surface or groundwater are stopped, reduced or redirected.
Key Environmental Asset	Better studied key environmental assets identified across the Murray Darling Basin with significant and representative high-flow requirements.
Likelihood	The probability that a cause will result in a threat. It is not an indication of the size of the threat, but rather conveys the probability that the threat will be significant.
Long term average annual extraction limit	The target for total extractions (under all water access licences plus an estimate of basic landholder rights within an EMU or water source) which is used to assess whether growth in extractions has occurred.
Low flows	Flows that are confined to the lower part of the channel and are also often called base flows. These flows are between pools and riffle areas between pools. Generally defined as the 80 th percentile flow.
Macro water sharing plans	Water sharing plans that apply to a number of water sources across catchments or different types of aquifers. The macro planning process is designed to develop broader scale water sharing plans covering most of the remaining water sources in NSW.
Management zones	An area within a water source used for defining the location and applicability of water sharing rules, but secondary to the water source. A management zone is more likely to be designated where local dealing restrictions are in place or where 'cease to pump' (CtP) rules for works approvals apply.
Metric	A numerical comparison of an observed variable and its value expected under reference condition.
Nitrogen and phosphorous	Chemical nutrients essential for growth and added to many fertilisers.
Operational constraints	Existing infrastructure systems that may preclude the delivery of certain flows.
Over bank flows	Connect the river to floodplain and wetlands allowing the exchange of nutrients and sediment to these areas.
Pools	Lentic water bodies (standing water), including anything falling within the definition of a "lake" found in the Dictionary of the <i>Water Management Act 2000</i> , except for tidal pools and estuaries.
Reference condition	Is the benchmark against which the health of the ecosystem metric is assessed. Reference condition describes the patterns and processes that would be expected to prevail without substantial human intervention. A reference condition is not a target or an implied objective for management but is merely representing the river ecosystem in a definitive state of good health.
Regulated river	Gazetted under the NSW <i>Water Management Act 2000</i> and is a river where downstream flows are regulated by a major state-owned storage or dam to supply irrigation water.

Replenishment flows	Flows provided along effluent systems to supply water for household, town use and stock.
Salinity	The concentration of sodium chloride or other dissolved minerals in water, usually expressed in EC units or milligrams of total dissolved solids per litre. Conversion factor is $0.64 \text{ mg/l TDS} = 1,000 \text{ } \mu\text{S/cm} = 1 \text{ dS/m}$.
SDL resource unit	Means the water sources, or particular parts of the water resources, of a water resource plan area that is either a surface water SDL resource unit or groundwater SDL resource unit.
Seasonality	The timing of flooding and low flow events.
Stock watering	The watering of stock animals being raised on the land but does not include water in connection with the raising of stock animals on an intensive commercial basis that are housed or kept in feedlots or buildings for all (or a substantial part) of the period during which the stock animals are being raised.
Stratification	The formation of separate water layers.
Supplementary water	Formerly known as off-allocation water, this is surplus flow resulting from storm events that cannot be captured in storages or weirs. When the water is not needed to meet current demands or commitments, then it is considered surplus to requirements and a period of Supplementary Access is announced. Supplementary Water Access Licence holders can only pump water against these licences during these announced periods. Other categories of licence holders may also pump water during these periods.
Trade zones	A trading zone represents a portion of a water source which may then be specified so that trading rules can be applied, if required.
Warm water pollution	An artificial increase in the temperature of water in a natural river.
Water access entitlement	A water product issued under the <i>Water Management Act 2000</i> .
Water sources	Under the <i>Water Management Act 2000</i>, is defined as the whole or any part of: (a) one or more rivers, lakes or estuaries, or (b) one or more places where water occurs on or below the surface of the ground (including overland flow water flowing over or lying there for the time being), and includes the coastal waters of the State. Water sources are used to define where water sharing rules apply

References

- ABARES 2011, 'Catchment scale land use mapping for Australia update November 2012 (CLUM Update 11/12) dataset'. Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences, Canberra.
- ABS (2010) *Measures of Australia's Progress Land Theme: Plantation Forests*: <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/by%20Subject/1370.0~2010~Chapter~Plantation%20forests%20%286.2.4.3%29>, Australian Bureau of Statistics, viewed 25 May 2015.
- Alluvium (2010) *Key ecosystem functions and their environmental water requirements*. Report by Alluvium for Murray-Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT.
- ANZECC & ARMCANZ (2000) *Australian guidelines for water quality monitoring and reporting*. Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand, Canberra, ACT.
- Aquatic Ecosystems Task Group (2012) *Aquatic Ecosystems Toolkit. Module 3: Guidelines for Identifying High Ecological Value Aquatic Ecosystems (HEVAE)*. Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra, ACT.
- Basin Plan (2012) Amendment to the *Water Act 2007*, Commonwealth.
- Baumgartner LJ & Boys C (2012) Reducing the perversion of diversion: Applying world-standard fish screening practices to the Murray-Darling Basin. *Ecological Management & Restoration* 13, 135–143.
- Baumgartner L, Reynoldson N, Cameron L & Stanger J (2007) *The effects of selected irrigation practices on the fish of the Murray-Darling Basin*. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Cronulla, NSW.
- Bennett J and Green J (1993) *Preliminary assessment of Gwydir Wetland water needs*. NSW Department of Water Resources. Technical Services Division, Sydney, NSW.
- Bennett J, Sanders N, Moulton D, Phillips N, Lukas G, Walker K & Redfern F (2002) *Guidelines for Protecting Australian Waterways*. Land and Water Australia, Canberra, ACT.
- Brierley G & Fryirs K (2005) *Geomorphology and river management: application of the river styles framework*. Blackwell Publications, Oxford, UK.
- Brooks AP & Brierley GJ (2002) *Mediated equilibrium: the influence of riparian vegetation and wood on the long-term evolution and behaviour of a near-pristine river*. *Earth Surface Processes & Landforms* 27, 343-367.
- Chessman BC, Fryirs KA & Brierley GJ (2006) Linking geomorphic character, behaviour and condition to fluvial biodiversity: implications for river management. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 16, 267-288.
- Cook N & Schneider G (2006) *River Styles® in the Hunter Catchment*. Science and Information Division, New South Wales Department of Natural Resources, Sydney, NSW.
- CSIRO (2007) *Water availability in the Gwydir. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project*. CSIRO, Australia.
- CSIRO (2008) *Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project*. CSIRO, Australia.
- DLWC 1998a, *Aquifer Risk Assessment Report*, Department of Land and Water Conservation, Sydney.

DLWC 1998b, *Stressed Rivers Assessment Report, NSW State Summary*, Department of Land and Water Conservation, Sydney.

DECCW (2011) *Gwydir Wetlands Adaptive Environmental Management Plan*, Synthesis of information projects and actions, Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water NSW, Sydney South, NSW.

DIPNR (2005) *Macro water planning process for unregulated streams: A manual to assist regional agency staff and regional panels to develop water sharing rules in accordance with the Minister's requirements*. NSW Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources, Sydney, NSW.

NSW Department of Planning and Environment *The Risk Assessment for the Gwydir Alluvium WRP (GW15)*.

NSW Department of Planning and Environment *Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan: Surface water resource description*. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Water, Sydney, NSW.

NSW Department of Planning and Environment *Water quality technical report for the Gwydir surface water resource plan area (SW15)*. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Water, Sydney, NSW

DPI Water (2015) *Macro water sharing plans – the approach for groundwater. A report to assist community consultation*. NSW Department Primary Industries Water, Parramatta, 2nd edition, updated November 2015.

DPI Water (2016) *Water quality status and issues technical report: Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan area*, Department Primary Industries Water, Parramatta.

DPI Water (2017) *Evaluation on NSW Water Sharing Plans for the major regulated rivers in the Murray-Darling Basin*. Published by the Department of Primary Industries, Water, Sydney, NSW.

DPI (Water 2017) *Draft Floodplain harvesting Monitoring Policy*. March 2017. Department of Primary Industries - Water. https://www.water.nsw.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0016/700801/Draft-floodplain-harvesting-monitoring-policy.pdf

Green D, Burrell M, Petrovic J & Moss P (2011) *Water resources and management overview – Gwydir catchment*, NSW Office of Water, Sydney, NSW.

Healey M, Raine A, Lewis A, Hossain B, Hancock F, Sayers J and Dabovic J (2018) *Applying the High Ecological Value Aquatic Ecosystem (HEVAE) Framework for Riverine Ecosystems*. NSW Department of Industry – Water, Sydney, NSW.

Healey M, Raine A, Parsons L & Cook N (2012) *River Condition Index in New South Wales: Method development and application*. NSW Office of Water, Sydney, NSW.

Hughey KFD (2013) *Development and Application of the River Values Assessment System for Ranking New Zealand River Values*. *Water Resource Management* 27:7, 2013-2027.

Jansen A, Robertson A, Thompson L & Wilson A (2003) *Development and application of a method for the rapid appraisal of riparian condition*. River Management Technical Guideline No. 4, Land & Water Australia, Canberra, ACT.

Jones HA & Byrne M (2010) *The impact of catastrophic channel change on freshwater mussels in the Hunter River system, Australia: a conservation assessment*. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 20, 18-30.

Lintermans, M. (2009). *Fishes of the Murray-Darling Basin*. Murray Darling Basin Authority, Canberra.

Local Land Services (2016) *State Strategic Plan 2016 - 2026*. Local Land Services NSW. Viewed 9 February 2017. http://www.lls.nsw.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/658492/state-strategic-plan.pdf.

- Lovett S & Price P (eds) (2007) *Principles of riparian lands management*. Land and Water Australia, Canberra, ACT.
- Lugg A (1999) *Eternal winter in our rivers: Addressing the Issue of Cold Water Pollution*. NSW Fisheries, Nowra, NSW.
- Lugg A & Copeland C 2014, Review of cold water pollution in the Murray-Darling Basin and the impacts on fish communities, *Ecological Management & Restoration* vol. 15, pp. 71-79.
- Macgregor C, Cook B, Farrell C & Mazzella L (2011) *Assessment framework for prioritising waterways for management in Western Australia*. Centre of Excellence in Natural Resource Management, University of Western Australia, Albany, WA.
- Maloney KO & Weller DE (2011) *Anthropogenic disturbance and streams: land use and land-use change affect stream ecosystems via multiple pathways*. *Freshwater Biology* 56, 611-626.
- Matheson A & Thoms MC (2017), *The spatial pattern of large wood in a large low gradient river: the Barwon–Darling River*, *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 16:1, 21-33, NSW Department of Industry: 10.1080/15715124.2017.1387123.
- MDBA (2008) *Mapping the growth, location, surface area and age of man-made water bodies, including farm dams, in the Murray-Darling Basin*. Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra, ACT.
- MDBA (2010) *Guide to the proposed Basin Plan: Technical Background*, Murray Darling Basin Authority, vol 2, part 1, Canberra, ACT.
- MDBA (2012a) *Assessment of environmental water requirements for the proposed Basin Plan: Gwydir Wetlands*. Murray-Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT.
- MDBA (2012b) *Hydrologic modelling to inform the proposed Basin Plan - methods and results*. Murray-Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT.
- MDBA (2017). *The Murray-Darling Basin Water Compliance Review*. Containing reports by the Murray-Darling Basin Authority and the Independent Review Panel. November 2017. Licensed from the Murray–Darling Basin Authority under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence. Accessed online: <https://www.mdba.gov.au/sites/default/files/pubs/MDB-Compliance-Review-Final-Report.pdf>
- NHMRC (2008) *Guidelines for managing risks in recreational water*. National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra, ACT.
- NLWRA (2002) *Australian Catchment, River and Estuary Assessment 2002: volume 1*. National Land and Water Resources Audit, Canberra, ACT.
- NRC (2010) *Progress towards healthy resilient landscapes: Implementing the Standards and Targets and Catchment Action Plans – 2010 Progress report*. NSW Natural Resource Commission, Sydney. Viewed 9 February 2017. <http://www.nrc.nsw.gov.au/publications>.
- NSW Department of Primary Industries (2015a) *Fish and flows in the Gwydir Constraints Management Strategy*. Report prepared for DPI Water. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Tamworth, NSW.
- NSW Department of Primary Industries (2016) *Fish communities and threatened species distributions of NSW*. Report prepared for DPI Water. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Tamworth, NSW.
- NSW Ministry of Health (2013) *NSW Guidelines for Drinking Water Management Systems*. Health Protection NSW, NSW Ministry of Health, North Sydney, NSW.

NSW Office of Water (2010) *Assessment of risk to NSW Murray-Darling Basin shared water resources – 2008*. NSW Office of Water, Sydney, NSW.

NSW Office of Water (2011), *Macro water sharing plans - the approach for unregulated rivers*. A report to assist community consultation, 2nd edition. Published by the NSW Office of Water, August 2011, ISBN 978 0 7371 3917 4.

NSW Office of Water (2012) *Water Sharing Plan for the Gwydir Unregulated and Alluvial Water Sources 2012: Background Document*. Published by the NSW Department of Primary Industries, Office of Water, Sydney, NSW.

NSW Office of Water (2014) *Draft Algal Risk Management Sub-Plan under the NSW Emergency Management Energy and Utility Services Supporting Plan*, NSW Office of Water, Sydney, NSW.

NSW Public Health Act 2010, <https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/inforce/e20f1d11-6a0d-ec9a-fe79-d31ae57c52c3/2010-127.pdf>

NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, <https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/act/1979/203>

NSW Plantations and Reafforestation Act 1999, <https://legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/act/1999/97/full>

NSW Water Management Act 2000, www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/viewtop/inforce/act+92+2000+first+0+N/

NWI (2010), *NWI Policy Guidelines for Water Planning and Management 2010*. National Water Initiative, Canberra, ACT. Accessed online:

<http://webarchive.nla.gov.au/gov/20160105002803/http://www.coag.gov.au/node/461>

OEH (2014) *Cooperative management of environmental water to improve river and wetland health in NSW*. NSW and Office of Environment and Heritage, Goulburn St, Sydney.

OEH (2018) *Long-term Water Plan for the Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan Area, Version 02 (draft)*.

Peterson EE, Sheldon F, Darnell R, Bunn SE & Harch BD (2011) A comparison of spatially explicit landscape representation methods and their relationship to stream condition. *Freshwater Biology* 56, 590-610.

Pietsch TJ (2006) *Fluvial geomorphology and late quaternary geochronology of the Gwydir fan-plain*. PhD thesis, School of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW.

Pinetown K, Sander R and Hodgkinson J (2014) Coal and coal seam gas resource assessment for the Gwydir subregion. Product 1.2 for the Gwydir subregion from the Northern Inland Catchments Bioregional Assessment. Department of the Environment, Bureau of Meteorology, CSIRO and Geoscience Australia, Australia.

Preece R (2004) *Cold water pollution below dams in New South Wales*. Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources, Sydney, NSW.

Raine, A, Healey, M and Ryan, N 2012, *Water Sharing Plans: Priorities for implementation activity in unregulated river water sharing plans - a risk assessment approach*, NSW Office of Water, Sydney.

Robertson AI, Bunn SE, Boon PI & Walker KF (1999) *Sources, sinks and transformations of organic carbon in Australian floodplain rivers*. *Marine & Freshwater Research* 50, 813-829.

SKM (2008) *Development and implementation of methods to consistently assess risks to shared water resources in the Murray-Darling Basin: 2008 Risk Assessment Guidelines*. Sinclair-Knight Merz, Canberra, ACT.

SKM, CSIRO & The Bureau of Rural Sciences (2010) *Surface and/or groundwater interception activities: initial estimates*. National Water Commission, Canberra, ACT.

- SKM (2010) *Assessment of the hydrological impact of farm dams in the Murray-Darling Basin*. Murray Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT.
- SMEC (2010) *Afforestation risks to water resources in the Murray-Darling Basin*. Murray-Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT.
- Standards Australia (2009) *Risk management principles – Principles and guidelines*. AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009, Sydney, NSW.
- Treadwell S, Koen J, Bunn S & Brooks A (2007) *Wood and other aquatic habitat*, in S Lovett & P Price (eds) *Principles for riparian land management*. Land and Water Australia, Canberra, ACT.
- Van Dijk A, Evans R, Hairsine P, Khan S, Nathan R, Paydar A, Winey N, & Zhang L (2006) *Risks to the Shared Water Resources of the Murray-Darling Basin*. Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra ACT.
- VanLaarhoven, JM & van der Wielen, M (2009) *Environmental water requirements for the Mount Lofty Ranges prescribed water resource areas*. DWLBC Report 2009/29, Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation & South Australian Murray-Darling Basin NRM Board, Adelaide, SA.
- Water Act 2007, www.comlaw.gov.au/series/C2007A00137.
- WaterNSW (n.d.) *Algae*. Accessed online: <https://www.watarnsw.com.au/water-quality/algae>.
- Watson G, Nullock E, Sharpe C & Baldwin D (2009) *Water quality tolerances of aquatic biota of the Murray-Darling Basin*. Report to Murray-Darling Basin Authority. Murray Darling Freshwater Research Centre, Wodonga, Vic.
- Westhorpe DP, Mitrovic SM, Ryan D & Kobayashi T (2010) *Limitation of lowland riverine bacterioplankton by dissolved organic carbon and inorganic nutrients*. *Hydrobiologia* 652, 101-117.
- Westhorpe DP, Mitrovic SM, Growns IO, Hadwen WL & Rees GN 2015, Disruption in water quality patterns along the river continuum by a large bottom release dam, in *Australasian Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol.22 no. 4, pp. 400-416.

Appendix A—Data summary tables

Table A 1 Summary of data used for the Gwydir Surface Water Resource Plan Area risk assessment

#	Metric	Data Description	Report Reference	Relevant risk #		Data source/s	Reference	Time period	Data confidence	Reasoning
	Basin Plan requirements	The MDBA provides a number of Position Statements (PS) that provide guidance material	not listed (generic background information logic to the RA)			1. Relevant Position Statements (PS) for the development of a Risk Assessment include but are not limited to: PS 1B, 4A, 5A, 6A, 7B, 9A, 9B, 12A	https://www.mdba.gov.au/publications/policies-guidelines/water-resource-plans-what-they-are-how-they-are-developed	Not Applicable (N/A)	N/A	Position statements are designed to assist in the development of water resource plans by the Basin states.
1	Hydrological modelling - regulated river	Flow Metrics (hydrological alteration): 2800 GL reduction scenario (MDBA 2012) against near-natural condition scenario	4.3.1 (Regulated river water source)	E(W)	Likelihood	1. MDBA 2012. <i>Hydrologic modelling to inform the proposed Basin Plan - methods and results</i> . Murray-Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT. 2. Alluvium (2010) Key ecosystem functions and their environmental water requirements. Report by Alluvium for Murray-Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT. 3. Regulated River Sites: NSW Department of Planning and Environment IQQM (Integrated Quantity Quality Model) used for both MDBA & NSW Department of Planning and Environment sites. 4. MDBA modelling report appendix - 2800 GL scenario - 847	Regulated River Hydrologic Sites: - Gwydir River downstream Copeton Dam - Gwydir at Pallamallawa - Gwydir at Yarraman Bridge - Mallowa Creek regulator - Mehi River at Bronte - Moomin Creek at Iffley - Mehi River at Collarenebri - Gil Gil Creek at Galloway	July 1895 to June 2009	High	Peer reviewed MDBA (MDBA 2012) & Alluvium (2010) reports. The models provide a tool to apply new management of water resources across a longer period to see how the new arrangements would work under different water availability conditions. For the Basin Plan, the proposed new arrangements have been applied to the historical climate period of July 1895 to June 2009, which covers periods of drought as well as floods. A detailed description for the development of environmental watering requirements for key ecosystem functions and the basis for adopting the flow metrics can be found in 'Attachment C Technical Paper-3' (pp.90-134) in Alluvium (2010).
2	Hydrological modelling - unregulated water sources	Flow Metrics (hydrological alteration): 2800 GL reduction scenario (MDBA 2012) against near-natural condition scenario	4.3.1 (Unregulated water sources)	E(W)	Likelihood	1. Unregulated water sources: single reach NSW Department of Planning and Environment IQQM.	Unregulated River hydrologic Sites: Bakers Creek; Barwon; Booroolong; Carole; Cobbadah; Copeton Dam; Georges; Gil Gil; Gingham Water source; Gurley Creek; Gwydir; Halls Creek; Keera; Laura; Lower Horton; Mackenzies Flat; Mehi River; Millie Creek; Moredun; Moree; Mosquito Creek; Myall; Rocky Creek; Rocky River; Roumalla; Slaughterhouse; Thalaba; Tycannah; Upper Gwydir; Upper Horton; Warialda.	July 1895 to June 2009	Moderate	The assessment assumes all entitlement is active, whereas this is unlikely to be the case. The method assumes the worst case scenario and therefore likelihood may be overemphasised.
3	basic landholder rights - unregulated water sources	Based on dividing the 80th percentile flow (for all days) for each water source by the estimated BLR.	4.4.1	E(BLR)	Likelihood	1. Unregulated water sources: single reach NSW Department of Planning and Environment IQQM. 2. Basic landholder rights for NSW: Estimations of stock & domestic rights Dept of Water & Energy, September 2009. 3. NSW Office of Water (2011), Macro water sharing plans - the approach for unregulated rivers. A report to assist community consultation, 2nd edition. Published by the NSW Office of Water, August 2011, ISBN 978 0 7371 3917	Unregulated River hydrologic Sites: Bakers Creek; Barwon; Booroolong; Carole; Cobbadah; Copeton Dam; Georges; Gil; Gingham Watercourse; Gurley Creek; Gwydir; Halls Creek; Keera; Laura; Lower Horton; Mackenzies Flat; Mehi River; Millie Creek; Moredun; Moree; Mosquito Creek; Myall; Rocky Creek; Rocky River; Roumalla; Slaughterhouse; Thalaba; Tycannah; Upper Gwydir; Upper Horton; Warialda.		Low / Moderate	Low confidence level: some water sources had insufficient or no data. Moderate confidence: BLR usage figures are estimates only: i.e., all entitlements are assumed to be active. Method needs further testing across valleys. A WRP must ensure that BLRs are maintained at baseline diversion levels (generally set at the 30 June 2009 diversion limit) (MDBA 2017. Water Compliance Review. ISBN (online): 978-1-925599-55-8)
4	Pest plants and animals	Qualitative assessment	5			Expert opinion	none	Not applicable (N/A)	Low	Qualitative assessment based on NSW Department of Planning and Environment ecohydrology specialist expert opinion

#	Metric	Data Description	Report Reference	Relevant risk #		Data source/s	Reference	Time period	Data confidence	Reasoning
5	Risk from climate change – estimated for regulated and unregulated water sources	Extrapolation of CSIRO MDBSY Project modelling of Gwydir wetlands	4.6.4	E(CC)	likelihood	1. CSIRO (2007) Water availability in the Gwydir. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia 2. CSIRO 2008, Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin; A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia.	Gwydir wetlands		low	Extrapolation of outcomes from a single modelled location, applied to all reaches and water sources in WRP area
6	Interception due to floodplain harvesting	Preliminary modelled estimate of total floodplain harvesting volume	4.5.4	E(I-FH)	likelihood	DPI-Water modelling			low	Preliminary modelling, indicative only. Modelled estimate is for the WRP Area, no finer scale available

#	Metric	Data Description	Report Reference	Relevant risk #	Data source/s	Reference	Time period	Data confidence	Reasoning													
7	High ecological value aquatic ecosystems	HEVAE (high ecological value aquatic ecosystem) - Identifying environmental assets and ecosystems functions	4.2 4.2.3 4.3.3 4.5.1.1 4.5.2.1 4.5.4.1 6.3.1 (Regulated river water source) 6.4.1 6.5.1 See also Appendix B: HEVAE alignment with Basin Plan Schedules 8 & 9 Appendix C: HEVAE consequence scoring & decision tree	E(W) E(BLR) E(I-FD) (E(I-PF) E(I-FH) E(CC) E(WQ-CWP) E(WQ) E(WQ-S)	Consequence	1. HEVAE data based on the following sources: <table><tr><th>Criteria</th><th>Data Sources</th></tr><tr><td>Base layer in all criteria</td><td>•→ River Styles® (DPI Water) •→ Geofabric data layer (BOM) •→ IBRA Subregions layer (OEH)</td></tr><tr><td>Diversity</td><td>•→ Fish diversity data (DPI Fisheries) •→ AUSRIVAS macroinvertebrate data (OEH)</td></tr><tr><td>Distinctiveness</td><td>•→ Threatened species, populations and communities (recorded, known and predicted data) (OEH and DPI Fisheries) •→ Rare River Styles® (DPI Water)</td></tr><tr><td>Vital Habitat</td><td>• → Riparian Woody Extent raster dataset (DECC 2008) • → River Styles® recovery potential (DPI Water)</td></tr><tr><td>Naturalness</td><td>• → Hydrologic stress and catchment disturbance (from River Condition Index) (DPI Water); • → Natural Parks estate (OEH) • → RAMSAR/DIWA/SEPP14 (Coastal) (OEH) • → Observed/Expected (O/E) macroinvertebrate data (OEH)</td></tr></table>	Criteria	Data Sources	Base layer in all criteria	•→ River Styles® (DPI Water) •→ Geofabric data layer (BOM) •→ IBRA Subregions layer (OEH)	Diversity	•→ Fish diversity data (DPI Fisheries) •→ AUSRIVAS macroinvertebrate data (OEH)	Distinctiveness	•→ Threatened species, populations and communities (recorded, known and predicted data) (OEH and DPI Fisheries) •→ Rare River Styles® (DPI Water)	Vital Habitat	• → Riparian Woody Extent raster dataset (DECC 2008) • → River Styles® recovery potential (DPI Water)	Naturalness	• → Hydrologic stress and catchment disturbance (from River Condition Index) (DPI Water); • → Natural Parks estate (OEH) • → RAMSAR/DIWA/SEPP14 (Coastal) (OEH) • → Observed/Expected (O/E) macroinvertebrate data (OEH)	1. Healey et al. 2018. Applying the High Ecological Value Aquatic Ecosystem (HEVAE) Framework to Water Management Needs in NSW. DPI Water. June 2017. 2. Aquatic Ecosystems Task Group. 2012. Aquatic Ecosystems Toolkit Module 3: Guidelines for identifying high ecological value aquatic ecosystems (HEVAE). Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra.	Time periods vary for each source: Examples for the following criteria: 'Diversity' fish species abundance data covered a 10-yr period: 2002 - 2011. 'Naturalness' macroinvertebrate data from 1994 - 2013. For more information see Healey et al. 2017.	High	A. External Peer review by three independent organisations and also from NSW DPI-Fisheries. B. The Threatened Species Table below provides an example list of threatened species of the Gwydir Catchment. This information is used in helping determine consequence outcomes in the HEVAE process. How Consequence Scores are derived: Unregulated rivers , the scale of assessment was the Water Source. Each was analysed separately using the HEVAE layer, a licensing layer and the reg river layer (where reg river is excluded from water source); Regulated river reaches are assessed separately, using the same process and decision tree, but based on individual reaches centred on Hydrologic Indicator sites. Decision Tree: The decision tree pushes the user through a series of questions about the ecological value of the Water Source, whether there is extraction in the Water Source, and whether the HEVAE result includes a high 'distinctiveness' metric (i.e. threatened species, populations, communities or rare river styles)
Criteria	Data Sources																					
Base layer in all criteria	•→ River Styles® (DPI Water) •→ Geofabric data layer (BOM) •→ IBRA Subregions layer (OEH)																					
Diversity	•→ Fish diversity data (DPI Fisheries) •→ AUSRIVAS macroinvertebrate data (OEH)																					
Distinctiveness	•→ Threatened species, populations and communities (recorded, known and predicted data) (OEH and DPI Fisheries) •→ Rare River Styles® (DPI Water)																					
Vital Habitat	• → Riparian Woody Extent raster dataset (DECC 2008) • → River Styles® recovery potential (DPI Water)																					
Naturalness	• → Hydrologic stress and catchment disturbance (from River Condition Index) (DPI Water); • → Natural Parks estate (OEH) • → RAMSAR/DIWA/SEPP14 (Coastal) (OEH) • → Observed/Expected (O/E) macroinvertebrate data (OEH)																					

#	Metric	Data Description	Report Reference	Relevant risk #		Data source/s	Reference	Time period	Data confidence	Reasoning
	Water Quality	Documented procedures are stored in the Corporate Data Quality System (Scientific and Technical Operating Procedures; STOP). All laboratory analysis undertaken at a NATA accredited laboratory. All data was audited and validated in accordance with documented procedures. Quality codes were assigned and the data archived in the Corporate Database (KiWQM).	6. Risks to health of water-dependent ecosystems from poor water quality	E(WQ) E(WQ-CWP) E(WQ-S)		1. Schedule 11 of Basin Plan lists water quality targets for water-dependent ecosystems and Ramsar wetlands.	Basin Plan 2012, Compilation No.2 (24 November 2016) registered 23 January 2017. <i>Water Act 2007</i> , Commonwealth.	2010/11 - 2014/15 (5-year period)	High	The Basin States are required to report against these targets to the MDBA every five years. For this reason, five years of water quality data was used in the Risk assessment, to be consisted with the MDBA reporting requirement. All data are generated according to documented procedures for collecting samples, providing quality control samples and the preservation and transport of samples as recommended in Australia Standard AS/NZS 5667.1:1998 Water Quality Sampling. All procedures are based on, and comply with, recognised departmental and external standards to ensure that the project delivers data of the highest possible standard. HEVAE instream values used to determine impact of water quality on instream biota only at the reach scale (25-km upstream and downstream) and are therefore an approximation for the whole water source.
8	Cold water pollution	Real-time gauge based monitoring	6.3.2	E(WQ-CWP)	Likelihood	1. WaterNSW water quality monitoring stations. 2. Preece, R 2004, Cold water pollution below dams in New South Wales, Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources, Sydney.	Copeton Dam (Gwydir River 200km downstream of dam) to Gwydir River at Pallamallawa	From March 2012 to January 2016.	High	Based on Real-time data.
9	Water quality - pH, turbidity, total phosphorus & nitrogen, dissolved oxygen	Routine real-time site based sampling	6.4.2	E(WQ)	Likelihood	1. WaterNSW water quality monitoring stations	418013 Gwydir River at Gravesend 418004 Gwydir River at Yarraman Bridge 418002 Mehi River at Moree 418058 Mehi River at Bronte 418054 Moomin Creek at Iffley 41610152 Gil Gil Creek at Collarenebri Road Bridge 418014 Gwydir River at Yarrowyck 41810006 Laura Creek upstream Bundarra 418008 Gwydir River at Bundarra 41810033 Myall Creek at Sheep Station Creek Road 41810058 Horton River at Elcombe Bridge Road	2010/11 - 2014/15 (5-year period)	High	Based on Real-time data.
10	Levels of instream salinity	Real-time gauge based monitoring	6.5.2	E(WQ-S)	Likelihood	1. WaterNSW water quality monitoring stations	End of valley target site: Mehi River at Bronte (418058)	2010/11 - 2014/15 (5-year period)	High	Based on Real-time data.
	Water quality for other uses		7. Risk to other water uses due to unsuitable water quality	O(WQ) O(WQ-BGA) O(WQ-S)						
11	Recorded mean daily electrical conductivity 2005/06 – 2014/15	Continuous real-time gauge based monitoring	7.3.1	O(WQ-S)	Consequenc	Not assessed as there are no irrigation infrastructure operators in the Gwydir Surface WRPA				

#	Metric	Data Description	Report Reference	Relevant risk #		Data source/s	Reference	Time period	Data confidence	Reasoning
12	Annual 95th percentile of daily mean electrical conductivity recorded between 2004/05 to 2014/15	Frequency that the 95th percentile of mean daily electrical conductivity exceeds the irrigation salinity target for 10-yr (Northern Basin target = 957µS/cm)	7.3.2	O(WQ-S)	Likelihood	Not assessed as there are no irrigation infrastructure operators in the Gwydir Surface WRPA				
13	Degree of recreation usage	Level of recreational usage for four monitoring sites	7.4.2	O(WQ-BGA)	Consequence	1. WaterNSW water quality monitoring stations	Copeton Reservoir Gwydir River downstream of Copeton Dam Mehi River at Bronte		Moderate	
14	Average annual duration of red alters for blue-green algae	Blue-green algae (BGA) samples used as an indicator because of the potential for some species of BGA to impact on health. Alert levels in place and are used to determine the actions that need to be undertaken in respect to an algal incident adopted from the National Health and Medical Research Council algal bloom response guidelines (NHRMC 2008).	7.4.3	O(WQ-BGA)	Likelihood	1. WaterNSW Algal Website: http://www.watarnsw.com.au/water-quality/algae	Copeton Reservoir Gwydir River downstream of Copeton Dam Mehi River at Bronte	Based on 2006 - 2014	High	Based on Real-time data. The water quality targets for water used for recreational purposes are that the values for cyanobacteria cell counts or bio-volume meet the guideline values set out in Chapter 6 of the Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water. National Health and Medical Research Council 2008, Guidelines for managing risks in recreational water. (National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra).
15	Human consumption		7.5	O		1. The Public Health Act 201 2. Public Health Regulation 2012 r 3. Drinking Water Management System (DWMS)		N/A	N/A	Local councils have management strategies identified in the Drinking Water Management Systems.
16	Indigenous & Socio-economic		7.6 & 8.5	O				N/A	N/A	Cultural requirements addressed in a separate document. Socio-economic not assessed due to lack of data.
	Interception Activities	Risk due to interception activities - farm dams, commercial plantations, mining, floodplain harvesting	4.5 8.2	E(I-FD) E(I_PF) O(I-FD) O(I-PF)		1. CSIRO 2008, Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin; A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia. 2. Van Dijk AIJM, et al. (2008) Uncertainty in river modelling across the Murray Darling Basin. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project, CSIRO Australia. 93pp.			Moderate / High	CSIRO project undergone extensive peer review. Furthermore, NSW obtained the model output data from the MDBYS project (2008) and extracted 112 year time series on a daily time-step as well as the reliability data to improve the quality of analysis (NOW 2010).

#	Metric	Data Description	Report Reference	Relevant risk #		Data source/s	Reference	Time period	Data confidence	Reasoning
17	Growth in farm dams (regulated)	Farm dam and plantation impacts on streamflow estimated using the SIMHYD rainfall-runoff model; this data was adapted to fit into river system models of state agencies (Van Dijk et al. 2008). A 2030 farm dam development scenario was developed by considering current distribution and policy controls and trends in farm dam expansion.	4.5.1.2 8.2.1.2	E(I-FD) O(I-FD)	Likelihood	1. NOW 2010, <i>Assessment of risk to NSW Murray-Darling Basin shared water resources – 2008</i> , NSW Office of Water, Sydney. 2. Sustainable Rivers Audit 2 (SRA) in: MDBA 2011, <i>Assessment of the hydrological impact of farm dams in the Murray-Darling Basin</i> . Murray Darling Basin Authority, Canberra. https://www.mdba.gov.au/sites/default/files/archive/d/annualreports/2011-12/chapter_02_6.html 3. CSIRO 2008, <i>Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin</i> ; A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia.	Gwydir Wetlands High Security General Security Supplementary Water	Based on 2004/2005 satellite imagery (MDBYS Project) compared to 1994 to determine growth trends over 10-yr. Also based on period of prediction (from 2020 - 2030) and current period (from 2003 - 2008) (Van Dijk, 2008).	Moderate / High	Peer reviewed. 1. The estimated uncertainty associated with farm dam growth and plantation expansion is estimated in the order of 1 percent of total inflows across the MDB, increasing up to about 3 percent in sensitive regions, and more in certain catchments within regions. These are small numbers when compared to climate and other uncertainties (Van Dijk, 2008). 2. The uncertainty associated with the impact of farm dams is greater than that associated with forestry, particularly when considering the large uncertainty in farm dam expansion rate estimates. The estimate rate is about an order of magnitude smaller than rates in the recent past and therefore this must still be considered a significant uncertainty. If farm dam construction rates were to be closer to historical rates their impact would be very significant in several regions, and therefore this may still be considered a significant risk (Van Dijk, 2008).
18	Ability to meet environmental flow objectives		4.5.1.1	E(I-FD)	Consequence		Gwydir Wetlands;		Moderate / High	1. Control measure: harvestable rights provisions which are one of the basic landholder rights under the WM Act (NOW 2010). 2. Farm dams, forestry plantations and floodplain harvesting are all instances of non-metered take. Therefore, the hydrometric network and hydrological modelling are the way in which estimates are derived (MDBA 2017. The Murray-Darling Basin Water Compliance Review. Licensed from the MDBA under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence).
19	Change in average annual diversions and allocations for licence holders		8.2.1.1	O(I-FD)	Consequence		High Security General Security Supplementary Water		Moderate / High	
20	Growth in farm dams	Deviation in flow indices from the reference (near natural) regime (SKM 2011)	4.5.1.2	E(I-FD)	Likelihood	1. Sustainable Rivers Audit 2 (SRA) in: MDBA 2011, <i>Assessment of the hydrological impact of farm dams in the Murray-Darling Basin</i> . 2. Murray Darling Basin Authority, Canberra. https://www.mdba.gov.au/sites/default/files/archive/d/annualreports/2011-12/chapter_02_6.html 2. NOW 2010, <i>Assessment of risk to NSW Murray-Darling Basin shared water resources – 2008</i> , NSW Office of Water, Sydney. 3. CSIRO 2008, <i>Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin</i> ; A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia. 4. NOW 2010, <i>Assessment of risk to NSW Murray-Darling Basin shared water resources – 2008</i> , NSW Office of Water, Sydney. 5. SKM (2011) <i>Assessment of the hydrological impact of farm dams in the Murray-Darling Basin</i> . Murray Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT	Copes Creek at Kimberley; Gwydir River at Yarrowyck; Horton River at Rider (Yarrowyck); Myall Creek at Molroy; Laura Creek at Laura; Halls Creek at Bingara; Horton River at Dam Site; Booroolong Creek (North Arm) at Black Mountain	Based on 2008/2010 SRA2 Audit. Also based on period of prediction (from 2020 - 2030) and current period (from 2003 - 2008) (Van Dijk, 2008).	Moderate / High	Peer reviewed. The estimated uncertainty associated with farm dam growth and plantation expansion is estimated in the order of 1 percent of total inflows across the MDB, increasing up to about 3 percent in sensitive regions, and more in certain catchments within regions. These are small numbers when compared to climate and other uncertainties. The uncertainty associated with the impact of farm dams is greater than that associated with forestry, particularly when considering the large uncertainty in farm dam expansion rate estimates. The estimate rate is about an order of magnitude smaller than rates in the recent past and therefore this must still be considered a significant uncertainty. If farm dam construction rates were to be closer to historical rates their impact would be very significant in several regions, and therefore this may still be considered a significant risk (Van Dijk, 2008). Farm dams, forestry plantations and floodplain harvesting are all instances of non-metered take. Therefore, the hydrometric network and hydrological modelling are the way in which estimates are derived (MDBA 2017. The Murray-Darling Basin Water Compliance Review. Licensed from the MDBA under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence).

#	Metric	Data Description	Report Reference	Relevant risk #		Data source/s	Reference	Time period	Data confidence	Reasoning
21	HEVAE (see 4 above)	See 4 above	4.5.1.1	E(I-FD)	Consequence	See 4 above	Copes Creek at Kimberley; Gwydir River at Yarrowyck; Horton River at Rider (Yarrowyck); Myall Creek at Molroy; Laura Creek at Laura; Halls Creek at Bingara; Horton River at Dam Site; Booroolong Creek (North Arm) at Black Mountain	See 42 above	See 4 above	See 4 above.
22	Growth in plantation forestry (regulated)	Farm dam and plantation impacts on streamflow estimated using the SIMHYD rainfall-runoff model; this data was adapted to fit into river system models of state agencies (Van Dijk et al. 2008). A 2030 farm dam development scenario was developed by considering current distribution and policy controls and trends in farm dam expansion.	4.5.2 8.2.2	E(I-PF) O(I-PF)	Likelihood	1. SMEC 2010, Afforestation risks to water resources in the Murray-Darling Basin, Murray-Darling Basin Authority, Canberra; 2. CSIRO 2008, Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin; A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia. 3. NOW 2010, Assessment of risk to NSW Murray-Darling Basin shared water resources – 2008, NSW Office of Water, Sydney. 4. SKM (2011) Assessment of the hydrological impact of farm dams in the Murray-Darling Basin. Murray Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT	Gwydir Wetlands High Security General Security Supplementary Water	Based on 2004/2005 satellite imagery (MDBYS Project). Also based on period of prediction (from 2020 - 2030) and current period (from 2003 - 2008) (Van Dijk, 2008).	Moderate / High	Peer reviewed. The estimated uncertainty associated with farm dam growth and plantation expansion is estimated in the order of 1 percent of total inflows across the MDB, increasing up to about 3 percent in sensitive regions, and more in certain catchments within regions. These are small numbers when compared to climate and other uncertainties (Van Dijk, 2008). Plantations and Reafforestation Act controls the establishment and harvesting of plantations, although importantly it doesn't currently account for water use impacts. No methods have yet been developed to look at the probability of future bushfires and their hydraulic impact (NOW 2010).
23	Ability to meet environmental flow objectives	considering current distribution and policy controls and trends in farm dam expansion.	4.5.2	E(I-PF)	Consequence	1. NOW 2010, Assessment of risk to NSW Murray-Darling Basin shared water resources – 2008, NSW Office of Water, Sydney. 2. Sustainable Rivers Audit 2 (SRA) in: MDBA 2011, Assessment of the hydrological impact of farm dams in the Murray-Darling Basin. Murray Darling Basin Authority, Canberra. https://www.mdba.gov.au/sites/default/files/archive/d/annualreports/2011-12/chapter_02_6.html 3. CSIRO 2008, Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin; A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia.	Gwydir Wetlands			
24	Change in average annual diversions and allocations for licence holders		8.2.2	O(I-PF)	Consequence		High Security General Security Supplementary Water			
25	Mining area and growth	Mining area, type and growth	4.5.3 8.2.3			1. 'Catchment scale land use mapping for Australia update November 2012 (CLUM Update 11/12) dataset'. Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences, Canberra 2. Coal and coal seam gas resource assessment for the Gwydir subregion. Product 1.2 for the Gwydir subregion from the Northern Inland Catchments Bioregional Assessment. Department of the Environment, Bureau of Meteorology, CSIRO and Geoscience Australia, Australia. 3. https://minview.geoscience.nsw.gov.au		2014 Current	Moderate/ High	
26	Floodplain harvesting volumes		4.5.4 (environment) 8.2.4 (Other uses)			1. DPI-Water 2017. Draft Floodplain harvesting Monitoring Policy. March 2017. Department of Primary Industries - Water. https://www.water.nsw.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0016/700801/Draft-floodplain-harvesting-monitoring-policy.pdf		N/A	Moderate/ High	To be managed under Floodplain licencing framework. Farm dams, forestry plantations and floodplain harvesting are all instances of non-metered take. Therefore, the hydrometric network and hydrological modelling are the way in which estimates are derived (MDBA 2017. The Murray-Darling Basin Water Compliance Review. Licensed from the MDBA under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence).

#	Metric	Data Description	Report Reference	Relevant risk #		Data source/s	Reference	Time period	Data confidence	Reasoning
27	Future climate scenarios	The MDBSY project assessed scenarios: i.e., historical climate change & current development; recent climate change & current development; future climate change and current & future development, respectively. All scenarios are defined at daily time series of climate variables based on different scaling of the historical 1895 - 2006 climate sequence (Van Dijk et al. 2008).	4.6.2 8.3.2	E(CC) O(CC)	Likelihood	1. NOW 2010, Assessment of risk to NSW Murray-Darling Basin shared water resources – 2008, NSW Office of Water, Sydney. 2. CSIRO 2008, Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin; A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia. 3. Van Dijk, et al. (2008) Uncertainty in river modelling across the Murray-Darling Basin. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project, CSIRO Australia. 93pp.	Gwydir Wetlands High Security General Security Supplementary Water	The average rainfall during the calibration period (Gwydir: 1985-1990) was compared to the long-term average rainfall, the number of years in the historical record (1895 to 2006) (Van Dijk 2008).	Low / Moderate	CSIRO project undergone extensive peer review. NSW obtained the model output data from the MDBYS project (2008) and extracted 112 year time series on a daily time-step as well as the reliability data to improve the quality of analysis (NOW 2010). Historical 112-year climate transformed to have the same statistics as the second-wettest, second-driest and median predictions of climate change by 15 different global climate models given three alternative emission scenarios (Cwet, Cdry and Cmid scenarios). However, there is still relatively high uncertainty associated with natural variability and also uncertainty associated with the range of climate models, with this combined uncertainty considered greater than the 'best estimate' climate change projection (Van Dijk, et al. 2008). 1. This section addresses NSW's obligations under the Basin Plan (2012): Chapter 10, Part 9: Section 10.41(1) - A water resource plan must be prepared having regard to current and future risks to the condition and continued availability of the water resource plan area. 2. Water Sharing Plans are the control mechanism which base water sharing decisions on the availability of flow and therefore they implicitly adjust for both climate variability and change. The legislation enables powers to temporarily suspend water sharing rules during extreme climatic conditions. WSPs are reviewed on a 10-yr cycle and the climatic record used as input to these planning decisions is based on all of the available data up to that point. 3. There is currently no explicit adjustment to the input data or selective sequencing from the data to use as input to the planning process to allow for potential future climate change. Water sharing plans cover all of the regulated systems in NSW, i.e. all but the Barwon-Darling in this risk assessment. In the Barwon-Darling, licence conditions on entitlements specify access rules based on the occurrence of flows above a specified level prior to allowing pumping to occur. These therefore implicitly allow for both climate variability & change (NOW 2010).
28	Ability to meet environmental flow objectives		4.6	E(CC)	Consequence		Gwydir Wetlands			
29	Change in average annual diversions and allocations for licence holders		8.3.2	O(CC)	Consequence		High Security General Security Supplementary Water			
	Growth in basic landholder rights									
30	Growth in basic landholder rights	Based on dividing the 80th percentile flow (for all days) for each water source by the estimated BLR.	4.4.1 8.4 (other uses)	E(BLR)	Likelihood	1. WaterNSW gauging stations	Bakers Creek; Barwon; Booroolong Creek; Carole Creek; Cobbadah Creek; Copeton Dam; Georges Creek; Gil Gil Creek; Gingham Watercourse; Gurley Creek; Gwydir; Halls Creek; Keera Creek; Laura Creek; Lower Horton; Mackenzies Flat; Mehi River; Millie Creek; Moredun Creek; Moree; Mosquito Creek; Myall Creek; Rocky Creek; Rocky River; Roumalla Creek; Slaughterhouse Creek; Thalaba Creek; Tycannah Creek; Upper Gwydir River; Upper Horton; Warialda Creek		Low	BLR usage figures are estimates only: i.e., all entitlements are assumed to be active. Method needs further testing across valleys. This section also addresses Chapter 10, part 9: section 10.41 (1) outlined above. Also covers NSW obligations under section 10.41 (3) in identifying risk, and regard to risks identified in Section 4.02 (2). A WRP must ensure that BLRs are maintained at baseline diversion levels (generally set at the 30 June 2009 diversion limit) (MDBA 2017. Water Compliance Review. ISBN (online): 978-1-925599-55-8)

#	Metric	Data Description	Report Reference	Relevant risk #		Data source/s	Reference	Time period	Data confidence	Reasoning	
31	Growth in basic landholder rights	Ratio of BLR volume to total licensed entitlement	8.4.2	O(BLR)	Likelihood	1. WaterNSW gauging stations 2. NSW Water Register 3. Basic landholder rights for NSW: Estimations of stock & domestic rights Dept of Water & Energy, September 2009.	Bakers Creek, Barwon, Booroolong Creek, Carole Creek, Cobbadah Creek, Copeton Dam, Georges Creek, Gil Gil Creek, Gingham Watercourse, Gurley Creek, Gwydir, Halls Creek, Keera Creek, Laura Creek, Lower Horton, Mackenzies Flat, Mehi River, Millie Creek, Moredun Creek, Moree, Mosquito Creek, Myall Creek, Rocky Creek, Rocky River, Roumalla Creek, Slaughterhouse Creek, Thalaba Creek, Tycannah Creek, Upper Gwydir River, Upper Horton, Warialda Creek		High		
32	Volume of entitlement	Volume of entitlement (2015)	8.4.1	O(BLR)	Consequence	1. NSW Water Register	Bakers Creek, Barwon, Booroolong Creek, Carole Creek, Cobbadah Creek, Copeton Dam, Georges Creek, Gil Gil Creek, Gingham Watercourse, Gurley Creek, Gwydir, Halls Creek, Keera Creek, Laura Creek, Lower Horton, Mackenzies Flat, Mehi River, Millie Creek, Moredun Creek, Moree, Mosquito Creek, Myall Creek, Rocky Creek, Rocky River, Roumalla Creek, Slaughterhouse Creek, Thalaba Creek, Tycannah Creek, Upper Gwydir River, Upper Horton, Warialda Creek		High		

LIST OF THREATENED SPECIES OF THE GWYDIR CATCHMENT

Common Name	Scientific Name	Distinctiveness Parameter	NSW Status	Commonwealth listing	Flow sensitivity Weighting	Status Weights	Layers from OEH
eel-tailed catfish	<i>Tandanus tandanus</i>	EP	Endangered Population	not listed	4	3	Known.
olive perchlet	<i>Ambassis agassizii</i>	EP	Endangered Populations	not listed	4	3	Only available in new fish modelled data
silver perch	<i>Bidyanus bidyanus</i>	Fish	Vulnerable	Critically endangered	4	4	Known
purple spotted gudgeon	<i>Mogurnda adspersa</i>	Fish	Endangered Population	not listed	4	3	Known
Murray cod	<i>Maccullochella peelii</i>	Fish	not listed	Vulnerable	4	2	Known & predicted.
Booroolong frog	<i>Litoria booroolongensis</i>	Frog	Endangered	Endangered	4	3	Known
yellow-spotted tree frog	<i>Litoria castanea</i>	Frog	Critically endangered	Endangered	4	4	Predicted
Lowland Darling River aquatic ecological community		EEC	Endangered Ecological Community	not listed	4	3	from DPI and EEC layer available on the EDB
river snail	<i>Notopala sublineata</i>	Other aquatic	Endangered	not listed	4	3	
Marsh Club-rush sedgeland in the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion		EEC	Critically endangered ecological community	not listed	3	4	Known
Carex Sedgeland of the New England Tableland, Nandewar, Brigalow Belt South and NSW North Coast Bioregions		EEC	Endangered Ecological Community	not listed	3	3	Known & Predicted
Upland Wetlands of the Drainage Divide of the New England Tableland Bioregion		EEC	Endangered Ecological Community	Endangered	3	3	Known & Predicted
Bell's turtle	<i>Eseya belli</i>	Other aquatic	Vulnerable	Vulnerable	3	2	Known
Australian painted snipe	<i>Rostratula australis</i>	Bird	Endangered	Endangered	2	3	Known & Predicted
black-necked stork	<i>Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus</i>	Bird	Endangered	not listed	2	3	Known
blue-billed duck	<i>Oxyura australis</i>	Bird	Vulnerable	not listed	2	2	Known
Brolga	<i>Grus rubicunda</i>	Bird	Vulnerable	not listed	2	2	Known
freckled duck	<i>Stictonetta naevosa</i>	Bird	Vulnerable	not listed	2	2	Known & Predicted
maggie goose	<i>Anseranas semipalmata</i>	Bird	Vulnerable	not listed	2	2	Known
Carbeen Open Forest Community in the Darling Riverine Plains and Brigalow Belt South Bioregions		EEC	Endangered Ecological Community	not listed	2	3	Known
Tusked Frog population in the Nandewar and New England Tableland Bioregions	<i>Adelotus brevis</i>	EP	Endangered Population	not listed	2	3	Known & Predicted
greater broad-nosed bat	<i>Scoteanax rueppellii</i>	Mammal	Vulnerable	not listed	2	2	Known & Predicted
Coolibah-Black Box Woodland in the Darling Riverine Plains, Brigalow Belt South, Cobar Peneplain and		EEC	Endangered Ecological Community	Endangered	1	3	Known & Predicted

LIST OF THREATENED SPECIES OF THE GWYDIR CATCHMENT							
Common Name	Scientific Name	Distinctiveness Parameter	NSW Status	Commonwealth listing	Flow sensitivity Weighting	Status Weights	Layers from OEH
Mulga Lands Bioregion							
braid fern	<i>Platyzoma microphyllum</i>	Plant	Endangered	not listed	1	3	Known & Predicted
<i>Cyperus conicus</i>	<i>Cyperus conicus</i>	Plant	Endangered	not listed	1	3	Predicted
Torrington pea	<i>Almaleea cambagei</i>	Plant	Endangered	Vulnerable	1	3	Known & Predicted

Appendix B—HEVAE alignment with Schedules 8 & 9 of the Basin Plan

Table B - 1 Alignment of Schedule 8 Key environmental asset criteria with HEVAE criteria

Key environmental asset criteria (Schedule 8)	HEVAE criteria/associated attributes
Criterion 1: The water-dependent ecosystem is formally recognised in international agreements or, with environmental watering, is capable of supporting species listed in those agreements Assessment indicator: A water-dependent ecosystem is an environmental asset that requires environmental watering if it is: (a) A declared Ramsar wetland; or (b) With environmental watering, capable of supporting a species listed in or under the JAMBA, CAMBA, ROKAMBA or the Bonn Convention.	Vital Habitat: An aquatic ecosystem provides vital habitat for flora and fauna species if it supports (see details below)
Criterion 2: The water-dependent ecosystem is natural or near-natural, rare or unique Assessment indicator: A water-dependent ecosystem is an environmental asset that requires environmental watering if it: (a) Represents a natural or near-natural example of a particular type of water-dependent ecosystem as evidenced by a relative lack of post-1788 human induced hydrologic disturbance or adverse impacts on ecological character; or (b) Represents the only example of a particular type of water-dependent ecosystem in the Murray-Darling Basin; or (c) Represents a rare example of a particular type of water-dependent ecosystem in the Murray-Darling Basin.	Naturalness: The ecological character of the aquatic ecosystem is not adversely affected by modern human activity. • Geomorphic recovery (conservation or rapid) potential of River Styles® • Hydrologic stress (demand versus low flow percentile) • Catchment Disturbance Index (infrastructure density, land use index & land cover change) • Macroinvertebrate (AUSRIVAS) O/E bands (i.e. deviation from reference) • River reaches in National Park Estate
Criterion 3: The water-dependent ecosystem provides vital habitat Assessment indicator: A water-dependent ecosystem is an environmental asset that requires environmental watering if it: (a) Provides vital habitat, including: (i) A refugium for native water-dependent biota during dry spells and drought; or (ii) Pathways for the dispersal, migration and movements of native water-dependent biota; or (iii) Important feeding, breeding and nursery sites for native water-dependent biota; or (b) Is essential for maintaining, and preventing declines of, native water-dependent biota.	Vital Habitat: An aquatic ecosystem provides vital habitat for flora and fauna species if it supports: - unusually large numbers of a particular native or migratory species; and/or - maintenance of populations of specific species at critical life cycle stages; and/or iii) key/significant refugia for aquatic species that are dependent on the habitat, particularly at times of stress. • Vital wetlands (Ramsar and DIWA listed wetlands) • Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) input (surrogate measure = river reaches of 60% woody riparian vegetation cover & measure of unconfined or partially confined River Style) • Large Woody Debris (LWB) (surrogate measure = river reaches of 60% woody riparian vegetation cover & specific River Styles®)

Key environmental asset criteria (Schedule 8)	HEVAE criteria/associated attributes
Criterion 4: Water-dependent ecosystems that support Commonwealth, State or Territory listed threatened species or communities Assessment indicator: A water-dependent ecosystem is an environmental asset that requires environmental watering if it: (a) Supports a listed threatened ecological community or listed threatened species; or Note: See the definitions of listed threatened ecological community and listed threatened species in section 1.07. (b) Supports water-dependent ecosystems treated as threatened or endangered (however described) under State or Territory law; or (c) Supports one or more native water-dependent species treated as threatened or endangered (however described) under State or Territory law.	Distinctiveness: The aquatic ecosystem is rare/threatened or unusual; and/or The aquatic ecosystem supports rare/threatened/ endemic species/communities/genetically unique populations; and/or The aquatic ecosystem exhibits rare or unusual geomorphological features/processes and/or environmental conditions, and is likely to support unusual assemblages of species adapted to these conditions, and/or are important in demonstrating key features of the evolution of Australia's landscape, riverscape or biota. - State and/or Commonwealth listed threatened species, endangered populations and endangered ecological communities - Rare River Styles®
Criterion 5: The water-dependent ecosystem supports, or with environmental watering is capable of supporting, significant biodiversity Assessment indicator: A water-dependent ecosystem is an environmental asset that requires environmental watering if it supports, or with environmental watering is capable of supporting, significant biological diversity. This includes a water-dependent ecosystem that: (a) Supports, or with environmental watering is capable of supporting, significant numbers of individuals of native water-dependent species; or (b) Supports, or with environmental watering is capable of supporting, significant levels of native biodiversity at the genus or family taxonomic level, or at the ecological community level.	Diversity: The aquatic ecosystem exhibits exceptional diversity of species (native/migratory), habitats, and/or geomorphological features/processes. - Macroinvertebrate Diversity (No. of AUSRIVAS Families) - Fish Diversity (Fish biodiversity hot spots assigned to specific River Styles® reach)
Reference: Healey M, Raine A, Lewis A, Hossain B, Hancock F & Sayers J (2018) <i>Applying the High Ecological Value Aquatic Ecosystem (HEVAE) Framework to Water Management Needs in NSW</i>, NSW DPI Water, Sydney, NSW.	

Table B - 2 Alignment of Schedule 9 Key ecosystem function criteria with HEVAE criteria

Key ecosystem function criteria (Schedule 9)	HEVAE or risk assessment criteria/associated attributes
Criterion 1: The ecosystem function supports the creation and maintenance of vital habitats and populations Assessment indicator: An ecosystem function requires environmental watering to sustain it if it provides vital habitat, including: (a) a refugium for native water-dependent biota during dry periods and drought; or (b) pathways for the dispersal, migration and movement of native water-dependent biota; or (c) a diversity of important feeding, breeding and nursery sites for	HEVAE The HEVAE method identifies a diverse range of instream and riparian riverine areas in very poor through to very high ecological value. Highest ecological value areas are assumed to provide a diverse range of aquatic habitats for native water-dependent flora and fauna. Vital habitat is a key criteria assessed in the HEVAE method. Vital Habitat: An aquatic ecosystem provides vital habitat for flora and fauna species if it supports: i. unusually large numbers of a particular native or migratory

Key ecosystem function criteria (Schedule 9)	HEVAE or risk assessment criteria/associated attributes
native water-dependent biota; or (d) a diversity of aquatic environments including pools, riffle and run environments; or (e) a vital habitat that is essential for preventing the decline of native water-dependent biota.	species; and/or ii. maintenance of populations of specific species at critical life cycle stages; and/or iii) key/significant refugia for aquatic species that are dependent on the habitat, particularly at times of stress.
Criterion 2: The ecosystem function supports the transportation and dilution of nutrients, organic matter and sediment Assessment indicator: An ecosystem function requires environmental watering to sustain it if it provides for the transportation and dilution of nutrients, organic matter and sediment, including: (a) pathways for the dispersal and movement of organic and inorganic sediment, delivery to downstream reaches and to the ocean, and to and from the floodplain; or (b) the dilution of carbon and nutrients from the floodplain to the river systems.	• Vital wetlands (Ramsar and DIWA listed wetlands) • Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) input (surrogate measure = river reaches of 60% woody riparian vegetation cover & measure of unconfined or partially confined River Style) • Large Woody Debris (LWB) (surrogate measure = river reaches of 60% woody riparian vegetation cover & specific River Styles®) Risk Assessment The risk assessment process has identified key features of flow regimes which have impacts on key ecosystem functions identified by the MDBA (2010; 2012) and Alluvium (2010). Within the risk assessment method, impacts on ecosystem function are considered through assessment of altered stream flow in regulated and unregulated rivers. Flow regimes influence the hydrologic connectivity, longitudinal and lateral pathways for ecological dispersal, nutrient and organic and inorganic material delivery in river systems.
Criterion 3: The ecosystem function provides connections along a watercourse (longitudinal connections) Assessment indicator: An ecosystem function requires environmental watering to sustain it if it provides connections along a watercourse or to the ocean, including longitudinal connections: (a) for dispersal and re-colonisation of native water-dependent communities; or (b) for migration to fulfil requirements of life-history stages; or (c) for in-stream primary production.	
Criterion 4: The ecosystem function provides connections across floodplains, adjacent wetlands and billabongs (lateral connections) Assessment indicator: An ecosystem function requires environmental watering to sustain it if it provides connections across floodplains, adjacent wetlands and billabongs, including: (a) lateral connections for foraging, migration and re-colonisation of native water-dependent species and communities; or (b) lateral connections for off-stream primary production.	
References Alluvium, (2010), <i>Key ecosystem functions and their environmental water requirements</i>. Report by Alluvium for Murray-Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT. MDBA, (2010), <i>Guide to the proposed Basin Plan: Technical Background, Murray Darling Basin Authority, Volume 2, Part 1</i>, Canberra, ACT. MDBA, (2012b), <i>Hydrologic modelling to inform the proposed Basin Plan - methods and results</i>. Murray-Darling Basin Authority, Canberra, ACT.	

Appendix C—HEVAE consequence scoring

Figure C - 1 Consequence decision tree used to convert HEVAE scores to a consequence rank




Table C - 1 Rationale for each bifurcation in the decision tree used for converting HEVAE scores to 'consequence of extraction pressure on aquatic ecosystem condition' ranks

DECISION TREE ANNOTATION	RATIONALE
1	- Management for retention of conservation values is a higher priority in high and very high value reaches - Limiting extraction is easier to justify in high and very high value reaches, than it is in medium reaches
2	Ramsar sites are valued for their contribution to international conservation efforts for migratory species. Australia is a signatory country and has an obligation to maintain these sites. This includes maintenance of flows.
3	- The attributes of high or very high value sites are influenced by extraction pressure. - Sites that are upstream of extraction points are assumed to be unaffected by extraction pressure, so the assessment focus shifts to whether there are medium value reaches in the water source. - If a high or very high value site is likely to be affected by extraction pressure the focus shift to whether any threatened species, populations, communities or rare River Styles® could be affected.
4	- The attributes of high or very high value sites are influenced by extraction pressure. - The most 'at risk' HEVAE criterion from extraction pressure (in the short-term) is distinctiveness. Distinctiveness includes consideration of biotic and abiotic characteristics and function of the reach (i.e. threatened species, populations, communities and rare River Styles®). - A distinctiveness score of ≥ 0.4 in the HEVAE means the reach has a medium, high or very high value as habitat for threatened species, populations, or communities, or a rare River Style®. - Habitat for threatened species, populations and communities is protected under State and Commonwealth legislation.
5	- Distinguishes between species, populations, communities and/or rare River Styles® that occur on the floodplain versus the channel and riparian zone because (it was assumed) extraction pressure is more likely to affect attributes that occur in the channel and riparian zone, rather than the floodplain. <i>This is a decision that relies on expert understanding of the attribute's ecology and biology.</i>
6	Given the attribute is identified as relying on channel and riparian habitat (from 5), this step assumes the least possible habitat available to the attribute occurs under low flow conditions, and asks whether the lowest flows in the system are affected by extraction.
7	- Any attributes that are channel and/or riparian dependent, and are considered vulnerable to extraction of low flows retain their original high or very high value category. - Any attributes that are channel and/or riparian dependent and are considered resilient to extraction of low flows are allocated a 'medium' consequence category.
8	High or very high value reaches that have low distinctiveness are assumed to have attributes that are more resilient to extraction pressure (at least in the short-term), and are assigned a 'medium' consequence category.
9	Establishes that attributes are floodplain dependent, and asks whether they are able to move (i.e. birds, bats) or not (i.e. plant). The assumption is that more mobile species/population/community can move to avoid changes in habitat owing to extraction pressure.

10	• If the species can move they are assigned a risk category of 'medium'. • If the species/population/community is sessile, it is assumed to be at greater risk of harm from extraction pressure (because it can't move to avoid the pressure), and retains its original categorisation of 'high' or 'very high'.
11	• Establishes that the water source either doesn't have 'high' or 'very high' reaches, OR there are 'high' or 'very high' reaches but they are above extraction points (and therefore assumed unaffected by extraction pressure), and asks whether there are 'medium' value reaches in the water source. • This allows the risk of extraction pressure on medium value aquatic ecosystems to be assessed independently of the 'low' and 'very low' value aquatic ecosystems.
12	• Asks whether species/populations/communities in the reach are moderately to highly sensitive to extraction, primarily because they specific flow requirements and limited ability to move if those flow requirements are not met (e.g. fish, frogs, turtles, macrophytes). • This information is in the MS Excel Distinctiveness file for each catchment, in the column labelled 'Flow Sensitivity Weighting'
13	• If a species/population/community has a flow response score ≥ 3 (i.e. it is sensitive to extraction), it is assigned a risk category of 'medium'. • If a species/population/community has a flow response score < 3 (i.e. it is less sensitive to extraction), it is assigned a risk category of 'low'.
14	• Deals with 'medium' value reaches that don't have high Distinctiveness (i.e. ≥ 0.4). • Asks whether the main river in the water source has a predominantly 'medium' value. This question weights the value of the main river higher than any tributaries, because it is assumed the main river is likely more affected by extraction pressure than tributaries.
15	• If the main river in a water source has a predominantly medium HEVAE condition, the consequence ranking is also medium.
16	• Asks whether the combined length of medium and low HEVAE reaches in a main river in an assessment area is less than the length of reaches in the same main river with a very low HEVAE rank. • The rationale is if the main river is comprised of mostly low with some medium HEVAE reaches, then a conservative approach should be adopted and the low consequence rank prevails.
17	• If the reach has a mainly very low HEVAE rank, and there is little apparent reliance on the reach by freshwater-dependent flora and fauna, the consequence awarded is 'very low'.
18	• There are no very high, high or medium HEVAE ranked reaches in the assessment area – only low and very low. • It is assumed there is little reliance on habitats in these reaches by freshwater-dependent flora and fauna.
19	• The assessment area is awarded the same consequence rank as the predominant HEVAE rank for the area. • It is assumed there is little reliance on habitats in these reaches by freshwater-dependent flora and fauna.

Results show a significant spread of threatened fish species or endangered populations within the Gwydir Surface WRP. Eel-tailed catfish and Murray cod were widespread in the regulated river sites, while silver perch was found in only one site. Fish biodiversity was highest in the middle reaches of the Gwydir River between Bingara and Moree.

GWYDIR ENDANGERED ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

QLD

NSW

COLLARENEBRI

MOREE

WARIALDA

BINGARA

BUNDARRA

URALLA

Endangered Ecological Communities

- Carbeen Open Forest Community
- Carex Sedgeland of the New England Tableland
- Coolibah-Black Box Woodland
- Lowland Darling River
- Marsh Club-rush sedgeland
- Montane bogs on the western fall of the New England Tableland Bioregion
- Upland Wetlands of the Drainage Divide of the New England

Figure D - 2 Distribution of threatened fish species within the Gwydir Surface WRP





Figure D - 3 Fish biodiversity hotspots in the Gwydir Surface WRP



Appendix E—Flow variation indices in unregulated water sources

Maps showing the flow variation indices and metrics used to assess the likelihood of farm dams impacting on surface flows in unregulated water sources in the Gwydir Surface WRPAs are shown in the figures below.

Figure E - 1 Flow variation index (CV) results for farm dams in the Gwydir Surface WRPAs (SKM 2011)




Figure E - 2 Results for the Q90 low flow metric for farm dams in the Gwydir Surface WRP (SKM 2011)




Figure E - 3 Results for the Q10 high flow metric for farm dams in the Gwydir Surface WRP (SKM 2011)





Figure E - 4 Location of land use and farm dam stations in the Gwydir Surface WRPA (MDBA 2011)



Appendix F—Unregulated rivers tolerable risk decision tool

This appendix relates to Figure 9-1 Risk treatment pathway (in particular element 2 and risk treatment option C), associated text in section 9.2.2.1, and strategy 18 in Table 9-6 Knowledge strategies.

The assessment of *Risk to water available for the environment due to licensed extraction in unregulated rivers* E(W) uses likelihood ratings based on potential risk rather than current risk. This is because best available likelihood information is entitlement data rather than actual use data. This may result in overestimations of risk in those unregulated water sources where extraction has not reached full development levels. In order to apply appropriate risk mitigation strategies to medium or high risk results, a decision tool was used to compare expert knowledge of individual water sources to the full development likelihood results determined via single reach IQQM. This resulted in an assignment of tolerable status to each risk result and a recommendation for the intensity of ongoing access licence activation monitoring via remote sensing. Note that low risk results are not considered by the tool as the Basin Plan does not require strategies to be described for these risk results. Also note that neither likelihood data nor risk results change through the use of this decision tool.

Figure F - 1 shows the additional information used to determine the tolerable status of risk results and the decision tool's relationship to the risk treatment pathway through the likelihood metric. To use the decision tool, follow the decision tree in Figure F - 2.

Figure F - 1 Overview of decision tool





Figure F - 2 Decision tree used as a guide to apply elements of the unregulated rivers tolerable risk decision tool



Review of the distribution and size of access licences within the water source.

Element 1 of the decision tool reviews the potential for licensed extraction to impact on the ecological assets and values of a water source if all access licences were activated¹. Where ecological value drivers of the consequence result occur upstream or on stream sections that are disconnected from access licence locations, there is little potential for extraction to impact environmental assets or functions. An exception to this statement may occur where an asset has high dispersal characteristics (e.g. fish such as Murray cod or silver perch).

Where there are significant access licences on the main stem of a stream in the water source, the single reach IQQMs will reflect the impact along the main stream and at the end of the water source. Where there are only


¹ An access licence must be linked to at least one pump (water supply work) for extraction to be possible.

a few small access licences on different tributaries, or just one tributary, the single reach IQQMs may not reflect the impacts on the ecological values in the water source (see Figure F - 3 for examples). This is most likely to be seen in headwater water sources where there is little floodplain extractive development. The complexity of a river system's structure (e.g. multiple tributaries) may need to be revised and updated in future versions of the single reach IQQMs prior to the next risk assessment.

Medium risk results driven by a very high consequence combined with a low likelihood were also considered in this step and automatically assigned a 'tolerable' status in the decision tree (Figure F - 2) if rules were in place to prevent trade into the water source. Further information on the ecological value drivers for each water source can be found in Part 2 (Water Source Summaries) of this document.

There are two possible outcomes from this assessment of potential impact from access licences; high or low. Where the result is high, the risk result moves to the assessment of element 2. Where low is applied, the risk result is assigned a 'tolerable' status and further monitoring of access licence activation via remote sensing is recommended (element 4).

Figure F - 3 Examples of the distribution of insignificant entitlement distribution



Review availability of infrastructure to implement revised mitigation strategies

Element 2 of the decision tool reviews whether it would be possible to implement a variation to the level of extraction management by WRP commencement. In unregulated rivers many high and medium risk results occur against base flow and zero flow characteristics. Commence and cease to pump (CtP) rules are often used to protect streams during these periods of low or no flow. To implement a CtP above visible flow, or to implement flow classes for management of fresh or higher flows, a river height monitoring gauge or other infrastructure is generally required.

A check for active flow gauges was made on the appropriate GIS layer and the results confirmed through consultation with WaterNSW Hydrometrics. There are two possible outcomes from this element; yes or no. Where the result is yes, the risk is assigned a 'not tolerable' status as alternative management strategies could be developed and implemented through the water resource plan or water sharing plan. Where no is applied, the risk result moves to the assessment of element 3. Note that any variations to the current river gauge network would have considerable resourcing implications for NSW which are unlikely to be resolved prior to water resource plan commencement. This is why recommendations for new gauge installations have not been considered by this decision tool.

Modelling of irrigation identified by remote sensing to suggest a level of access licence activation

Element 3 utilises modelling of irrigation identified by remote sensing from summer 2013/2014 to suggest a level of access licence activation. It is important to note that unlike extractive water use in regulated rivers, unregulated river extraction is highly variable and often opportunistic. As the dataset used for this element is from a single season in a single year it does not provide enough information to generate a meaningful likelihood result for a current use scenario that can be used to assess risk in this report. It is anticipated that throughout the WRP term a more comprehensive indication of current use should be provided through the analysis of further remote sensing imagery (see Strategy 18 and the MER Plan for further information). This is indicated in Figure F - 1 by the broken line boxes underlying element 3.

Possible outcomes from this element are the suggested activation levels high, medium, and low. Where low is applied, the risk result is assigned a 'tolerable' status and further frequent monitoring of access licence activation via remote sensing is recommended (element 4). Where medium or high is applied the risk is assigned a 'not tolerable' status as the current use level is likely to be similar to the full entitlement scenario. This indicates the risk result should prompt the consideration of alternative risk mitigation strategies during WRP development.

Appendix G—Strategy development – constraints & decision trees

Material in this appendix has previously been presented in earlier drafts of this document. It describes considerations and decision processes supporting strategy development. A list of draft strategies that were considered for inclusion in the WRP has also been included.

The draft strategies outlined in this section have been developed with consideration to the means through which they can be implemented, which is primarily through the rules and conditions within the WRP. Risks that are associated with high flow events, however, may also be mitigated through discretionary environmental water, which is part of the operational scope of the LTWP rather than a WRP. In such instances, the mitigation strategy suggests that the risk would be most effectively managed by the LTWP. Mitigation strategies for water quality risks may be represented in one or more of the WRP, LTWP and WQM Plan. However, there may be unavoidable circumstances or constraints that prevent a strategy from being implemented, including:

- Operational constraints due to infrastructure or channel capacity, or
- Constraints due to a proposed strategy resulting in a risk to social and economic interests that is considered to outweigh the risk to the environment, or
- Environmental or other external constraints which limit a strategy from achieving its full ecological objective.

Operational constraints considered in developing and implementing new strategies

Operational constraints were identified through consultation with WaterNSW and other water management agencies that provided technical input around the operation of water regulation structures. Some examples of operational constraints may be the ability of a stream channel to convey a certain volume of water without incurring third party impacts (for example, through over bank flows), the inability of dam outlet works to be turned off, or conversely, to release a certain volume of water.

Operational constraints were considered against the anticipated resources that would be required to remedy them. Often the significance of these constraints means they are unable to be abated.

Social or economic constraints to implementing a strategy

The Basin Plan's objective is to achieve an optimal outcome for all water stakeholders in the Basin. Sections 5.02(1) (c) and 5.02(1) (d) of the Basin Plan state that:

The objectives of the Basin Plan as a whole are:

(c) To optimise social, economic and environmental outcomes arising from the use of Basin water resources in the Murray-Darling Basin; and

(d) To improve water security for all uses of Basin water resources.

NSW WSPs also have the objective of achieving optimal outcomes for social, economic and environmental objectives, but there are instances where it is not possible to achieve all of these outcomes in equal measure. Each mitigation strategy must therefore be considered in view of the potential impacts on water-dependent communities. If those impacts are considered to be significant, then the strategy may have to be constrained or modified.

Potential social or economic constraints were identified by:


- Estimating the community dependence on water extraction in each unregulated water source
- Directly consulting with stakeholders through forums such as Stakeholder Advisory Panels (SAPs).

Estimates of community dependence on water extraction were based on previous calculations undertaken as part of the Macro Water Sharing Plan process (NOW, 2011).

Community dependence was estimated by combining information about the volumes and economic value of water extraction (value of irrigated industries, town water supply, proportion of community employment in agriculture etc.) with information about community resilience to change, based on data obtained from the Australian Bureau of Statistics Index of Advantage and Disadvantage.

Community dependence for each unregulated water source was classified as either low, medium or high. The regulated river network, however, was not assessed but deemed to always have high community dependence.

Figure G - 1 Community dependency on extraction



In each unregulated water source, community dependence and risk to the environment were compared using an indicative matrix to guide the prioritisation of mitigation strategies. Potential strategies were presented to stakeholders for consideration and further development undertaken if required. Preliminary strategies were formulated based on a general principle that if one risk is greater than the other, the proposed strategy should then be commensurate with this fact.

For example, there are two potential strategies that a WSP can employ to mitigate medium or high risks to low flows in an unregulated water source: restricting future water trade into the water source, or restricting water use by creating or amending access rules. If the risk to the environment from low flows is high and community dependence is low, then both of these strategies may be considered. If community dependence is high however, then trade restrictions may be the only viable strategy. Trade restrictions need not be applied to an entire water source but instead, may be targeted to the particular sub-catchments where key ecological assets have been identified.

Table G - 1 Indicative matrix to guide the development of strategies relative to community dependence versus risk to the environment

		Community dependence on extraction		
		Low	Medium	High
Risk to the environment	Low	No strategy required	No strategy required	No strategy required
	Medium	Prioritise risk mitigation strategy	Reassess and modify strategy	Reassess and modify strategy
	High	Prioritise risk mitigation strategy	Prioritise risk mitigation strategy	Reassess and modify strategy

Environmental or other external constraints to implementing a strategy

Many of the draft strategies identified in this report aim to mitigate impacts resulting from changes in the flow regime due to river regulation and water extraction. Significant changes in the volume and timing of flows are identified in the likelihood metrics and strategies have been formulated to address high and medium risk as required in the Basin Plan.

Strategies can be formulated to address hydrological changes; however, their success in meeting the underlying ecological objectives may be reliant upon other externalities or environmental constraints, which may not be directly related to the hydrological regime. Examples of such externalities include; cold water pollution from instream storages, barriers to fish passage due to water regulation or road infrastructure, the entrainment of fish in irrigation infrastructure (such as channels or pumps), the condition of riparian vegetation or the degree of catchment disturbance. In these cases, complementary strategies to address the external constraints may also be required to achieve the maximum ecological benefit.

There are opportunities for government agencies, including NSW Local Land Services (LLS), to work closely with NSW Department of Planning and Environment in managing external constraints through complementary measures.

Collaboration between natural resource management (NRM) groups to examine alignment of priorities has been a continued focus of NSW Government (NRC 2010). Alignment of NRM continues to be identified as a priority for LLS (Local Land Services 2016) and for the management of environmental water and water quality in NSW (OEH 2014). Alignment of NRM priorities for river management will assist in strengthening the outcomes of mitigation measures identified through this risk assessment.

This section identifies the major environmental and external constraints to implementing strategies in the Gwydir WRP.

Cold water pollution

Cold water pollution is the release of unseasonable cold water from deeper layers of thermally stratified storages. This occurs most often during the warmer months when irrigation water is in high demand. Temperature has a wide range of influences on biological processes, where the release of cold water can interrupt important biological cues such as spawning in fish and other fauna, creating a physiological barrier to habitat connectivity, reducing the growth rate of fish and also result in mortality (Lugg & Copeland 2014).

NSW Department of Primary Industries (2015a) report the extent of cold water pollution from Copeton Dam (as defined by a greater than 5 °C peak depression) has yet to be quantified, but it is estimated by Lugg (1999) to extend over 200 km downstream of the dam wall. Cold water pollution has the potential to significantly influence the ecological outcomes from environmental water releases impacting the survival, growth, movement, spawning and recruitment of native fish, resulting in the reduction of their recovery potential in the Gwydir valley (NSW Department of Primary Industries 2015a).

Cold water pollution is therefore a potentially significant external constraint to the success of some strategies identified in this report. This constraint can be addressed by the implementation of concurrent mitigation strategies such as a thermal curtain, or other variable offtake infrastructure on the inlet works of Copeton Dam.

Such infrastructure would allow the warmer waters located near the surface of the storage to be released during the warmer months.

Barriers to fish passage

Migratory pathways across the majority of rivers in NSW have been disrupted through the construction of instream structures associated with river regulation such as dams and weirs as well as road crossings, culverts and other road infrastructure.


NSW Department of Primary Industries (2015a) report that barriers to fish passage can impact native fish populations by:

- Interrupting spawning and seasonal migrations;
- Restricting access to preferred habitat, food resources and breeding partners;
- Reducing genetic flow between populations;
- Increasing susceptibility to predation and disease through aggregation below barriers;
- Stranding fish on floodplains or in drying waterbodies;
- Fragmenting communities and preventing colonisation;
- Advantage introduced species such as Carp (NSW Department of Primary Industries 2015b); and
- Disrupting downstream movement of adults and impeding larval drift (Jones & Stuart 2004; NSW Department of Primary Industries 2006; Jones & Stuart 2008; Jones 2009).

Instream structures and other mechanisms that alter natural flow regimes have been listed as a key threatening process under the *Fisheries Management Act 1994*. The NSW Office of Environment and Heritage has also listed instream barriers as a key threatening process under the *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995*. The construction of fishways at major barriers and the management of instream structures to reduce their impact on biodiversity and habitats (for example, opening of flood gates at certain times of year) have been identified as high priority abatement actions.

DPI Fisheries have identified over 200 barriers to fish passage in the Gwydir Surface WSPA (NSW Department of Primary Industries 2015a) including weirs, regulators and road crossings. These fish barriers have a varying degree of impact on native fish, with some drowning out more frequently than others to provide suitable passage. The cumulative impact of such structures across the catchment impedes natural flow and connectivity, which is a fundamental ecological feature (NSW Department of Primary Industries 2015a) and likely to be a considerable constraint to the success of strategies. DPI Fisheries have identified 20 barriers which are considered to be high priority for mitigation action. These barriers are identified in the summaries presented in Volume 2 and are considered potential constraints to the success of hydrological risk mitigation strategies.

Figure G - 2 Instream constraints to fish in the Gwydir Surface WRPA (adapted from NSW Department of Primary Industries, 2015a)



Water diversion

NSW Department of Primary Industries (2015a) cite that the diversion of water by pumps, pipelines, irrigation canals or regulators can have a significant impact on native fish by altering habitat and affecting flow-dependent life history strategies such as spawning and recruitment (Baumgartner & Boys 2012). Diversion and stranding in off-channel irrigation systems is said to be particularly problematic for the larval stage of most native fish species, especially golden perch, silver perch and Murray cod, as the timing of irrigation diversion coincides with the peak egg and larval drift during the spring and summer seasons (Baumgartner et al. 2007).

The entrainment of fish in irrigation infrastructure is an issue which may influence the ability of flow management strategies to meet their ecological objectives. In Europe and the United States, a wide variety of pump screens are currently applied which could be readily adapted for use in the MDB. In New Zealand, the screen designs routinely applied are modifications of existing designs to meet the requirements of local conditions (Baumgartner & Boys 2012). For some years, DPI Fisheries have been advocating a screening program as the best way to reduce fish losses without compromising the needs of irrigators within the MDB.

In the Gwydir Surface WRPA, it is reported that there are over 300 pump offtakes with a diameter greater than 200 mm (Figure 23) (NSW Department of Primary Industries 2015a). There is some suggestion that irrigation pump offtakes, with a diameter greater than 200 mm, influence the entrainment of freshwater fish (NSW Department of Primary Industries 2015a). Based on the current information from the QLD Department of Agriculture and Fisheries and NSW DPI Fisheries, a 200 mm diameter size threshold was selected as a potential threat to native fish; however this threshold has not been substantiated and therefore should be considered as an interim threshold. The cumulative effect of these offtakes is expected to have a significant impact on native fish populations in the lower Gwydir Surface WRPA during peak extraction times. In addition to this, NSW Department of Primary Industries (2015a) report there are over 100 diversion channels that capture over bank flows in key fish habitats, significantly influencing an important flow component in the system with the potential to impact native fish populations.

Riparian vegetation condition and catchment disturbance

Riparian vegetation is a key attribute connecting rivers and terrestrial ecosystems. It is important for controlling river bank stability, mitigating runoff, providing sediment and nutrients from the adjacent land, providing habitat for a range of biota and influences instream processes (Lovett & Price 2007). Leaf litter derived from riparian vegetation is also a key contributor of allochthonous energy sources into rivers, driving primary production and stimulating the development of food chains (Robertson et al. 1999; Westhorpe et al. 2010). Native riparian vegetation cover greater than 60% and a riparian buffer zone width of up to 30 m are considered to be within the ranges important for influencing good riparian condition (Jansen et al. 2003). A positive increase in the presence of large woody debris (LWD) within rivers was correlated with a positive increase in riparian tree cover, maximising when tree cover reaches 60 percent (Matheson & Thoms 2017). LWD derived from the riparian zone is associated with primary control on geomorphic stability and habitat heterogeneity in rivers (Brooks & Brierley 2002; Treadwell et al. 2007).

Changes to riparian vegetation can reduce the geomorphic condition of rivers (Brierley & Fryirs 2005). Reduction in geomorphic condition from good to moderate can be linked to reductions in macrophyte and macroinvertebrate assemblages (Chessman et al. 2006a), while freshwater mussel abundance declines in river reaches where geomorphic condition also reduces (Jones & Byrne 2010). River Styles[®] recovery potential is synonymous with geomorphic condition. Recovery potential represents geomorphic stability and can indicate the capacity of a stream to return to good condition or to a realistic rehabilitated condition (Brierley & Fryirs 2005). Streams rated as having conservation or rapid recovery potential are likely to be the most stable and in a good condition, whereas streams with low recovery potential may never recover to a natural condition or may continue to decline quickly without intervention (Cook & Schneider 2006).

Long-lasting and complicated changes to stream ecosystems can occur through catchment disturbance impacts attributed to land use change (Maloney & Weller 2011). Catchment disturbance is recognised as an important factor that influences river condition (NLWRA 2002), where land use changes close to streams can have a more pronounced impact on reducing stream condition (Peterson et al. 2011).

Figures G – 3 and G – 4 provide a general overview of riparian and geomorphic condition for the Gwydir Surface WRPA. For river recovery potential, river reaches identified as being “strategic” can be in good, moderate or poor geomorphic condition. These reaches are often undergoing rapid change and should be a focus for action to control degradation. Where these constraints are considered to be particularly important for a specific water source and its mitigation strategies, further details are in the water source summaries (Volume 2).

Figure G - 3 Percent cover categories of native woody riparian vegetation in the Gwydir Surface WRP





Figure G - 4 Geomorphic recovery potential in streams in the Gwydir Surface WRP



Determining new or modified strategies for unregulated water sources

A decision tree logic was used to examine risks due to insufficient water available for the environment, and to prioritise mitigation strategies addressing these risks in unregulated water sources. Through this process, each risk is considered using the decision tree as a guide to offer a level of objectivity in the development of strategies whilst allowing for flexibility and adaptive management where necessary. Strategies are then discussed through consultation with NSW Department of Planning and Environment, Water Resource Plan Stakeholder Advisory Panels, the MDBA and other relevant stakeholders. The outcomes of these discussions can influence the development of the final strategies within the WRP in order to reduce the impact of medium and high risks of insufficient water for key ecosystem functions and assets.

The decision tree is focused on specific rules, such as a flow-based cease to pump (CtP). This is considered an immediate strategy with the potential to mitigate medium and high risks identified in a water source. Although the trade of entitlement is another potential rule to manage medium and high risks, it is not considered an immediate mitigation measure, as there is no certainty of it occurring. Should trade of entitlement occur within a medium or high risk water source, it will likely have a longer term benefit by also assisting in mitigating the impact on instream values via reduced levels of extraction.

Each bifurcation in the decision tree was annotated, allowing for a rationale for each decision to be explained

Figure G - 5 Decision tree used as a guide for developing strategies in unregulated water sources




Table G - 2 Rationale for each bifurcation in the decision tree used for determining strategies for unregulated water sources

DECISION TREE ANNOTATION	RATIONALE
1	- This step breaks up the strategies based on the Murray-Darling Basin Plan requirements that strategies are commensurate with the level of risk. - Where possible, draft strategies are being proposed to address water sources classified as medium or high risk. - Medium and high risks are considered the most important categories for ensuring adequate mitigation measures are determined. - Confidence in the data is high.
2	- This step is associated with medium and high risk water sources. - High and very high consequence categories are considered the most important for ensuring adequate mitigation measures are determined. - Medium consequence categories are considered important but are not prioritised over high and very high consequence. - Low and very low consequence categories do not provide sufficient evidence to justify the changing of access rules. - Confidence in the data is high.
3	- Low and high flow risks to instream values are determined through the risk assessment process, where risks to six flow metrics were assessed. - Low flows are considered to be zero flows, base flows and freshes. High flows are considered to be the bank full to over bank flows, identified in the risk assessment as an average recurrence intervals (ARI) of 1.5, 2.5 and 5 years. - In unregulated systems, there are only opportunities to consider mitigation measures in low flows within a WSP. - Mitigation measures for high flows are outside the influence of a WSP/WRP, where low flow management is the key focus. - Confidence in the data is high.
4	- Community dependence is a factor that identifies the economic value of water extracted and the social benefit of extraction within a water source. - Where community dependence is high and the consequence is high or very high, competition for water between licensed extractors and the environment is greatest. Hence the need for more certainty in a CtP level using accurate, flow-based infrastructure. Low or medium community dependence allows high and very high consequence water sources to be further investigated for potential access rule options. - Medium consequence water sources are not prioritised for access rule reviews if the community dependence is considered to be high or medium. However, if community dependence is low, there is potential to further investigate access rule options. - Confidence in the data is LOW due to the data being collated in 2005-06 to support the macro WSP process. If the community dependence was updated with the latest data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), confidence would be higher. * Where there is no Community Dependency data, by-pass this step and the decision tree moves from step 4 to step 5. The attributes of high or very high value sites are influenced by extraction pressure.

DECISION TREE ANNOTATION	RATIONALE
5	• This step breaks up either medium and or high risk water sources to ensure steps progressing towards the strategies continue to be commensurate with the level of risk and available infrastructure. • Existing rules are determined to be adequate to help mitigate medium or high risk waters sources following: - An assessment of any monitoring of the rules during the life of the previous State-based WSP, - There is existing evidence that indicates connectivity and water quality are managed through the CtP measures, - There is inter-agency agreement that based on any of the above steps, existing WSP rules are adequate to reduce or limit the level of risk on the medium or high risk water sources. • Within the water source, consider the influence of any constraints that include: - The location of 200 mm pumps. This should also be considered in relation to the potential influence (entrainment) they may have on instream assets and function below and above where these pumps are located. - The location of priority instream barriers (weirs, road crossings, instream dams) that have the most influence on reducing or removing longitudinal flow connectivity during low flows need to be identified. - The condition of the riparian zone, including changes to riparian vegetation and the geomorphic recovery potential of river reaches.
6	• The presence or absence of infrastructure is a key factor that may influence how medium and/or high risk water sources may be managed during low flow periods. • If infrastructure is available, it must also be reliable and accurate before considering access rule-based mitigation strategies.
7	• All existing or new strategies to assist in mitigating the risk to medium and high risk water sources will operate for the life of a WSP (10 years) unless otherwise changed by the Minister. • If existing rules are considered to be adequate, it is unlikely cases of third party impacts will arise, as the access licence holders will be familiar with pre-existing rules and would have already made comment in earlier public exhibition phases of water sharing plan development.
8	• The Murray-Darling Basin Plan does not require mitigation strategies for water sources identified as low risk.

Determining new or modified strategies for regulated water sources

As identified in previous sections, the operational, social, economic and environmental constraints to mitigating risks often provide limited scope for addressing risks in regulated rivers. The ability to mitigate a risk in a regulated water source primarily depends upon the flow class in which the risk occurs, the rules available within the WSP or WRP, and any potential impact upon third parties. The rules available in a WSP for planned environmental water generally only apply to low and medium flows. Rules are specific and can be unique to each regulated river. They can take the form of rules to manage supplementary water, or in some WSP areas, translucency rules, which allow small volumes of water to be released from storages to introduce flow variability, often subject to seasonal and flow triggers. Large volumes of held environmental water are managed by NSW OEH through the LTWP component of the Basin Plan. The operation of held environmental water is not within the scope of either the WSP or the WRP.

Figure G – 6 shows the general decision making process to address risks of insufficient water for the environment in regulated rivers. A rationale was not provided for this diagram as it is a consolidation of the concepts that are already detailed above.


Further draft strategies for future consideration

The following draft strategies were also considered during the development of the WRP.

Table G - 3 Draft strategies

Draft Strategy Details	Relevant River Reaches
Strategic delivery of irrigation orders to mimic natural flow events This draft strategy will investigate whether the risk associated with the reduced frequency of freshes during late spring and summer can be mitigated by delivering bulk water in patterns that mimic natural flow conditions. The ability to implement this strategy will vary between years and seasons and must be consistent with the need for efficient and timely water deliver. Discussions would need to be undertaken between OEH and the CSC at the start of the irrigation planning season, to examine whether delivery patterns can be varied without impacting on water security and efficiency.	Regulated river reaches below Copeton Dam
Reduce the risk to freshes and high flow frequencies by restricting trade of supplementary flow access licences or new supplementary flow access licences This draft strategy will investigate whether the risk to freshes and high flow frequencies can be mitigated by preventing any further growth in supplementary flow access.	Regulated river reaches between Copeton Dam and Gwydir at Pallamallawa

Figure G - 6 Decision tree used as a guide for developing strategies in regulated water sources



Appendix H—Risk assessment definitions

